

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[S-136-2013]

Approval of Subzone Status; VF Jeanswear; Hackleburg, Alabama

On September 19, 2013, the Executive Secretary of the Foreign-Trade Zones (FTZ) Board docketed an application submitted by the Huntsville-Madison County Airport Authority, grantee of FTZ 83, requesting subzone status subject to the existing activation limit of FTZ 83 on behalf of VF Jeanswear in Hackleburg, Alabama.

The application was processed in accordance with the FTZ Act and Regulations, including notice in the **Federal Register** inviting public comment (78 FR 59469, 9/27/2013). The FTZ staff examiner reviewed the application and determined that it meets the criteria for approval.

Pursuant to the authority delegated to the FTZ Board's Executive Secretary (15 CFR 400.36(f)), the application to establish Subzone 83C is approved, subject to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations, including Section 400.13 and further subject to FTZ 83's 2,000-acre activation limit.

Dated: December 19, 2013.

Andrew McGilvray,
Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2013-31352 Filed 12-30-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-423-808]

Stainless Steel Plate in Coils From Belgium: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2011-2012

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, formerly Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On June 10, 2013, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the antidumping duty administrative review on stainless steel plate in coils (steel plate) from Belgium.¹ This review covers one manufacturer/exporter of the subject merchandise: Aperam Stainless Belgium

N.V. (ASB). The period of review (POR) is May 1, 2011, through April 30, 2012.

Based on our analysis of the comments received, we determined that ASB made sales at less than normal value. For the final weighted-average dumping margin, see the "Final Results of Review" section below.

DATES: *Effective Date:* December 31, 2013.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jolanta Lawska at 202-482-8362; AD/CVD Operations, Office III, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Background**

On June 10, 2013, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the *Preliminary Results*. We invited interested parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*. On July 10, 2013, the Department received a case brief from ASB. On July 18, 2013, the Department received a rebuttal brief from the petitioners.² No party requested a hearing.

As explained in the memorandum from the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, the Department exercised its discretion to toll deadlines for the duration of the closure of the Federal Government from October 1, through October 16, 2013.³ Therefore, all deadlines in this segment of the proceeding were extended by 16 days. If the new deadline falls on a non-business day, in accordance with the Department's practice, the deadline will become the next business day. Pursuant to the Tolling Memorandum, on October 21, 2013, the Department issued a memorandum extending the time period for issuing the final results to October 24, 2013.⁴

On October 23, 2013, the Department issued a memorandum extending the time period for issuing the final results of this administrative review from

² Petitioners are Allegheny Ludlum Corporation, North American Stainless, United Auto Workers Local 3303, Zanesville Armco Independent Organization, and the United Steel, Paper and Forestry, Rubber, Manufacturing, Energy, Allied Industrial and Service Workers International Union (AFL-CIO/CLC).

³ See Memorandum for the Record from Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, "Deadlines Affected by the Shutdown of the Federal Government" (Tolling Memorandum), dated October 18, 2013.

⁴ See Memorandum to Eric Greynolds, Program Manager, Antidumping and Duty Operations, Office 8, from Jolanta Lawska, Trade Analyst, Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, Office 8 regarding extension of deadline for final results dated October 21, 2013.

October 24, 2013 to November 25, 2013.⁵ On November 22, 2013, the Department issued a memorandum extending the time period for issuing the final results of this administrative review from November 25, 2013, to December 10, 2013.⁶ On December 9, 2013, the Department issued a memorandum extending the time period for issuing the final results of this administrative review from December 10, 2013, to December 23, 2013.⁷

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the *Order*⁸ is certain stainless steel plate in coils. Stainless steel is alloy steel containing, by weight, 1.2 percent or less of carbon and 10.5 percent or more of chromium, with or without other elements. The subject plate products are flat-rolled products, 254 mm or over in width and 4.75 mm or more in thickness, in coils, and annealed or otherwise heat treated and pickled or otherwise descaled. The product is currently classified under the

⁵ See Memorandum to Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary, for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, through Melissa Skinner, Director, Office III Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations from Eric Greynolds, Program Manager, Office III Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations regarding Stainless Steel Plate in Coils from Belgium: Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2011-2012 (October 22, 2013).

⁶ See Memorandum to Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary, for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, through Melissa Skinner, Director, Office III Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations from Eric Greynolds, Program Manager, Office III Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations regarding Stainless Steel Plate in Coils from Belgium: Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2011-2012 (November 22, 2013).

