Potential candidates should submit the following information: Name, occupation, organization, position, address, telephone number and a brief resume containing their background, experience, qualifications, and other relevant information as part of the consideration process. Any interested person and/or organization may submit the name(s) of qualified persons.

Copies of the PPDC Charter are filed with appropriate committees of Congress and the Library of Congress and are available upon request.

List of Subjects

Environmental protection, Agriculture, Agricultural workers, Chemicals, Foods, Pesticides, Pests, Risk assessment, Tolerance reassessment, Public health.

Dated: August 24, 2003.

James Jones

Director, Office of Pesticide Programs. [FR Doc. 03–22163 Filed 8–28–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–S

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-7550-8]

Access to Confidential Business Information by Enrollees Under the Senior Environmental Employment Program

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: EPA has authorized grantee organizations under the Senior Environmental Employment (SEE) Program, and their enrollees; access to information which has been submitted to EPA under the environmental statutes administered by the Agency. Some of this information may be claimed or determined to be confidential business information (CBI).

DATES: Comments concerning CBI access will be accepted five days from the date of publication of this document.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be submitted to: Susan Street, National Program Director, Senior Environmental Employment Program (MC 3650A), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency; Ariel Rios Building, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20460. (Telephone (202) 564–0410).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Senior Environmental Employment (SEE) program is authorized by the Environmental Programs Assistance Act of 1984 (Pub. L. 98–313), which provides that the Administrator may

"make grants or enter into cooperative agreements" for the purpose of "providing technical assistance to: Federal, State, and local environmental agencies for projects of pollution prevention, abatement, and control." Cooperative agreements under the SEE program provide support for many functions in the Agency, including clerical support, staffing hot lines, providing support to Agency enforcement activities, providing library services, compiling data, and support in scientific, engineering, financial, and other areas.

In performing these tasks, grantees and cooperators under the SEE program and their enrollees may have access to potentially all documents submitted under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), Clean Air Act (CAA), Clean Water Act (CWA), Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA), Federal Insecticide, Fungicide and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA), Emergency Planning And Community Rights to Know Act (EPCRA) and Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA), to the extent that these statutes allow disclosure of confidential information to authorized representatives of the United States (or to "contractors" under the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act). Some of these documents may contain information claimed as confidential.

EPA provides confidential information to enrollees working under the following cooperative agreements:

Cooperative agree- ment number	Organization
CQ-830600 CQ-830835	National Association for <i>H</i> ispanic Elderly NAHE NAHE
CQ-830804	National Asian Pacific Center on Aging NAPCA
	National Caucus and Center on <i>B</i> lack Aged, Inc.
CQ-830940	NCBA
CQ-830977	NCBA
CQ-830978 CQ-830979	NCBA NCBA
CQ-830979 CQ-830981	NCBA
CQ-831052	NCBA
	National Council On the Aging, Inc.
NONE	
	National Older Worker Career Center

Cooperative agree- ment number	Organization
CQ-829511 CQ-830915 CQ-830916 CQ-830917 CQ-830919 CQ-830920 CQ-830968	NOWCC NOWCC NOWCC NOWCC NOWCC NOWCC NOWCC NOWCC
CQ-829805 CQ-830406 CQ-830407 CQ-831290	Senior Service America, <i>I</i> nc. SSAI SSAI SSAI SSAI

Among the procedures established by EPA confidentiality regulations for granting access is notification to the submitters of confidential data that SEE grantee organizations and their enrollees will have access. 40 CFR 2.201(h)(2)(iii). This document is intended to fulfill that requirement.

The grantee organizations are required by the cooperative agreements to protect confidential information. SEE enrollees are required to sign confidentiality agreements and to adhere to the same security procedures as Federal employees.

Dated: August 19, 2003.

Noel R. Jamison,

Director, Human Resources Staff #1. [FR Doc. 03–22161 Filed 8–28–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6643-4]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564–7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in the **Federal Register** dated April 4, 2003 (68 FR 16511).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-AFS-F65040-WI Rating EC2, Programmatic EIS—Cheguamegon-Nicolet National Forests Revised Land and Resource Management Plan, Implementation, Ashland, Bayfield, Florence, Forest, Langlade, Oconto, Oneida, Price, Sawyer, Taylor and Vilas Counties, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding lack of management strategies for watershed protections, problem species, alternative descriptions, and unauthorized ATV traffic.

ERP No. D–AFS–J65381–SD Rating EC2, Sioux Ranger District Oil and Gas Leasing Project, Implementation, Sioux Ranger District, Custer National Forest, Harding County, SD.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns with potential adverse impacts to air quality and cultural resources. The final EIS should include information on potential air quality impacts from the fire flood oil recovery method and consideration of both direct and indirect project impacts to traditional cultural properties.

