

### E. Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) generally requires an agency to conduct a regulatory flexibility analysis of any rule subject to notice and comment rulemaking requirements unless the agency certifies that the rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Small entities include small businesses, small not-for-profit enterprises, and small governmental jurisdictions. This final rule will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities because SIP approvals under section 110 and subchapter I, part D of the Clean Air Act do not create any new requirements but simply approve requirements that the State is already imposing. Therefore, because the Federal SIP approval does not create any new requirements, I certify that this action will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Moreover, due to the nature of the Federal-State relationship under the Clean Air Act, preparation of flexibility analysis would constitute Federal inquiry into the economic reasonableness of state action. The Clean Air Act forbids EPA to base its actions concerning SIPs on such grounds. *Union Electric Co. v. U.S. EPA*, 427 U.S. 246, 255–66 (1976); 42 U.S.C. 7410(a)(2).

### F. Unfunded Mandates

Under section 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 ("Unfunded Mandates Act"), signed into law on March 22, 1995, EPA must prepare a budgetary impact statement to accompany any proposed or final rule that includes a Federal mandate that may result in estimated annual costs to State, local, or tribal governments in the aggregate; or to private sector, of \$100 million or more. Under section 205, EPA must select the most cost-effective and least burdensome alternative that achieves the objectives of the rule and is consistent with statutory requirements. Section 203 requires EPA to establish a plan for informing and advising any small governments that may be significantly or uniquely impacted by the rule.

EPA has determined that the approval action promulgated does not include a Federal mandate that may result in estimated annual costs of \$100 million or more to either State, local, or tribal governments in the aggregate, or to the private sector. This Federal action approves pre-existing requirements under State or local law, and imposes no new requirements. Accordingly, no additional costs to State, local, or tribal

governments, or to the private sector, result from this action.

### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Hydrocarbons, Intergovernmental relations, Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Volatile organic compounds.

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Dated: February 17, 2000.

**Felicia Marcus,**

*Regional Administrator Region IX.*

[FR Doc. 00–5041 Filed 3–1–00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560–50–P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 63

[FRL–6545–3]

### Delegation of National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Source Categories; State of Arizona; Arizona Department of Environmental Quality; Maricopa County Environmental Services Department

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to section 112(l) of the 1990 Clean Air Act (CAA), the Maricopa County Environmental Services Department (MC) in Arizona requested delegation of specific national emission standards for hazardous air pollutants (NESHAPs). In the Rules section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is granting MC the authority to implement and enforce specified NESHAPs. The direct final rule also explains the procedure for future delegation of NESHAPs to MC. EPA is taking direct final action without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial action and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for this approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received, no further activity is contemplated. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period. Any parties interested in commenting should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Written comments must be received by April 3, 2000.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments should be addressed to: Andrew Steckel, Rulemaking Office (AIR–4), Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901.

Copies of the submitted requests are available for public inspection at EPA's Region IX office during normal business hours (docket number A–96–25).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mae Wang, Rulemaking Office (AIR–4), Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901; Telephone: (415) 744–1200.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This document concerns delegation of unchanged NESHAPs to the Maricopa County Environmental Services Department and the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality. For further information, please see the information provided in the direct final action which is located in the Rules section of this **Federal Register**.

**Authority:** This action is issued under the authority of Section 112 of the Clean Air Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 7412.

Dated: February 18, 2000.

**David P. Howekamp,**

*Director, Air Division, Region IX.*

[FR Doc. 00–5037 Filed 3–1–00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560–50–U**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 503

[FRL –6546–3]

**RIN 2040–AC25**

### Standards for the Use or Disposal of Sewage Sludge; Reopening of Comment Period

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule; Reopening of Comment Period.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is today announcing a reopening of the public comment period to March 23, 2000 for its Proposed Rule on Standards for the Use or Disposal of Sewage Sludge which was published in the **Federal Register** on December 23, 1999 at (64 FR 72045).

**DATES:** The comment period is reopened until March 23, 2000.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments and enclosures should be mailed or hand-delivered to: Part 503 Sewage Sludge Use or Disposal Rule; Docket Number W–99–18, Comment Clerk, Water

Docket MC-4101, Environmental Protection Agency, Room 57 East Tower Basement, 401 M Street, SW, Washington, DC 20460. Comments may also be submitted electronically to *OW-Docket@epamail.epa.gov*. For additional information see Additional Docket Information in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section of this **Federal Register**.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Arleen Plunkett, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water, Health and Ecological Criteria Division (4304), 401 M Street, SW., Washington, DC 20460. (202) 260-3418.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Additional Docket Information**

The record for this rulemaking has been established under docket number W-99-18 and includes supporting documentation as well as the printed paper versions of electronic materials. When submitting written comments to the Water Docket, (see **ADDRESSES** section above) please reference docket number W-99-18 and submit an original and three copies of your comments and enclosures (including references). For an acknowledgment that we have received your information, please include a self-addressed, stamped envelope. EPA will not accept facsimiles (faxes). Comments may also be submitted electronically to: *OW-Docket@epamail.epa.gov*. Electronic comments must be submitted as an ASCII, WP5.1, WP6.1 or WP8 file

avoiding the use of special characters and form of encryption. Electronic comments must be identified by docket number W-99-18. Comments and data will also be accepted on discs in WP5.1, WP6.1, WP8, or ASCII file format. To ensure that EPA can read, understand, and, therefore, properly respond to comments, the Agency would prefer that commenters cite, where possible, the paragraph(s) or sections in the notice or supporting documents to which each comment refers. Commentors should use a separate paragraph for each issue.

The record is available for inspection from 9:00am to 4:00pm Eastern Standard or Daylight time, Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays at the Water Docket, EB 57, USEPA Headquarters, 401 M Street, SW, Washington, D.C. 20460. For access to the docket materials, please call 202-260-3027 to schedule an appointment.

For information on the existing rule in 40 CFR Part 503, you may obtain a copy of A Plain English Guide to the EPA Part 503 Biosolids Rule on the Internet at <http://www.epa.gov/owm/bio.htm> or request the document (EPA publication number EPA/832/R-93/003) from: Municipal Technology Branch, Office of Wastewater Management (4204), Office of Water, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW., Washington, D.C. 20460.

**Background**

On December 23, 1999, EPA (64 FR 72045) proposed to amend management

standards for sewage sludge by adding a numeric concentration limit for dioxin and dioxin-like compounds ("dioxins") in sewage sludge that is applied to the land, and monitoring, record keeping and reporting requirements for dioxins in sewage sludge that is land applied. EPA did not propose additional numeric standards or management practice requirements for dioxins in sewage sludge that is placed in surface disposal units or incinerated in sewage sludge incinerators. The proposal was based on the results of risk assessments for dioxins in sewage sludge that is applied to the land, placed in surface disposal units, or incinerated.

EPA established a 60-day public comment period which is scheduled to close on February 22, 2000. Subsequently, we received requests to extend the public comment period. The requests were based on the time that it would take to review the technical support documents of the proposed rule. We agree that a reopening is warranted to allow adequate time for the review of the technical support documents for this proposal. Therefore, the public comment period will now close on March 23, 2000.

Dated: February 24, 2000.

**J. Charles Fox,**

*Assistant Administrator for Water.*

[FR Doc. 00-5044 Filed 3-1-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**