

interested participants to ask questions on the interpretation and application of the Policy Statement on Penalty Guidelines, which the Commission recently issued on March 18, 2010.¹ Staff will hold the first workshop on April 7, 2010, from 9:30 a.m. to 12 p.m. Eastern Daylight Time, in the Commission Meeting Room (2C) at the Commission's Washington, DC headquarters, 888 First Street, NE. To accommodate participants outside of Washington, DC, this workshop will be Webcast, but will not be transcribed. To access this free Webcast, anyone with Internet access can go to Calendar of Events on the FERC Web site which contains a link to the Webcast. For questions on the Webcast call 703-993-3100.

All interested parties are invited to all three of the workshops; there is no registration list or registration fee to attend.

The purpose of this second notice is to provide the times and locations for staff's subsequent workshops in Houston, Texas and San Francisco, California. Staff will hold the Houston workshop on April 14, 2010, from 9:30 a.m. to 12 p.m. Central Daylight Time, at the Houston Airport Marriott at George Bush Intercontinental, 18700 John F. Kennedy Boulevard, Houston, Texas 77032. Staff will hold the San Francisco workshop on April 15, 2010, from 9:30 a.m. to 12 p.m. Pacific Daylight Time, at the Westin San Francisco Airport, 1 Old Bayshore Highway, Millbrae, California 94030. The Houston and San Francisco workshops will not be webcast.

As indicated, the purpose of the workshops will be to have staff discuss how the Penalty Guidelines will be applied and to answer questions about the Penalty Guidelines. In that regard, questions are being solicited from the public in advance of the workshops. Please submit questions on the Penalty Guidelines to Jeremy Medovoy,

Attorney-Advisor, Office of Enforcement, Division of Investigations, by e-mail at Jeremy.Medovoy@ferc.gov. Workshop participants will also have an opportunity to ask questions at the workshops, but due to time limitations, questions in advance are encouraged.

Questions about the workshops may be directed to Jeremy Medovoy by e-mail at Jeremy.Medovoy@ferc.gov or by telephone at 202-502-6768.

Nathaniel J. Davis, Sr.,
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2010-7821 Filed 4-6-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-R04-OAR-2010-0153-201010-TN; FRL-9133-9]

Adequacy Status of the Knoxville, Tennessee 1997 PM_{2.5} Attainment Demonstration Motor Vehicle Emissions Budgets for Transportation Conformity Purposes

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of adequacy.

SUMMARY: In this notice, EPA is notifying the public that EPA has found that the direct particulate matter (PM_{2.5}) and Nitrogen Oxides (NO_x) motor vehicle emissions budgets (MVEBs) in the Knoxville, Tennessee Attainment Demonstration Plan for the 1997 PM_{2.5} standard, submitted April 4, 2008, by the Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation (TDEC), are adequate for transportation conformity purposes. On March 2, 1999, the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit (DC Circuit) ruled that submitted State Implementation Plans (SIPs) cannot be used for transportation conformity determinations until EPA has affirmatively found them adequate. As a

result of EPA's finding, the Knoxville, Tennessee area, including the portion of Roane County, must use the MVEBs for future conformity determinations for the 1997 PM_{2.5} standard.

DATES: The adequacy finding for the PM_{2.5} and NO_x MVEBs are effective April 22, 2010.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Kelly Sheckler, Environmental Scientist, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, Air Planning Branch, Air Quality Modeling and Transportation Section, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303. Ms. Sheckler can also be reached by telephone at (404) 562-9222, or via electronic mail at sheckler.kelly@epa.gov. The finding is available at EPA's conformity Web site: <http://www.epa.gov/otaq/stateresources/transconf/adequacy.htm>

