

B. Docket Content

The registration review docket contains information that the agency may consider in the course of the registration review. The agency may include information from its files including, but not limited to, the following information:

- An overview of the registration review case status.
- A list of current product registrations and registrants.
- **Federal Register** notices regarding any pending registration actions.
- **Federal Register** notices regarding current or pending tolerances.
- Risk assessments.
- Bibliographies concerning current registrations.
- Summaries of incident data.
- Any other pertinent data or information.

Each docket contains a document summarizing what the agency currently knows about the pesticide case and a preliminary work plan for anticipated data and assessment needs. Additional documents provide more detailed information. During this public comment period, the agency is asking that interested persons identify any additional information they believe the agency should consider during the registration reviews of these pesticides. The agency identifies in each docket the areas where public comment is specifically requested, though comment in any area is welcome.

The registration review final rule at 40 CFR 155.50(b) provides for a minimum 60-day public comment period on all preliminary registration review work plans. This comment period is intended to provide an opportunity for public input and a mechanism for initiating any necessary changes to a pesticide's workplan. All comments should be submitted using the methods in **ADDRESSES** and must be received by the EPA on or before the closing date. These comments will become part of the docket for the pesticides included in Table 1 in Unit IV. Comments received after the close of the comment period will be marked "late." The EPA is not required to consider these late comments.

The agency will carefully consider all comments received by the closing date and may provide a "Response to Comments Memorandum" in the docket. The final registration review work plan will explain the effect that any comments had on the final work plan and provide the agency's response to significant comments.

Background on the registration review program is provided at: <https://www.epa.gov/pesticide-reevaluation>.

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 136 *et seq.*

Dated: January 23, 2023.

Mary Elissa Reaves,
Director, Pesticide Re-Evaluation Division,
Office of Pesticide Programs.

[FR Doc. 2023-01712 Filed 1-26-23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-HQ-OAR-2007-1182; FRL-10234-01-OAR]

Proposed Information Collection Request; Comment Request; Emissions Certification and Compliance Requirements for Nonroad Compression-Ignition Engines and On-Highway Heavy Duty Engines (Revision)

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency is planning to submit an information collection requests (ICRs) "Emissions Certification and Compliance Requirements for Nonroad Compression-ignition Engines and On-highway Heavy Duty Engines (Revision)," (EPA ICR No. 1684.20, OMB Control No. 2060-0287) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*). Before doing so, EPA is soliciting public comments on specific aspects of the proposed information collections as described below. This is a proposed extension of the ICR, which is currently approved through March 31, 2023. An Agency may not conduct or sponsor and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before March 28, 2023.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, referencing the Docket ID Number EPA-HQ-OAR-2007-1182, online using www.regulations.gov (our preferred method), by email to a-and-r-Docket@epa.gov or by mail to: EPA Docket Center, Environmental Protection Agency, Mail Code 28221T, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460.

EPA's policy is that all comments received will be included in the public docket without change including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes profanity, threats, information claimed to be Confidential

Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Nydia Y. Reyes-Morales, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Mail Code 6405A, Washington, DC 20460; telephone number: 202-343-9264; email address: reyes-morales.nydia@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Supporting documents which explain in detail the information that the EPA will be collecting are available in the public docket for this ICR. The docket can be viewed online at www.regulations.gov or in person at the EPA Docket Center, EPA West, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave. NW, Washington, DC. The telephone number for the Docket Center is 202-566-1744. For additional information about EPA's public docket, visit <http://www.epa.gov/dockets>.

Pursuant to section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act, EPA is soliciting comments and information to enable it to: (i) evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (ii) evaluate the accuracy of the Agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (iii) enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (iv) minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses. EPA will consider the comments received and amend the ICR as appropriate. The final ICR package will then be submitted to OMB for review and approval. At that time, EPA will issue another **Federal Register** notice to announce the submission of the ICR to OMB and the opportunity to submit additional comments to OMB.

Abstract: For this ICR, EPA is seeking a revision to an existing package with a three-year extension.

Title II of the Clean Air Act, (42 U.S.C. 7521 *et seq.*; CAA), charges the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) with issuing certificates of conformity for those engines and vehicles that comply with applicable emission requirements. Such a certificate must be issued before those products may be legally introduced into commerce. To

apply for a certificate of conformity, manufacturers are required to submit descriptions of their planned production, detailed descriptions of emission control systems and test data. This information is organized by “families,” groups of engines/vehicles expected to have similar emission characteristics.

The emission values achieved during certification testing may also be used in the Averaging, Banking, and Trading (ABT) Program. The program allows engine manufacturers to bank credits for engine families that emit below the standard and use the credits to certify engine families that emit above the standard. They may also trade banked credits with other manufacturers. Participation in the ABT program is voluntary.

