

Wadsworth Blvd., Littleton, CO 80128–6901; (303) 979–4120;
mcrgeis@usace.army.mil.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: None.

Timothy T. Carey,
Chief, Denver Regulatory Office.
 [FR Doc. E9–10734 Filed 5–7–09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3720–58–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Army; Corps of Engineers

Board on Coastal Engineering Research

AGENCY: Department of the Army, DoD.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: In accordance with Section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463), announcement is made of the following committee meeting:

Name of Committee: Board on Coastal Engineering Research.

Date of Meeting: June 3–4, 2009.

Place: Douglas Pavilion A Ballroom, Manchester Grand Hyatt San Diego, One Market Place, San Diego, CA 92101.

Time: 8 a.m. to 5:15 p.m. (June 3, 2009); 8 a.m. to 5 p.m. (June 4, 2009).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Inquiries and notice of intent to attend the meeting may be addressed to COL Gary E. Johnston, Executive Secretary, U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center, Waterways Experiment Station, 3909 Halls Ferry Road, Vicksburg, MS 39180–6199.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Board provides broad policy guidance and review of plans and fund requirements for the conduct of research and development of research projects in consonance with the needs of the coastal engineering field and the objectives of the Chief of Engineers.

Proposed Agenda: The goal of the meeting is to examine data needs to enable systems-scale decision making for coastal projects and management. Presentations on Wednesday morning, June 3, will consist of Coastal Working Group Meeting Report; Introduction—Overall Vision of Corps as Data Developers and Users; Flood and Coastal—Data Requirements for Coastal Project Planning, Engineering, Construction, and Operations; Emergency Management—Forecasting Hurricane Gustav, from Numerical Models to -time Data; Navigation—Data Requirements for Coastal Navigation Project Planning, Engineering, Construction, and Operations; Coastal,

Navigation, and Emergency Response Data Use; Gulf of Mexico Alliance (GOMA)—Multi-state Data Requirements; and National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's (NOAA's) Digital Coast. The afternoon presentations will include Data to Support Climate Change Studies; Impact of Data on Marine Transportation System Including Management of Threatened and Endangered Species; National Plan on Ocean and Coastal Mapping (OCM)—Long-term Plans/Direction for OCM; Future of Integrated Ocean Observing System (IOOS)—Long-term Plans/Directions for IOOS; Future Coast—Organized and Sustainable or Chaotic and Dying?—Data Needed to Quantify Conditions and Monitor Change; and Challenges in Future Requirements and Directions: The Way Ahead.

The presentations on Thursday, June 4, 2009, include National Perspective on Gaps in Coastal Data; California Sediment Management—Goals, Relationships, Data Needs; Technical Tools for Regional Sediment Management; Regional Sediment Management Plan Development throughout California, Highlighting the San Diego Region; USGS Data Collection Activities in California; San Francisco District Coastal Activities and Coastal Watershed Demonstration at Santa Cruz Harbor; and Los Angeles District Activities and Data Utilization.

Thursday afternoon, June 4, is devoted to a bus field trip for general attendees and the Board Executive Session to discuss ongoing initiatives and actions.

These meetings are open to the public. Participation by the public is scheduled for 12 p.m. on Thursday, June 4.

The entire meeting and field trip are open to the public, but since seating capacity is limited, advance notice of attendance is required. Oral participation by public attendees is encouraged during the time scheduled on the agenda; written statements may be submitted prior to the meeting or up to 30 days after the meeting.

Brenda S. Bowen,

Army Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. E9–10736 Filed 5–7–09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3720–58–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

Defense Intelligence Agency National Defense Intelligence College Board of Visitors Closed Meeting

AGENCY: Department of Defense, Defense Intelligence Agency, National Defense Intelligence College.

ACTION: Notice of closed meeting.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the provisions of subsection (d) of section 10 of Public Law 92–463, as amended by section 5 of Public Law 94–409, notice is hereby given that a closed meeting of the Defense Intelligence Agency National Defense Intelligence College Board of Visitors has been scheduled as follows:
DATES: Tuesday, June 2, 2009 (8 a.m. to 5 p.m.) and Wednesday, June 3, 2009 (8 a.m. to 12 p.m.).

ADDRESSES: National Defense Intelligence College, Washington, DC 20340–5100.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. A. Denis Clift, President, DIA National Defense Intelligence College, Washington, DC 20340–5100 (202/231–3344).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The entire meeting is devoted to the discussion of classified information as defined in section 552b (c) (1), Title 5 of the U.S. Code and therefore will be closed. The Board will discuss several current critical intelligence issues and advise the Director, DIA, as to the successful accomplishment of the mission assigned to the National Defense Intelligence College.

Dated: May 5, 2009.

