

Postponement of Preliminary Determination

Section 703(b)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires Commerce to issue the preliminary determination in a countervailing duty investigation within 65 days after the date on which Commerce initiated the investigation. However, section 703(c)(1) of the Act permits Commerce to postpone the preliminary determination until no later than 130 days after the date on which Commerce initiated the investigation if: (A) the petitioner makes a timely request for a postponement; or (B) Commerce concludes that the parties concerned are cooperating, that the investigation is extraordinarily complicated, and that additional time is necessary to make a preliminary determination. Under 19 CFR 351.205(e), the petitioner must submit a request for postponement 25 days or more before the scheduled date of the preliminary determination and must state the reasons for the request. Commerce will grant the request unless it finds compelling reasons to deny the request.

On May 21, 2025, the petitioners³ submitted a timely request that Commerce postpone the preliminary CVD determination.⁴ The petitioners stated that they request postponement for Commerce to analyze initial responses and to issue supplemental questionnaires prior to making its preliminary CVD determination.⁵

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.205(e), the petitioners have stated the reasons for requesting a postponement of the preliminary determination, and Commerce finds no compelling reason to deny the request. Therefore, in accordance with section 703(c)(1)(A) of the Act, Commerce is postponing the deadline for the preliminary determination to no later than 130 days after the date on which this investigation was initiated, *i.e.*, August 15, 2025.⁶ Pursuant to section 705(a)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.210(b)(1), the deadline for the final

determination of this investigation will continue to be 75 days after the date of the preliminary determination.

This notice is issued and published pursuant to section 703(c)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(f)(1).

Dated: May 23, 2025.

Christopher Abbott,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2025–09782 Filed 5–29–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–580–870]

Certain Oil Country Tubular Goods From the Republic of Korea: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2022–2023

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that certain producers/exporters subject to this review did not make sales of oil country tubular goods (OCTG) from the Republic of Korea (Korea) at less than normal value (NV) during the period of review (POR) September 1, 2022, through August 31, 2023.

DATES: Applicable May 30, 2025.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Carolyn Adie, AD/CVD Operations, Office VI, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–6250.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On October 10, 2024, Commerce published the *Preliminary Results*.¹ We invited interested parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*. On November 12, 2024, Commerce received timely filed case briefs or letters in lieu of case briefs from various interested parties.²

¹ See *Certain Oil Country Tubular Goods from the Republic of Korea: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2022–2023*, 89 FR 82216 (October 10, 2024) (*Preliminary Results*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

² See SeAH Steel Corporation (SeAH)'s Letter, "Case Brief," dated November 12, 2024 (SeAH's Comments); see also AJU Besteel Co., Ltd. (AJU Besteel)'s Letter, "Letter in Lieu of Case Brief," dated November 12, 2024; and Hyundai Steel Company (Hyundai Steel)'s Letter, "Letter in Lieu of Case Brief," dated November 12, 2024; and ILJIN

On December 9, 2024, Commerce tolled the deadline for these final results by 90 days until May 8, 2025.³ On May 7, 2025, Commerce extended the deadline for the final results by 14 days, to May 22, 2025.⁴ These final results cover six companies.⁵ Commerce conducted this review in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

For a complete description of the events that followed the *Preliminary Results* of this administrative review, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.⁶ The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. Additionally, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed at <https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx>.

Scope of the Order⁷

The merchandise covered by the Order is certain OCTG. For a complete description of the scope of the Order, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs that were submitted by parties in this review are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum and listed in the appendix to this notice.

Steel Corporation (ILJIN)'s Letter, "Letter in Lieu of Case Brief," dated November 12, 2024. AJU Besteel, Hyundai Steel, and ILJIN each supported SeAH's Comments.

³ See Memorandum, "Tolling of Deadlines for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings," dated December 9, 2024.

⁴ See Memorandum, "Extension of Deadline for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review," dated May 7, 2025.

⁵ See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 88 FR 78298 (November 15, 2023). The six companies consist of two mandatory respondents (NEXTEEL Co., Ltd. (NEXTEEL), and SeAH) and four companies not individually examined (AJU Besteel, Husteel Co., Ltd., Hyundai Steel, and ILJIN).

⁶ See Memorandum, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Certain Oil Country Tubular Goods from the Republic of Korea; 2022–2023," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

⁷ See *Certain Oil Country Tubular Goods from India, the Republic of Korea, Taiwan, the Republic of Turkey, and the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Antidumping Duty Orders; and Certain Oil Country Tubular Goods from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value*, 79 FR 53691 (September 10, 2014) (Order).