The CAA also mandates EPA to verify that manufacturers have successfully translated their certified prototypes into mass produced engines; and that these engines comply with emission standards throughout their useful lives. EPA verifies this through ‘Compliance Programs’ which include Production Line Testing (PLT), In-use Testing and Selective Enforcement Audits, (SEAs). Not all programs apply to all industries included in this ICR. PLT, which only applies to marine engines, is a self-audit program that allows engine manufacturers to monitor their products’ emissions profile with statistical certainty and minimize the cost of correcting errors through early

detection. In-use testing allows manufacturers and EPA to verify compliance with emission standards throughout an engine family’s useful life. Through SEAs, EPA verifies that test data submitted by engine manufacturers is reliable and testing is performed according to EPA regulations.

Under the Transition Program for Equipment Manufacturers (TPEM), NRCI equipment manufacturers were able to delay compliance with Tier 4 standards for up to seven years as long as they comply with certain limitations. The program, which has ended, sought to ease the impact of new emission standards on equipment manufacturers as they often need to redesign their products to accommodate changes in engine design. Although TPEM is no longer available, EPA keeping reporting forms for the duration of this collection.

There are varying recordkeeping and labeling requirements under all programs.

The information requested is collected by the Compliance Division (CD), Office of Transportation and Air Quality, Office of Air and Radiation, EPA. CD uses this information to issue certificates of conformity and ensure that manufacturers comply with applicable regulations and the CAA. Some HD data is also used by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) to implement their programs under 49 U.S.C. 32902. EPA’s and NHTSA’s Office of Enforcement and Compliance Assurance

and the Department of Justice may use the information for enforcement purposes. Most of the information is collected in electronic format and stored in CD’s databases.

Manufacturers may assert a claim of confidentiality over information provided to EPA. Confidentiality is granted in accordance with the Freedom of Information Act and EPA regulations at 40 CFR part 2. Non-confidential information may be disclosed on OTAQ’s website or upon request under the Freedom of Information Act to trade associations, environmental groups, and the public.

Form Numbers: Most of the information in this request is collected electronically through EPA’s Engines and Vehicles Compliance Information System (EV–CIS). EV–CIS uses webforms to collect most certification and some compliance data. Data related some programs is collected through Excel-based templates that are then uploaded into different components of EV–CIS. Table 2 lists the forms currently used in this collection in addition to EPA’s database for engine and vehicle certification (EV–CIS). Some forms, such as the notification and application forms related to TPEM and TPEM hardship relief will be discontinued as those programs have expired. EPA is working on amendments to the PLT Report for Marine CI forms and the Replacement Engine Exemption Report to reflect recent regulatory changes.

TABLE 2—FORMS RELATED TO ICR 1684.20

| Form | No. |
|--|------------|
| HD/NR Engine Manufacturer Annual Production Report | 5900–90 |
| AB&T Report for Nonroad Compression Ignition Engines | 5900–125 |
| AB&T Report for Heavy-duty On-highway Engines | 5900–134 |
| AB&T Report for Locomotives | 5900–274 |
| AB&T Report for Marine Compression-ignition Engines | 5900–125 |
| PLT Report for Marine CI CumSum | 5900–297 |
| PLT Report for Marine CI Non-CumSum | 5900–298 |
| PLT Report for Locomotives | 5900–135 |
| Locomotive Installation Audit Report | 5900–273 |
| In-use Testing for Locomotives | 5900–93 |
| In-use Testing for Non-Road Engines | 5900–93 |
| Replacement Engine Exemption Report | 6900–5414 |
| TPEM Equipment Manufacturer Notification | 5900–242 |
| TPEM Equipment Manufacturer Report | 5900–240 |
| TPEM Engine Manufacturer Report | 5900–241 |
| TPEM Importers Notification | In process |
| TPEM Importers Annual Report | In process |
| TPEM Bond Worksheet | 5900–239 |
| TPEM Hardship Relief Application Questionnaire | 5900–465 |
| TPEM Hardship Relief Prescreening Questionnaire | 6900–02 |
| DF Carry-across Comparison Sheet | TBD |
| § 1065 Lab Audit Checklist | TBD |

Respondents/affected entities: Entities potentially affected by this action are

manufacturers of engines, equipment, and vehicles in the nonroad

compression ignition (CI), marine CI, locomotives and medium- and heavy-

duty on-highway industries. There are some requirements for marine CI vessel owners and operators and owners of HD truck fleets.

Respondent's obligation to respond: Regulated manufacturers must respond to this collection if they wish to sell their products in the U.S., as prescribed by section 206(a) of the CAA (42 U.S.C. 7521). Participation in some programs such as ABT is voluntary, but once a manufacturer has elected to participate, it must submit the required information.