ERP No. D-AFS-J65386-MT Rating EC2, Programmatic EIS—Winter Motorized Recreation Amendment 24, Proposal to Change the Flathead National Land and Resource Management Plan, Flathead National Forest, Flathead, Lake and Lincoln Counties, MT.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns with potential impacts to air quality and human health in areas of concentrated use. Also, EPA expressed environmental concerns with the preferred alternative which potentially reduces protection to grizzly bear security.

ERP No. DC-AFS-L65155-00 Rating EC2, Northern Spotted Owl Management Plans, Removal or Modification of the Survey and Manage Mitigation Measure Standards and Guidelines in the Final Supplemental EIS (1994) and Final Supplement EIS (2002) for Amendments, Northwest Forest Plan, WA, CA and OR.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about the protection of high risk species. An alternative limiting Survey and Manage requirements to a smaller number of species at high risk should be considered. More detail is needed on implementing special species programs, including a detailed implementation and proposed mitigation plan and for species removed from Survey and Manage.

Final EISs

ERP No. F-AFS-F65037-MI, Interior Wetlands Project, Timber Harvest, White Pine Trees Pruning, Growth System Adjustment, Wildlife Openings Creation and Maintenance and Transportation System Improvements, Hiawatha National Forest, Eastside Administrative Unit, Chippewa County, MI.

Summary: EPA continues to have no objections to the project, since no major changes have been made. The preferred alternative was also the alternative favored by EPA.

ERP No. F-AFS-J65342-00, Wasatch-Cache National Forest Revised Land and Resource Management Plan, Implementation, several counties, UT and Uinta County, WY.

Summary: EPÅ has remaining environmental concerns regarding protection of the watershed and ecological values. Implementation of the monitoring plan is critical to assuring that environmental improvements targets are met.

ERP No. F–AFS–K65417–CA, Blue Fire Forest Recovery Project, Proposal to Move the Existing Condition Caused by the Blue Fire of 2001 Towards the Desired Condition, Modoc National Forest, Warner Mountain Ranger District, Lassen and Modoc Counties, CA.

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F–AFS–L65410–ID, Gaylord North Timber Sale Project, Harvesting Timber, Council Ranger District, Payette National Forest, Adam County, ID.

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F-AFS-L65416–ID, Upper Bear Timber Sale Project, Fuel Reduction, Forest Vegetation and Roads Management, Payette National Forest, Council Ranger District, Adams County, ID.

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F-COE-J28021-CO, Rueter-Hess Reservoir Project, Construction and Operation, Proposed Water Supply Reservoir and Off-Stream Dam, U.S. Army COE Section 404 Permit, Endangered Species Act (Section 7) Permit and Right-of-Way Use Permit, Located on Newlin Gulch along Cherry Creek, Town of Parker, Douglas County, CO.

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F-UAF-K11110-CA, Los Angeles Air Force Base Land Conveyance, Construction and Development Project, Transfer Portions of Private Development in Exchange for Construction of New Seismically Stable Facilities, Cities of EL Sequndo and Hawthorne, Los Angeles County, CA.

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. FS–AFS–J65353–MT, Threemile Stewardship Project, Additional Information concerning the Potential Effects on the Goshawk Habitat, Ashland Ranger District, Custer National Forest, Powder and Rosebud Counties, MT.

Summary: EPA did not object to the preferred alternative to harvest and thin timber, use prescribed fire, and manage road systems. EPA continues to have environmental concerns with potential project effects to goshawk nest territories, and measures for implementing adequate oversight of the timber contractor during project implementation via stewardship contracting to adequately address environmental and ecological concerns.

ERP No. FS-COE-E36154-FL, Upper St. Johns River Basin and Related Areas, Central and Southern Florida Flood Control Project, Proposed Modifications to Project Features North of the Fellsmere Grade to Preserve and Enhance Floodplain and Aquatic Habitats, Brevard County, FL.

Summary: EPA has no objections to the proposed project modifications to improve water quality.

Dated: August 26, 2003.

Joseph C. Montgomery,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 03–22164 Filed 8–28–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6643-3]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564–7167 or http://www.epa.gov/ compliance/nepa.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements

- Filed August 18, 2003 through August 22, 2003.
- Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 030387, Draft EIS, AFS, AL, Forest Health and Red-Cockaded Woodpecker (RCW) Initiative, Implementation, Talladega National Forest, Talladega and Shoal Creek Ranger Districts, Calhoun, Cherokee, Clay, Clebourne and Talladega Counties, AL, Comment Period Ends: October 14, 2003, Contact: Jeff Seefeldt (265) 362–2909.

EIS No. 030388, Draft EIS, CGD, WA, Seattle Monorail Project (SMP), Construct and Operate a 14-mile Monorail Transit System called the Green Line, Reviewing Green Line's water crossing at the Lake Washington Ship Canal Bridge and Duwamish Waterway Bridge Modification, USCG