addresses section below on or before March 15, 2004.

ADDRESSES: Ms. Hazel M. Bell, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Ave., NW., Room S–3201, Washington, DC 20210, telephone (202) 693–0418, fax (202) 693–1451, E-mail *bell.hazel@dol.gov.* Please use only one method of transmission for comments (mail, fax, or Email).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

The Office of Workers' Compensation Programs (OWCP) administers the Federal Employees' Compensation Act (FECA). Section 8104(a) of the Act provides vocational rehabilitation services to eligible injured Federal employees that are paid from the Employees' Compensation Fund. Authority has been granted to OWCP to use amounts from the fund to reimburse a private sector employer who has hired a rehabilitated injured Federal employee for a portion of his or her salary. The information collected on Form CA-2231 provides OWCP with the necessary remittance information for the employer, documents the hours of work, certifies the payment of wages to the claimant for which reimbursement is sought, and summarizes the nature and costs of the wage reimbursement program for a prompt decision by OWCP. This information collection is currently approved for use through June 30, 2004.

II. Review Focus

The Department of Labor is particularly interested in comments which:

• Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

• Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

• Enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and

• Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.*, permitting electronic submissions of responses.

III. Current Actions

The Department of Labor seeks the extension of approval to collect this information to ensure timely and accurate payments to eligible employers for reimbursement claims.

Type of Review: Extension. *Agency:* Employment Standards Administration.

Title: Claim for Reimbursement-Assisted Reemployment.

OMB Number: 1215–0178. Agency Number: CA–2231. Affected Public: Business or other for-

profit, Not-for-profit institutions. *Total Respondents:* 20. *Total Annual Responses:* 80. *Average Time per Response:* 30 minutes.

Estimated Total Burden Hours: 40. Frequency: Quarterly. Total Burden Cost (capital/startup): \$0.

Total Burden Cost (operating/ maintenance): \$32.00.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval of the information collection request; they will also become a matter of public record.

Dated: January 6, 2004.

Bruce Bohanon,

Chief, Branch of Management Review and Internal Control, Division of Financial Management, Office of Management, Administration and Planning, Employment Standards Administration.

[FR Doc. 04–640 Filed 1–12–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–CH–P

NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD

Appointments of Individuals To Serve as Members of Performance Review Boards

5 U.S.C. 4314(c)(4) requires that the appointments of individuals to serve as members of performance review boards be published in the **Federal Register**. Therefore, in compliance with this requirement, notice is hereby given that the individuals whose names and position titles appear below have been appointed to serve as members of performance review boards in the National Labor Relations Board for the rating year beginning October 1, 2002 and ending September 30, 2003.

Name and Title

- Richard L. Ahearn—Regional Director, Region 19,
- Frank V. Battle—Deputy Director of Administration,

John F. Colwell-Chief Counsel to Board Member, Harold J. Datz-Chief Counsel to the Chairman, John H. Ferguson—Associate General Counsel, Enforcement Litigation, Terrance F. Flynn-Chief Counsel to Board Member, Robert A. Giannasi-Chief Administrative Law Judge, Lester A. Heltzer-Executive Secretary, John E. Higgins-Deputy General Counsel, Peter B. Hoffman-Regional Director, Region 34, Gloria Joseph—Director of Administration, Barry J. Kearney—Associate General Counsel, Advice, David B. Parker—Deputy Executive Secretary, Gary W. Shinners—Chief Counsel to Board Member, Richard A. Siegel—Associate General Counsel, Operations-Management Lafe E. Solomon-Director, Office of Representation Appeals, Jeffrey D. Wedekind, Solicitor, Peter D. Winkler—Chief Counsel to Board Member. Dated: Washington, DC, January 7, 2004. By Direction of the Board. Lester A. Heltzer, Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 04–667 Filed 1–12–04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7545-01-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-346]

Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station; Notice of Withdrawal of Application for Amendment to Facility Operating License

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has granted the request of FirstEnergy Nuclear Operating Company (the licensee) to withdraw its December 17, 2001, as supplemented by letter dated June 4, 2002, application for proposed amendment to Facility Operating License No. NPF–3 for the Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station, Unit No. 1, located in Ottawa County, Ohio.

The proposed amendment would have modified the facility technical specifications (TS) pertaining to TS 3/ 4.3.1, "Reactor Protection System (RPS) Instrumentation," to delete an Action involving either reducing core thermal power and the high neutron flux reactor trip setpoint, or monitoring quadrant power tilt when an RPS channel is inoperable. Additionally, changes were proposed to the content and format of TS Tables 3.3–1 and 4.3–1 to enhance TS clarity.