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This notice is simply an announcement of findings that EPA has already made. EPA Region 4 sent a letter to TDEC on February 11, 2010, stating that the PM_{2.5} and NO_x MVEBs in the 1997 PM_{2.5} attainment demonstration for Knoxville, Tennessee, submitted April 4, 2008, are adequate and must be used for transportation conformity determinations in the Knoxville area. EPA posted the availability of the Knoxville MVEBs on EPA's Web site on April 14, 2008, as part of the adequacy process, for the purpose of soliciting comments. The comment period ran from April 14, 2008, through May 14, 2008. During EPA's adequacy comment period, no comments were received on the MVEBs for the area. Through this notice, EPA is informing the public that these MVEBs are adequate for transportation conformity. EPA's findings have also been announced on EPA's conformity Web site: <http://www.epa.gov/otaq/stateresources/transconf/adequacy.htm>. The PM_{2.5} and NO_x MVEBs are provided in the following table:

KNOXVILLE AREA DIRECT PM_{2.5} AND NO_x MVEBS

[Tons per year]

Counties	Pollutant	2009
Anderson, Blount, Knox, Loudon and a portion of Roane County	PM _{2.5}	283.63
Anderson, Blount, Knox, Loudon and a portion of Roane County	NO _x	18,024.9

Transportation conformity is required by section 176(c) of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990. EPA's conformity rule requires that transportation plans,

programs and projects conform to State air quality implementation plans and establishes the criteria and procedures for determining whether or not they do.

Conformity to a SIP means that transportation activities will not produce new air quality violations, worsen existing violations, or delay

¹ Enforcement of Statutes, Orders, Rules, and Regulations, 130 FERC ¶ 61,220 (2010).

timely attainment of the national ambient air quality standards.

The criteria by which EPA determines whether a SIP's MVEBs are adequate for transportation conformity purposes are outlined in 40 Code of Federal Regulations 93.118(e)(4). Please note that an adequacy review is separate from EPA's completeness review, and it also should not be used to prejudice EPA's ultimate approval of the attainment demonstration plan for the Knoxville, Tennessee area. Even if EPA finds the budget adequate, the attainment demonstration plan could later be disapproved.

EPA has described the process for determining the adequacy of submitted SIP budgets in a May 14, 1999, memorandum entitled "Conformity Guidance on Implementation of March 2, 1999, Conformity Court Decision. EPA has followed this guidance in making this adequacy determination. This guidance is incorporated into EPA's July 1, 2004, final rulemaking entitled "Transportation Conformity Rule Amendments for the New 8-hour Ozone and PM_{2.5} National Ambient Air Quality Standards and Miscellaneous Revisions for Existing Areas; Transportation Conformity Rule Amendments: Response to Court Decision and Additional Rule Changes" (69 FR 40004).

Within 24 months from the effective date of this notice, the transportation partners will need to demonstrate conformity to the new MVEBs, if the demonstration has not already been made, pursuant to 40 CFR 93.104(e). See, 73 FR 4419 (January 24, 2008).

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Dated: March 17, 2010.

Beverly H. Banister,
Acting Regional Administrator, Region 4.
[FR Doc. 2010-7879 Filed 4-6-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-9134-4]

Agency Information Collection Activities OMB Responses

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This document announces the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) responses to Agency Clearance requests, in compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*). An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not

required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA regulations are listed in 40 CFR part 9 and 48 CFR chapter 15.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Rick Westlund (202) 566-1682, or e-mail at westlund.rick@epa.gov and please refer to the appropriate EPA Information Collection Request (ICR) Number.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Responses To Agency Clearance Requests

OMB Approvals

EPA ICR Number 2219.03; Tips and Complaints Regarding Environmental Violations (Renewal); was approved on 03/01/2010; OMB Number 2020-0032; expires on 03/31/2013; Approved without change.

EPA ICR Number 2356.02; NESHAP for Chemical Preparations Industry; 40 CFR 63.11579-63.11588; was approved on 03/03/2010; OMB Number 2060-0636; expires on 03/31/2013; Approved without change.