⁷ See Memorandum to Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary, for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, through Melissa Skinner, Director, Office III Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations from Eric Greynolds, Program Manager, Office III Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations regarding Stainless Steel Plate in Coils from Belgium: Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2011-2012 (December 9, 2013).

⁸ See *Antidumping Duty Orders; Certain Stainless Steel Plate in Coils From Belgium, Canada, Italy, the Republic of Korea, South Africa, and Taiwan*, 64 FR 27756 (May 21, 1999); *Notice of Amended Antidumping Duty Orders; Certain Stainless Steel Plate in Coils From Belgium, Canada, Italy, the Republic of Korea, South Africa, and Taiwan*, 68 FR 11520 (March 11, 2003); *Notice of Amended Antidumping Duty Orders; Certain Stainless Steel Plate in Coils From Belgium, Canada, Italy, the Republic of Korea, South Africa, and Taiwan*, 68 FR 16117 (April 2, 2003); *Notice of Correction to the Amended Antidumping Duty Orders; Certain Stainless Steel Plate in Coils From Belgium, Canada, Italy, the Republic of Korea, South Africa, and Taiwan*, 68 FR 20114 (April 24, 2003) (collectively, Antidumping Order).

¹ See *Stainless Steel Plate in Coils From Belgium: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2011-2012*, 78 FR 34644 (June 10, 2013) (*Preliminary Results*).

Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) item numbers 7219.11.00.30, 7219.11.00.60, 7219.12.00.02, 7219.12.00.06, 7219.12.00.21, 7219.12.00.26, 7219.12.00.51, 7219.12.00.56, 7219.12.00.66, 7219.12.00.71, 7219.12.00.81, 7219.31.00.10, 7219.90.00.10, 7219.90.00.20, 7219.90.00.25, 7219.90.00.60, 7219.90.00.80, 7220.11.00.00, 7220.20.10.10, 7220.20.10.15, 7220.20.10.60, 7220.20.10.80, 7220.20.60.05, 7220.20.60.10, 7220.20.60.15, 7220.20.60.60, 7220.20.60.80, 7220.90.00.10, 7220.90.00.15, 7220.90.00.60 and 7220.90.00.80. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written product description remains dispositive.⁹

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties to this administrative review are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file in the Central Records Unit (CRU), room 7046 of the main Department of Commerce building, as well as electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (IA ACCESS). IA ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://iaaccess.trade.gov> and in the CRU. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Internet at <http://enforcement.ita.doc.gov/frn/index.html>. The signed Issues and Decision Memorandum and the electronic versions of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Final Results of Review

As a result of our review, we determined that the following weighted-average dumping margin exists for the period May 1, 2011, through April 30, 2012:

Manufacturer/exporter	Weighted-average dumping margin
Aperam Stainless Belgium N.V.	0.63%

Assessment Rates

The Department shall determine and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the publication date of the final results of this review. Since the weighted-average dumping margin is above *de minimis*, we calculated importer-specific *ad valorem* duty assessment rates based on the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the importer's examined sales to the total entered value of those same sales in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1). We will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review since the importer-specific assessment rate calculated in the final results of this review is above *de minimis* (i.e., 0.50 percent). Where either a respondent's weighted-average dumping margin is zero or *de minimis*, or an importer-specific assessment rate is zero or *de minimis*, we instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.

The Department clarified its "automatic assessment" regulation on May 6, 2003.¹⁰ This clarification will apply to entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by the respondent for which it did not know its merchandise was destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction. For a full discussion of this clarification, see *Assessment Policy Notice*.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following antidumping duty deposit rates will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for all shipments of steel plate from Belgium entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of these final results, as provided for by section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act): (1) For ASB, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established in the final results of this review; (2) if the exporter is not a

firm covered in this review, but was covered in a previous review or the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate established for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the LTFV investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the subject merchandise; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered by this review, a prior review, or the LTFV investigation, the cash deposit rate will be 8.54 percent *ad valorem*, the "all-others" rate established in the LTFV investigation.¹¹ These deposit rates, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Reimbursement of Duties

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.¹²

Notification to Interested Parties

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

These final results of review are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h).

⁹ For a full description of the scope of the order, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Stainless Steel Plate in Coils from Belgium; 2011–2012 from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, dated concurrent with and hereby adopted by this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

¹⁰ See *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003) (*Assessment Policy Notice*).

¹¹ See *Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Stainless Steel Plate in Coils from Belgium*, 64 FR 15476 (March 31, 1999), as amended by *Implementation of the Findings of the WTO Panel in U.S.—Zeroing (EC): Notice of Determinations Under Section 129 of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act and Revocations and Partial Revocations of Certain Antidumping Duty Orders*, 72 FR 25261 (May 4, 2007).