The Commission had previously issued a Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment published in the **Federal Register** on November 25, 2003 (68 FR 66136). However, by letter dated November 26, 2003, the licensee withdrew the amendment request.

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated December 17, 2001, as supplemented by letter dated June 4, 2002, and the licensee's letter dated November 26, 2003, which withdrew the application for license amendment. Documents may be examined, and/or copied for a fee, at the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR), located at One White Flint North, Public File Area O1 F21, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland. Publicly available records will be accessible electronically from the Agencywide Documents Access and Management Systems (ADAMS) Public Electronic Reading Room on the internet at the NRC Web site, http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/ adams/html. Persons who do not have access to ADAMS or who encounter problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, should contact the NRC PDR Reference staff by telephone at 1-800-397-4209, or 301-415-4737 or by email to *pdr@nrc.gov*.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 5th day of January, 2004.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. **Stephen P. Sands**,

Project Manager, Section 2, Project Directorate III, Division of Licensing Project Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 04–679 Filed 1–12–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–U

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50-259, 50-260, and 50-296]

Tennessee Valley Authority, Notice of Receipt of Application for Renewal of Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant, Units 1, 2 and 3, Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-33, DPR-52, and DPR-68 for an Additional 20-Year Period

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or Commission) has received an application, dated January 6, 2004, from the Tennessee Valley Authority, filed pursuant to Section 104b of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and 10 CFR part 54, to renew Operating License Nos. DPR–33, DPR–52, and DPR–68 for the Browns

Ferry Nuclear Plant, Units 1, 2 and 3, respectively. Renewal of the licenses would authorize the applicant to operate the facilities for an additional 20-year period. The current operating licenses for the Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant, Units 1, 2 and 3, expire on December 20, 2013, June 28, 2014, and July 2, 2016, respectively. The Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant, Units 1, 2 and 3, are boiling-water reactors designed by General Electric Corporation, and are located in Limestone County, Alabama. The acceptability of the tendered application for docketing, and other matters, including an opportunity to request a hearing, will be addressed in subsequent Federal Register notices.

Copies of the application are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room (PDR), located at One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland, or electronically from the Publicly Available Records (PARS) component of the NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) under accession number ML040060355. The ADAMS Public Electronic Reading Room is accessible from the NRC web site at http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/ adams.html. In addition, the application is available on the NRC web page at http://www.nrc.gov/reactors/operating/ licensing/renewal/applications.html, while the application is under review. Persons who do not have access to ADAMS or who encounter problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, should contact the NRC's PDR Reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415–4737, or by email to *pdr@nrc.gov*.

The staff has also verified that a copy of the license renewal application for the Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant, Units 1, 2 and 3 has been provided to the Athens-Limestone Public Library, at 405 South Street E, Athens, Alabama, 35611.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 7th day of January, 2004.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Pao-Tsin Kuo,

Program Director, License Renewal and Environmental Impacts, Division of Regulatory Improvement Programs, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 04–681 Filed 1–12–04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50-275 and 50-323]

Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Diablo Canyon Power Plant, Unit Nos. 1 and 2; Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is considering issuance of an exemption from title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) part 50, section 50.68 for Facility Operating License Nos. DPR–80 and DPR–82, issued to Pacific Gas and Electric Company (the licensee), for operation of the Diablo Canyon Power Plant (DCPP), Unit Nos. 1 and 2, respectively, located in San Luis Obispo County, California. Therefore, as required by 10 CFR 51.21, the NRC is issuing this environmental assessment and finding of no significant impact.

Environmental Assessment

Identification of the Proposed Action

The proposed action would exempt the licensee from the requirements of 10 CFR 50.68, "Criticality Accident Requirements," for handling the 10 CFR part 72 licensed contents of the Holtec HI-STORM 100 Cask System.

The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's application dated October 8, 2003, as supplemented on November 25, 2003.

The Need for the Proposed Action

10 CFR 50.68(b)(1) sets forth the following requirement that must be met, in lieu of a monitoring system capable of detecting criticality events:

Plant procedures shall prohibit the handling and storage at any one time of more fuel assemblies than have been determined to be safely subcritical under the most adverse moderation conditions feasible by unborated water.

The licensee is unable to satisfy the above requirement for handling of the 10 CFR part 72 licensed contents of the Holtec HI-STORM 100 Cask System. Section 50.12(a) allows licensees to apply for an exemption from the requirements of part 50 if the regulation is not necessary to achieve the underlying purpose of the rule and other conditions are met. The licensee has stated that compliance with 10 CFR 50.68(b)(1) is not necessary for handling the 10 CFR part 72 licensed contents of the cask system to achieve the underlying purpose of the rule.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The NRC has completed its evaluation of the proposed action and concludes