

Please visit the Web site below for additional information and to submit your registration request: <https://www.seeuthere.com/event/m1312d11-4OFJ4NPANEZX1>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Casandra Robinson, by telephone at 202-305-2596 [Note: this is not a toll-free telephone number], or by e-mail at casandra.robinson@usdoj.gov.

Dated: November 17, 2010.

John H. Laub,

Director, National Institute of Justice.

[FR Doc. 2010-29464 Filed 11-22-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-18-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Office of the Secretary

Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request; Rigging Equipment for Material Handling

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Labor (DOL) hereby announces the submission of the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) sponsored information collection request (ICR) titled, "Rigging Equipment for Material Handling (29 CFR 1926.251)," to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval for continued use in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, 44 U.S.C. chapter 35).

DATES: Submit comments on or before December 23, 2010.

ADDRESSES: A copy of this ICR, with applicable supporting documentation; including a description of the likely respondents, proposed frequency of response, and estimated total burden may be obtained from the RegInfo.gov Web site, <http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain> or by contacting Michel Smyth by telephone at 202-693-4129 (this is not a toll-free number) or sending an e-mail to DOL_PRA_PUBLIC@dol.gov.

Submit comments about this request to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: OMB Desk Officer for the Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503, Telephone: 202-395-4816/Fax: 202-395-5806 (these are not toll-free numbers), e-mail: OIRA_submission@omb.eop.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Contact Michel Smyth by telephone at 202-693-4129 (this is not a toll-free

number) or by e-mail at DOL_PRA_PUBLIC@dol.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The collection of information provisions of the rigging equipment for material handling standard specify affixing identification tags or marking on rigging equipment, developing and maintaining inspection records, and retaining proof-testing certificates. These information collections are subject to the PRA. A Federal agency generally cannot conduct or sponsor a collection of information, and the public is generally not required to respond to an information collection, unless it is currently approved by the OMB under the PRA and displays a currently valid OMB Control Number. In addition, notwithstanding any other provisions of law, no person shall generally be subject to penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if the collection of information does not display a currently valid OMB control number. See 5 CFR 1320.5(a) and 1320.6. The DOL obtains OMB approval for this information collection under OMB Control Number 1218-0233. The current OMB approval is scheduled to expire on November 30, 2010. For additional information, see the related notice published in the **Federal Register** on August 24, 2010 (75 FR 52033).

Interested parties are encouraged to send comments to the OMB, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs at the address shown in the **ADDRESSES** section within 30 days of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. In order to ensure the appropriate consideration, comments should reference OMB Control Number 1218-0233. The OMB is particularly interested in comments that:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Agency: Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA).

Type of Review: Extension without change of currently approved collection.

Title of Collection: Rigging Equipment for Material Handling (29 CFR 1926.251).

OMB Control Number: 1218-0233.

Affected Public: Business or other for-profits.

Total Estimated Number of Respondents: 277,428.

Total Estimated Number of Responses: 277,428.

Total Estimated Annual Burden Hours: 51,815.

Total Estimated Annual Costs Burden: \$0.

Dated: November 15, 2010.

Michel Smyth,

Departmental Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 2010-29446 Filed 11-22-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-26-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-73,145]

The Jewelry Stream, Los Angeles, CA; Notice of Affirmation Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated October 1, 2010, a California state workforce official requested administrative reconsideration of the Department of Labor's termination of investigation applicable to workers and former workers of M&L Manufacturing, Inc. and The Jewelry Stream, Los Angeles, California. The termination notice was signed on August 20, 2010, and was published in the **Federal Register** on September 3, 2010 (75 FR 54187).

The termination of investigation was based on information obtained during the initial investigation that the firm identified in the Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) petition—M&L Manufacturing, Inc. and The Jewelry Stream, Los Angeles, California—is not one firm but are separate, unaffiliated companies. Therefore, the Department determined that the petition is invalid.

In the request for reconsideration, the state workforce official stated that the individual on whose behalf the TTA petition was filed believed that the aforementioned companies are one firm. In support of the request for reconsideration, the state workforce official supplied new and additional information provided by the individual who sought assistance from the state