Patricia L. Toppings,

*OSD Federal Register, Liaison Officer,
 Department of Defense.*

[FR Doc. E9–10705 Filed 5–7–09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001–06–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Army; Corps of Engineers

Rescission of Draft Environmental Impact Statement for Proposed Dam Powerhouse Rehabilitations and Possible Operational Changes at the Wolf Creek, Center Hill, and Dale Hollow Dams, Kentucky and Tennessee

AGENCY: Department of the Army, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, DoD.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), the

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps), Nashville District published its intention to prepare a Draft Environmental Impact Statement relating to proposed dam powerhouse rehabilitations and possible operational changes at the Wolf Creek, Center Hill, and Dale Hollow Dams in Kentucky and Tennessee. Instead, the Corps has decided to evaluate impacts associated with Center Hill Dam powerhouse rehabilitation with an Environmental Assessment (EA). Separate EAs will also be prepared for powerhouse rehabilitations at Wolf Creek and Dale Hollow Dams in the future. Each of these rehabilitation projects are unconnected stand-alone projects that will occur at different times and in different geographic areas.

ADDRESSES: Send written comments and suggestions concerning this proposed project to Chip Hall, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Nashville District, P.O. Box 1070, Nashville, TN 37202-1070. *Electronic mail:* hydropower.rehab@Lrn02.usace.army.mil. Requests to be placed on the mailing list should also be sent to this address.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Chip Hall, *Telephone:* 615-736-7666.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

1. *Background.* The hydropower major rehabilitation evaluation study for Center Hill Dam was conducted under the authorities of ER 1130-2.500, December 27, 1996, Partners and Support (Work Management Policies), Chapter 3—Major Rehabilitation Program; EP 1130-2.500, December 27, 1996, Partners and Support (Work Management Policies), Chapter 3—Major Rehabilitation Program; and EC 11-2-179, March 13, 2000, Corps of Engineers Civil Works Directorate Program, Program Development Guidance, Fiscal Year 2000. Key proposed project features or issues that were evaluated in the DEIS included the following:

a. Rehabilitation of turbines including installation of Auto Venting Turbines to improve dissolved oxygen (DO) levels in the tailwaters.

b. Providing minimum releases to ensure continuous flows between periods of generation.

c. Evaluating the effects of increased tailwater flows on downstream resources, and changes to the hydraulics and hydrology of the rivers.

d. Other alternatives to be studied included: No Action; restoration to the “original” 1948 condition; refurbishing existing units; oxygenating water in the dam forebays prior to release; and releasing water through the sluice gates.

2. *Public Participation.* This study was originally initiated in 2003, at which time a Scoping Letter was issued to all known interested individuals and a Notice of Intent (NOI) was published in the **Federal Register** on September 25, 2003 (68 FR 55376). Due to funding constraints the study was stopped in 2004 before a Draft EIS could be prepared. The study was reinitiated in November 2007 and a second NOI published in the **Federal Register** on November 26, 2007 (72 FR 65950). A Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) was distributed to pertinent federal and state agencies and a Notice of Availability was placed in the **Federal Register** in April 11, 2008 (73 FR 19834).

3. *Changes during the Environmental Review Process.* Since the DEIS was distributed to the public, it was determined that turbine operations, post proposed power plant rehabilitations, would be subject to the existing Water Control Manuals which govern how each Dam and Reservoir Project and the Cumberland System as a whole is operated. The turbines would be capable of discharging more water; however, turbine gate settings will limit discharges under non-spilling operations to previously existing rates. This significantly reduces the potential impacts of the alternatives being evaluated as flows during normal hydropower generation would be unchanged. However, during extreme flood control situations, it is possible that water that was previously spilled from the project could be passed through a turbine. At the onset of the DEIS, potentially higher hydropower discharge rates were being considered for normal post-rehab operations. The DEIS did not take this limitation on flows into account.

It was also determined that because Dale Hollow Dam did not have any specific recommendations or proposals for rehab, it is premature for it to have been included with Center Hill and Wolf Creek projects. Therefore, it was determined that the DEIS should be rescinded and that an Environmental Assessment should be prepared to determine any significant impacts related to Center Hill Hydropower Rehabilitation Project.

4. *Schedule.* It is anticipated that an Environmental Assessment will be prepared for the Center Hill Hydropower Rehabilitation Project and

will be available for Public Review by June 2009.

Bernard R. Lindstrom,

Lieutenant Colonel, Corps of Engineers, District Engineer.

[FR Doc. E9-10731 Filed 5-7-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3720-58-P

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

AGENCY: Department of Education

SUMMARY: The Director, Information Collection Clearance Division, Regulatory Information Management Services, Office of Management invites comments on the submission for OMB review as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before June 8, 2009.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention: Education Desk Officer, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street, NW., Room 10222, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503, be faxed to (202) 395-5806 or send e-mail to oir_submission@omb.eop.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) requires that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) provide interested Federal agencies and the public an early opportunity to comment on information collection requests. OMB may amend or waive the requirement for public consultation to the extent that public participation in the approval process would defeat the purpose of the information collection, violate State or Federal law, or substantially interfere with any agency's ability to perform its statutory obligations. The Director, Regulatory Information Management Services, Office of Management, publishes that notice containing proposed information collection requests prior to submission of these requests to OMB. Each proposed information collection, grouped by office, contains the following: (1) Type of review requested, e.g. new, revision, extension, existing or reinstatement; (2) Title; (3) Summary of the collection; (4) Description of the need for, and proposed use of, the information; (5) Respondents and frequency of collection; and (6) Reporting and/or Recordkeeping burden. OMB invites public comment.