Estimated number of respondents: 2,823 (total).

Frequency of response: Quarterly, Annually, On Occasion, depending on the type of response.

Total estimated burden: 167,333 hours per year. Burden is defined at 5 CFR 1320.03(b).

Total estimated cost: \$31,192,402 (per year), includes an estimated \$18,976,585 annualized capital or maintenance and operational costs.

Changes in the Estimates: EPA expects that the total estimated respondent burden will remain fairly consistent with the burden currently identified in the OMB Inventory of Approved ICR Burdens. Expected changes to the estimates come from the end of TPEM for all power categories (decrease) and the DF validation exercise (increase). However, EPA is evaluating information that may lead to a change in the estimates.

Byron Bunker,

Director, Compliance Division, Office of Transportation and Air Quality, Office of Air and Radiation.

[FR Doc. 2023-01631 Filed 1-26-23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL OP-OFA-054]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information 202-564-5632 or <https://www.epa.gov/nepa>. Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements (EIS) Filed January 13, 2023 10 a.m. EST Through January 23, 2023 10 a.m. EST Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

Notice: Section 309(a) of the Clean Air Act requires that EPA make public its comments on EISs issued by other Federal agencies. EPA's comment letters on EISs are available at: <https://cdxapps.epa.gov/cdx-enepa-II/public/action/eis/search>.

EIS No. 20230009, Draft, FERC, LA, CP2 LNG and CP Express Project, Comment Period Ends: 03/13/2023, Contact: Office of External Affairs 866-208-3372.

EIS No. 20230011, Final, FERC, PA, Valley Connector Expansion Project, Review Period Ends: 02/27/2023, Contact: Office of External Affairs 866-208-3372.

EIS No. 20230012, Draft, GSA, AZ, Expansion and Modernization of the Raul Hector Castro Land Port of Entry and Proposed Commercial Land Port of Entry in Douglas, Arizona, Comment Period Ends: 03/13/2023, Contact: Osmahn Kadri 415-760-9239.

Dated: January 23, 2023.

Cindy S. Barger,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 2023-01651 Filed 1-26-23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-10593-01-OA]

Request for Nominations to the EPA Clean Air Scientific Advisory Committee (CASAC)

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) invites nominations of scientific experts to be considered for appointment to the Clean Air Scientific Advisory Committee (CASAC).

DATES: Nominations should be submitted in time to arrive no later than February 27, 2023.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For further information about the CASAC membership appointment process and schedule, please contact Mr. Aaron Yeow, DFO, by telephone at 202-564-2050 or by email at yeow.aaron@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The CASAC is a chartered Federal Advisory Committee, established pursuant to the Clean Air Act (CAA) Amendments of 1977, codified at 42 U.S.C. 7409(d)(2), to review air quality criteria and National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) and recommend to the EPA Administrator any new NAAQS and revisions of existing criteria and standards as may be appropriate. The CASAC shall also: advise the EPA Administrator of areas in which

additional knowledge is required to appraise the adequacy and basis of existing, new, or revised NAAQS; describe the research efforts necessary to provide the required information; advise the EPA Administrator on the relative contribution to air pollution concentrations of natural as well as anthropogenic activity; and advise the EPA Administrator of any adverse public health, welfare, social, economic, or energy effects which may result from various strategies for attainment and maintenance of such NAAQS. Members of the CASAC constitute a distinguished body of non-EPA scientists and engineers who are nationally and internationally recognized experts in their respective fields. Members are appointed by the EPA Administrator and serve for a two to three-year term as Special Government Employees who provide independent expert advice to the agency. Additional information is available at <https://casac.epa.gov>.

Expertise Sought for CASAC: As required under the CAA section 109(d), the CASAC is composed of seven members, with at least one member of the National Academy of Sciences, one physician, and one person representing state air pollution control agencies. The SAB Staff Office is seeking nominations of experts to serve on the CASAC to fulfill the statutory requirement of representing state air pollution control agencies. These scientists should have expertise in one or more of the following disciplines: air quality, biostatistics, ecology, environmental engineering, epidemiology, exposure assessment, medicine, risk assessment, and toxicology. The SAB Staff Office is especially interested in scientists with expertise described above who have knowledge and experience *relating to criteria pollutants (carbon monoxide, lead, nitrogen oxides, ozone, particulate matter, and sulfur oxides)*.

Selection Criteria for the CASAC

Nominees are selected based on their individual qualifications. Curriculum vitae should reflect the following:

- Demonstrated scientific credentials and disciplinary expertise in relevant fields;
- Willingness to commit time to the committee and demonstrated ability to work constructively and effectively on committees;
- Background and experiences that would help members contribute to the diversity of perspectives on the committee, e.g., geographical, economic, social, cultural, educational backgrounds, professional affiliations, and other considerations;