EPA ICR Number 2354.02; NESHAP for Prepared Feeds Manufacturing; 40 CFR part 63, subpart A and 40 CFR part 63, subpart DDDDDDD; was approved on 03/03/2010; OMB Number 2060-0635; expires on 03/31/2013; Approved without change.

EPA ICR Number 1060.15; NSPS for Steel Plants: Electric Arc Furnaces and Argon-Oxygen Decarburization Vessels; 40 CFR part 60, subpart A and 40 CFR part 60, subparts AA and AAa; was approved on 03/08/2010; OMB Number 2060-0038; expires on 03/31/2013; Approved without change.

EPA ICR Number 2308.02; OECD SLAB Revisions (Final Rule); 40 CFR parts 262, 264, 265, 266 and 271, was approved on 03/10/2010; OMB Number 2050-0201; expires on 03/31/2013; Approved without change.

EPA ICR Number 2050.04; NESHAP for Taconite Iron Ore Processing; 40 CFR part 63, subpart A and 40 CFR part 63, subpart RRRRRR; was approved on 03/12/2010; OMB Number 2060-0538; expires on 03/31/2013; Approved without change.

EPA ICR Number 1893.05; Emission Guidelines and Compliance Times for Municipal Solid Waste Landfills; 40 CFR part 60, subpart A, 40 CFR part 60, subpart Cc, 40 CFR part 62, subpart GGG; was approved on 03/12/2010; OMB Number 2060-0430; expires on 03/31/2013; Approved without change.

EPA ICR Number 1415.09; NESHAP for Perchloroethylene Dry Cleaning Facilities; 40 CFR part 63, subpart A and 40 CFR part 63, subpart M; was

approved on 03/15/2010; OMB Number 2060-0234; expires on 03/31/2013; Approved with change.

EPA ICR Number 1899.06; EG for Hospital/Medical/Infectious Waste Incinerators; 40 CFR part 60, subpart A, 40 CFR part 60, subpart Ce, 40 CFR part 62, subpart HHH; was approved on 03/18/2010; OMB Number 2060-0422; expires on 09/30/2012; Approved with change.

EPA ICR Number 2328.01; Pressed Wood Manufacturing Industry Survey; was approved on 03/25/2010; OMB Number 2070-0177; expires on 03/31/2013; Approved with change.

EPA ICR Number 2380.01; Renewable Fuels Standard Program: Petition and Registration; 40 CFR part 80, subpart M; was approved on 03/26/2010; OMB Number 2060-0637; expires on 03/31/2013; Approved without change.

EPA ICR Number 0595.10; Notice of Pesticide Registration by States to Meet a Special Local Need (SLN) Under FIFRA Section 24(c); 40 CFR part 162, subpart D; 40 CFR 162.153; was approved on 03/26/2010; OMB Number 2070-0055; expires on 03/31/2013; Approved without change.

EPA ICR Number 0795.13; Notification of Chemical Exports—TSCA Section 12(b); 40 CFR part 707, subpart D; was approved on 03/26/2010; OMB Number 2070-0030; expires on 03/31/2013; Approved without change.

EPA ICR Number 2327.02; New Information Collection Activities Related to Electronic Submission of Certain TSCA Section 5 Notices; 40 CFR parts 3, 700, 720, 721, 723 and 725, was approved on 03/29/2010; OMB Number 2070-0173; expires on 03/31/2013; Approved without change.

EPA ICR Number 2343.02; Focus Group Research for Fuel Economy Label Designs for Advanced Technology Vehicles (New Collection); was approved on 03/31/2010; OMB Number 2060-0632; expires on 06/30/2010; Approved with change.

Withdrawn and Continue

EPA ICR Number 2364.01; Affirmative Defence Requirements for Ultra-low Sulfur Diesel; Withdrawn from OMB on 03/16/2010.

Dated: April 1, 2010.

John Moses,
Director, Collections Strategies Division.
[FR Doc. 2010-7873 Filed 4-6-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P