

with a better focus on potentially risk-significant problems.

The request for public review of onsite security plans cannot be granted because public review of these plans is not permissible. Allowing the details of these plans to be made public could aid adversaries. However, information concerning emergency plans is publicly available. Residents within a radius of approximately 10 miles from a nuclear power plant receive emergency information materials annually, including information about protective actions such as evacuation and sheltering. For more information concerning emergency plans, including public inspection of these plans, a resident should contact their local emergency management organization.

The petition also seeks revisions to NRC regulations because the petitioner claims that the Price-Andersen Act, the structures of corporate organizations, and NRC regulations do not adequately provide financial protection for individuals harmed by releases of nuclear material following an incident or attack at a nuclear facility. This claim challenges a statutory framework that the NRC is not authorized to change. Further, the petition does not explain why the current NRC regulations do not assure that the public will receive prompt financial compensation under available indemnity and underlying financial protection for damage resulting from the hazardous properties of radioactive materials or radiation.

The petition seeks other relief related to security issues at nuclear power plants. The petition does not provide significant new information or arguments that were not previously considered by the Commission in its final rule on the Design Basis Threat, which was published in the **Federal Register** on March 19, 2007 (72 FR 12705), and became effective on April 18, 2007.

For the reasons cited in this document, the NRC denies this petition.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 12th day of July 2007.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Annette L. Vietti-Cook,**

*Secretary of the Commission.*

[FR Doc. E7-13924 Filed 7-17-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

## FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

### 16 CFR Part 24

#### Guides for Select Leather and Imitation Leather Products; Corrections

**AGENCY:** Federal Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Request for public comments; correction.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Trade Commission published a document in the **Federal Register** on May 23, 2007 (72 FR 28906) requesting public comments on the Commission's Guides for Select Leather and Imitation Leather Products ("Leather Guides"). Inadvertently, the **ADDRESSES** Block of the **Federal Register** Notice did not state that if the Notice appeared at <http://www.regulations.gov>, members of the public could file an electronic comment through that Web site, as well as by accessing the following Web site: <https://secure.commentworks.com/ftc-leatherguides>, and following the instructions on the web-based form.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Donald S. Clark, Secretary of the Commission, at (202) 326-2514.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This is a summary of the FTC's Erratum. The **ADDRESSES** Block in the May 23, 2007 Notice is amended to add the following two sentences at the end of the first paragraph in the **ADDRESSES** Block: "If this notice appears at <http://www.regulations.gov>, you may also file an electronic comment through that Web site. The Commission will consider all comments that [regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) forwards to it."

**Donald S. Clark,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. E7-13833 Filed 7-17-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6750-01-P

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Department of the Army; Corps of Engineers

#### 33 CFR Part 334

#### United States Navy Restricted Area, Naval Support Activity, Panama City, FL

**AGENCY:** U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, DoD.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking and request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) is proposing to establish ten restricted areas at Naval Support Activity (NSA), Panama City (PC), Florida. NSA, Panama City, and its major tenant command, the Naval Surface Warfare Center (NSWC), have been recognized as one of the lead research, development, test and evaluation laboratories of the U.S. Navy. In addition, the Naval Diving and

Salvage Training Center (NDSTC) relocated from the Washington Navy Yard to NSA PC and now hosts a consolidated training for the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, U.S. Coast Guard, the Navy's satellite dive schools, the U.S. Marine Corps and the U.S. Air Force. As such, a large majority of military dive training is now concentrated at NSA, PC. The proposed restricted areas in Panama City waters meet strict military training parameters that cannot be duplicated elsewhere. Military training in and around St. Andrews Bay has existed in harmony with local boat traffic and development since 1945. NSA, PC requests to formalize these ongoing activities within the waters of St. Andrews Bay in efforts to maximize public safety and to preserve current military training vital to the Global War on Terror and to all service military readiness.

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted on or before August 17, 2007.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments, identified by docket number COE-2007-0017, by any of the following methods:

*Federal eRulemaking Portal:* <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

*E-mail:*

[david.b.olson@usace.army.mil](mailto:david.b.olson@usace.army.mil). Include the docket number COE-2007-0017 in the subject line of the message.

*Mail:* U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Attn: CECW-CO (David B. Olson), 441 G Street NW, Washington, DC 20314-1000.

*Hand Delivery/Courier:* Due to security requirements, we cannot receive comments by hand delivery or courier.

*Instructions:* Direct your comments to docket number COE-2007-0017. All comments received will be included in the public docket without change and may be made available on-line at <http://www.regulations.gov>, including any personal information provided, unless the commenter indicates that the comment includes information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Do not submit information that you consider to be CBI, or otherwise protected, through [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) or e-mail. The [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) web site is an anonymous access system, which means we will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send an e-mail directly to the Corps without going through [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov), your e-mail address will be automatically captured and included as