³ The petitioners are CoolSeal USA Inc., Intoplast Group Corporation, SeaCa Plastic Packaging, and Technology Container Corp.

⁴ See Petitioner's Letter, "Petitioners' Request for Postponement of Preliminary Determination," dated May 21, 2025.

⁵ *Id.*

⁶ *Id.* at 2. The petitioners stated that 130 days after the date on which this investigation was initiated is August 22, 2025. This investigation was initiated on April 7, 2025, the date applicable in the *Initiation Notice*. Therefore, pursuant to section 703(c)(1) of the Act, Commerce is extending the deadline to 130 days after April 7, 2025, which is August 15, 2025. See *Initiation Notice*, 90 FR at 15555; see also China PCBs CVD Initiation Checklist at 2.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

We have made one change since the *Preliminary Results*, pertaining to SeAH. For additional information, *see* the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Rate for Non-Examined Companies

For the rate for non-selected respondents in an administrative review, generally, Commerce looks to section 735(c)(5) of the Act, which provides instructions for calculating the all-others rate in a market economy investigation, for guidance. Under section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act, the all-others rate is normally “an amount equal to the weighted-average of the estimated weighted-average dumping margins established for exporters and producers individually investigated, excluding any zero or *de minimis* margins, and any margins determined entirely {on the basis of facts available}.” The estimated weighted-average dumping margin established for both mandatory respondents is zero percent. Section 735(c)(5)(B) of the Act states, “If the estimated weighted average dumping margins established for all exporters and producers individually investigated are zero or *de minimis* margins, or are determined entirely under section 776, the administering authority may use any reasonable method to establish the estimated all-others rate for exporters and producers not individually investigated, including averaging the estimated weighted average dumping margins determined for the exporters and producers individually investigated.” Consistent with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit’s decision in *Albemarle*,⁸ and Commerce’s practice,⁹ we assigned the companies not selected for individual examination in this review (*i.e.*, AJU Besteel Co., Ltd.; Husteel Co., Ltd.; Hyundai Steel Company; and ILJIN Steel Corporation) a weighted-average dumping margin of zero percent based on the rates calculated for SeAH and NEXTEEL, in accordance with section 735(c)(5)(B) of the Act.

Final Results of Review

For these final results, Commerce determines that the following estimated weighted-average dumping margins

exist for the period September 1, 2022, through August 31, 2023:

Exporter/producer	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
NEXTEEL Co., Ltd	0.00
SeAH Steel Corporation	0.00
Review-Specific Rate for Non-Examined Companies	
AJU Besteel Co., Ltd	0.00
Hyundai Steel Company	0.00
Husteel Co., Ltd	0.00
ILJIN Steel Corporation	0.00

Disclosure

Commerce intends to disclose the calculations performed for these final results of review for SeAH within five days of the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b). Because we made no changes to NEXTEEL’s dumping margin since the *Preliminary Results*, no disclosure of NEXTEEL’s calculations is necessary for these final results.

Assessment

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.212(b), Commerce shall determine, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the final results of this review. Where the respondent’s weighted-average dumping margin is either zero or *de minimis* (*i.e.*, less than 0.5 percent), we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to dumping duties. Accordingly, because weighted-average dumping margins calculated for both Nexteel and SeAH are zero, we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.¹⁰

For the companies which were not selected for individual review, we will assign an assessment rate based on the methodology described in the “Rates for Non-Examined Companies” section, above.

Consistent with Commerce’s assessment practice, for entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by NEXTEEL or SeAH for which the producer did not know that its merchandise was destined for the United States, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate established in the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation

(*i.e.*, 5.24 percent)¹¹ if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.¹²

Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of the final results of this review in the **Federal Register**.¹³ If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (*i.e.*, within 90 days of publication).

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rates for the companies listed in these final results will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margins established in the final results of this review; (2) for merchandise exported by producers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in a prior segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment in which the company was reviewed; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original LTFV investigation, but the producer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding for the producer of the subject merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers or exporters will continue to be 5.24 percent,¹⁴ the all-others rate established in the LTFV investigation. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility

¹¹ See *Certain Oil Country Tubular Goods from the Republic of Korea: Notice of Court Decision Not in Harmony with Final Determination*, 81 FR 59603, 59604 (August 30, 2016) (*OCTG Korea Timken Notice*).

