

Nicholas A. Shufro,
Deputy Assistant Administrator for Risk
Management, Federal Emergency
Management Agency, Department of
Homeland Security.

[FR Doc. 2023–19891 Filed 9–13–23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110–12–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701–TA–585–586 and
731–TA–1383–1384 (Review)]

Stainless Steel Flanges From China and India; Scheduling of Expedited Five-Year Reviews

AGENCY: United States International
Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice of the scheduling of expedited reviews pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 (“the Act”) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping and countervailing duty orders on stainless steel flanges from China and India would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time.

DATES: August 4, 2023.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Nitin Joshi (202) 708–1669, Office of
Investigations, U.S. International Trade
Commission, 500 E Street SW,
Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-
impaired persons can obtain
information on this matter by contacting
the Commission’s TDD terminal on
(202) 205–1810. Persons with mobility
impairments who will need special
assistance in gaining access to the
Commission should contact the Office
of the Secretary at (202) 205–2000.
General information concerning the
Commission may also be obtained by
accessing its internet server (<https://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for
this proceeding may be viewed on the
Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS)
at <https://edis.usitc.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background.—On August 4, 2023, the Commission determined that the domestic interested party group response to its notice of institution (88 FR 26592, May 1, 2023) of the subject five-year reviews was adequate and that the respondent interested party group response was inadequate. The Commission did not find any other circumstances that would warrant conducting full reviews.¹ Accordingly,

the Commission determined that it would conduct expedited reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(3)).

For further information concerning the conduct of these reviews and rules of general application, consult the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A and B (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

Staff report.—A staff report containing information concerning the subject matter of the reviews has been placed in the nonpublic record, and will be made available to persons on the Administrative Protective Order service list for these reviews on September 19, 2023. A public version will be issued thereafter, pursuant to § 207.62(d)(4) of the Commission’s rules.

Written submissions.—As provided in § 207.62(d) of the Commission’s rules, interested parties that are parties to the reviews and that have provided individually adequate responses to the notice of institution,² and any party other than an interested party to the reviews may file written comments with the Secretary on what determination the Commission should reach in the reviews. Comments are due on or before September 27, 2023, and may not contain new factual information. Any person that is neither a party to the five-year reviews nor an interested party may submit a brief written statement (which shall not contain any new factual information) pertinent to the reviews by September 27, 2023. If comments contain business proprietary information (BPI), they must conform with the requirements of §§ 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission’s rules. The Commission’s *Handbook on Filing Procedures*, available on the Commission’s website at https://www.usitc.gov/documents/handbook_on_filing_procedures.pdf, elaborates upon the Commission’s procedures with respect to filings.

In accordance with §§ 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the rules, each document filed by a party to the reviews must be served on all other parties to the reviews (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will

individual Commissioner’s statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission’s website.

² The Commission has found the responses submitted on behalf of Ameriforge, Core Pipe Products, Inc., and Kerkau Manufacturing to be individually adequate. Comments from other interested parties will not be accepted (*see* 19 CFR 207.62(d)(2)).

not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

Determination.—The Commission has determined these reviews are extraordinarily complicated and therefore has determined to exercise its authority to extend the review period by up to 90 days pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)(B).

Authority. These reviews are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Act; this notice is published pursuant to § 207.62 of the Commission’s rules.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: September 11, 2023.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2023–19873 Filed 9–13–23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

Green Wave Analytical Decision and Order

On August 10, 2022, the Drug Enforcement Administration (hereinafter, DEA or Government) issued an Order to Show Cause (hereinafter, OSC) to Green Wave Analytical (hereinafter, Applicant) of San Diego, California. Request for Final Agency Action (hereinafter, RFAA), Exhibit (hereinafter, RFAAX) 10, at 1, 6. The OSC proposed the denial of Applicant’s application for a DEA Certificate of Registration (hereinafter, registration), Control No. W21055614H, alleging that Applicant has “committed such acts as would render [its] registration inconsistent with the public interest.” *Id.* at 1, 2 (citing 21 U.S.C. 824(a)(4),¹ 823(g)(1)²).

The Agency makes the following findings of fact based on the uncontroverted evidence submitted by the Government in its RFAA dated March 3, 2023.³

¹ Prior Agency decisions have addressed whether it is appropriate to consider a provision of 21 U.S.C. 824(a) when determining whether to grant a practitioner registration application. For over forty-five years, Agency decisions have concluded that it is. *Robert Wayne Locklear, M.D.*, 86 FR 33738, 33744–45 (2021) (collecting cases); *see also Dinorah Drug Store, Inc.*, 61 FR 15972, 15973–74 (1996).

² Effective December 2, 2022, the Medical Marijuana and Cannabidiol Research Expansion Act, Public Law 117–215, 136 Stat. 2257 (2022) (Marijuana Research Amendments or MRA), amended the Controlled Substances Act (CSA) and other statutes. Relevant to this matter, the MRA redesignated 21 U.S.C. 823(f), cited in the OSC, as 21 U.S.C. 823(g)(1). Accordingly, this Decision cites to the current designation, 21 U.S.C. 823(g)(1), and to the MRA-amended CSA throughout.

³ Based on the Declaration from a DEA Diversion Investigator, the Agency finds that the

¹ A record of the Commissioners’ votes, the Commission’s statement on adequacy, and any