¹² See 19 CFR 351.402(f)(3).

Dated: December 23, 2013.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix—List of Comments in the Accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum

Comment 1: Withdrawal of the Regulatory Provisions Governing Targeted Dumping in Less-Than-Fair-Value Investigations

Comment 2: Use of the Average-to-Average Comparison Method in Administrative Reviews

Comment 3: Use of an Alternative Comparison Method in Administrative Reviews

Comment 4: Denial of Offsets with the Average-to-Transaction Comparison Method

Comment 5: Differential Pricing Analysis

[FR Doc. 2013–31345 Filed 12–30–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–201–805]

Certain Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe From Mexico: Final Results of the 2011–2012 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, formerly Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On August 9, 2013, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain circular welded non-alloy steel pipe from Mexico for the period November 1, 2011 through October 31, 2012.¹ For the final results, we continue to find that Lamina y Placa Comercial, S.A. de C.V. (Lamina), Mueller Comercial de Mexico, S. de R.L. de C.V. (Mueller), Regiomontana de Perfiles y Tubos, S.A. de C.V. (Regiopytsa), and Tuberia Nacional, S.A. de C. V. (TUNA) made no shipments of subject merchandise during the period of review (POR). We made no changes to the *Preliminary Results*.

DATES: *Effective Date:* December 31, 2013.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mark Flessner or Robert James, AD/CVD Operations, Office VI, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade

Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–6312 and (202) 482–0649, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 9, 2013, the Department published the *Preliminary Results* in the **Federal Register**.² In the *Preliminary Results*, the Department rescinded this administrative review with respect to four respondents for which reviews had been initiated but subsequently timely withdrawn (*i.e.*, Conduit S.A. de C.V., PYTCO, S.A. de C.V., Southland Pipe Nipples Co., Inc., and Ternium Mexico, S.A. de C.V.). We also preliminarily determined that Lamina, Mueller, Regiopytsa, and TUNA made no shipments during the POR. We invited parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*. We received no comments.

Scope of the Order

The products covered by this order are circular welded non-alloy steel pipes and tubes, of circular cross-section, not more than 406.4 millimeters (16 inches) in outside diameter, regardless of wall thickness, surface finish (black, galvanized, or painted), or end finish (plain end, beveled end, threaded, or threaded and coupled).³ The merchandise covered by the order and subject to this review is currently classified in the *Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States* (HTSUS) at subheadings: 7306.30.10.00, 7306.30.50.25, 7306.30.50.32, 7306.30.50.40, 7306.30.50.55, 7306.30.50.85, and 7306.30.50.90. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of these proceedings is dispositive.

Determination of No Shipments

As noted in the *Preliminary Results*, we received no-shipment claims from Lamina, Mueller, Regiopytsa, and TUNA, and we confirmed these claims with U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP). Because we continue to find that the record indicates that Lamina, Mueller, Regiopytsa, and TUNA did not export subject merchandise to the United States during

the POR, we determine that they had no reviewable transactions during the POR.

Assessment

The Department will determine, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries, pursuant to section 751(a)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.212(b). We will issue appraisal instructions directly to CBP to assess antidumping duties on appropriate entries by applying the assessment rate to the entered value of the merchandise. Pursuant to 19 CFR 356.8(a), the Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 41 days after the date of publication of these final results of review.

On May 6, 2003, the Department clarified its “automatic assessment” regulation.⁴ This clarification will apply to entries of subject merchandise during the POR for which the reviewed company did not know its merchandise was destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.

For all entries by Lamina, Mueller, Regiopytsa, and TUNA, we will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties in accordance with the reseller policy.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of these final results for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption, on or after the publication date of these final results of administrative review, consistent with section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for the reviewed companies will continue to be the company-specific rates published for the most recently completed segment in which the company participated; (2) for merchandise exported by producers or exporters not covered in this review, but covered in a previous segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding in which that manufacturer or exporter participated; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in a prior segment of this proceeding, but the manufacturer is, then the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding for the manufacturer of the subject

² *Id.*

³ For the complete scope of this order, see *Notice of Antidumping Duty Orders: Certain Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe from Brazil, the Republic of Korea (Korea), Mexico, and Venezuela and Amendment to Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe from Korea*, 57 FR 49453 (November 2, 1992).

⁴ See *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003) (reseller policy).

¹ See *Certain Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe from Mexico: Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*; 2011–2012, 78 FR 48647 (August 9, 2013) (*Preliminary Results*).