¹² For a full discussion of this practice, *see Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

¹³ See *Notice of Discontinuation Policy to Issue Liquidation Instructions After 15 Days in Applicable Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Proceedings*, 86 FR 3995 (January 15, 2021).

¹⁴ See *OCTG Korea Timken Notice*, 81 FR at 59604.

⁸ See *Albemarle Corp. v. United States*, 821 F.3d 1345 (Fed. Cir. 2016) (*Albemarle*).

⁹ See, e.g., *Certain Cold-Rolled Steel Flat Products from the Republic of Korea: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2020–2021*, 87 FR 60989 (October 7, 2022), unchanged in *Certain Cold-Rolled Steel Flat Products from the Republic of Korea: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2020–2021*, 88 FR 20218 (April 5, 2023).

¹⁰ See 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2).

under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties, and/or an increase in the amount of antidumping duties by the amount of the countervailing duties.

Administrative Protective Order (APO)

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to an APO of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

Notification to Interested Parties

This administrative review and notice are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.213(h) and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5).

Dated: May 22, 2025.

Christopher Abbott,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

I. Summary

- II. Background
- III. Scope of the *Order*
- IV. Changes Since the *Preliminary Results*
- V. Rate for Non-Examined Companies
- VI. Discussion of the Issues
 - Comment 1: Constructed Export Price (CEP) Offset
 - Comment 2: Exclusion of Back-to-Back U.S. Sales
- VII. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2025–09783 Filed 5–29–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

[RTID 0648–XE945]

Management Track Assessment for Black Sea Bass, Bluefish, Scup, and Summer Flounder; Public Meeting

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of public meeting.

SUMMARY: NMFS will convene the Management Track Assessment Peer Review Meeting for the purpose of reviewing black sea bass, bluefish, scup, and summer flounder stocks. The Management Track Assessment Peer Review is a formal scientific peer-review process for evaluating and presenting stock assessment results to managers for fish stocks in the offshore U.S. waters of the northwest Atlantic. Assessments are prepared by the lead stock assessment scientist and reviewed by an independent panel of stock assessment experts. The public is invited to attend the presentations and discussions between the review panel and the scientists who have participated in the stock assessment process.

DATES: The Management Track Assessment Peer Review Meeting will be held from June 24, 2025–June 25, 2025. The meeting will conclude on June 25, 2025 at 12 p.m. Eastern Standard Time. Please see **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** for the daily meeting agenda.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held in the S.H. Clark Conference Room in the Aquarium Building of the National Marine Fisheries Service, Northeast Fisheries Science Center (NEFSC), 166 Water Street, Woods Hole, MA 02543 and virtually using this Google Meet link: <https://meet.google.com/tdh-rcmm-cnv>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Brian Hooper, 508–258–9580; brian.hooper@noaa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For further information, please visit the NEFSC website at <https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/new-england-mid-atlantic/population-assessments/fishery-stock-assessments-new-england-and-mid-atlantic>. For additional information about management track assessment peer review, please visit the NEFSC web page at <https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/new-england-mid-atlantic/population-assessments/management-track-stock-assessments>.

Daily Meeting Agenda—Management Track Peer Review Meeting

The agenda is subject to change; all times are approximate and may be changed at the discretion of the Peer Review Chair.

TUESDAY, JUNE 24, 2025

Time	Stock	Topic	Presenter(s)
9 a.m.		Welcome/Logistics Conduct of Meeting	Brian Hooper, Kristan Blackhart, Olaf Jensen, Chair.
9:15 a.m.	Bluefish	Terms of Reference (TOR) Review & Panel Questions.	Tony Wood, Panel.
10:15 a.m.	Bluefish	Public Comment	Public.
10:30 a.m.	Bluefish	Panel Deliberations	Panel.
11 a.m.		Break.	
11:15 a.m.	Bluefish	Panel Conclusions/Recommendations and Final Stock Wrap Up.	Panel.
11:30 a.m.	Scup	TOR Review & Panel Questions	Sam Truesdell, Panel.
12:30 p.m.		Lunch.	
1:30 p.m.	Scup	Public Comment	Public.
1:45 p.m.	Scup	Panel Deliberations	Panel.
2:15 p.m.	Scup	Panel Conclusions/Recommendations and Final Stock Wrap Up.	Panel.
2:30 p.m.		Break.	
2:45 p.m.	Summer Flounder	TOR Review & Panel Questions	Sam Truesdell, Panel.
3:45 p.m.	Summer Flounder	Public Comment	Public.