

holidays. The Docket Facility telephone number is (703) 305-5805.

2. *Electronic access.* You may access this **Federal Register** document electronically through the EPA Internet under the “**Federal Register**” listings at <http://www.epa.gov/fedrgstr>.

## II. Background

1. Regional Reports/Issues from the State Reps
2. SFIREG Pesticide Operations and Management Working Committee Meeting
3. Pyrethroids, Picloram, AM HVAC Labeling, Registrant Stewardship
4. Programs, Web Distributed Labeling
5. Green labeling (stand alone with AMD), rodenticides, use by statements
6. SFIREG Water Quality and Pesticide Disposal Working Committee Meeting
7. Sampling, Analytical Methodology, Health risk from semi-volatile pesticides, WC Name Change
8. Registration Division Label Quality Assurance Update
9. Thien carbazole Label trial
10. 25b Update
11. Reg 1 Issue Paper, Green Labeling, HVAC
12. Total Release Foggers
13. Tribal Pesticide Program Council Update
14. American Association of Pesticide Safety Educators Update
15. Pesticide Regulatory Education Program Course Calendar
16. Office of Pesticide Program Update
17. Chemigation PR Notice, LAW
18. Office of Enforcement and Compliance Program Update
19. PIRT Schedule
20. Regional Issue Paper Discussion

## III. How Can I Request to Participate in this Meeting?

You may submit a request to participate in this meeting to the person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**. Do not submit any information in your request that is considered CBI. Requests to participate in the meeting, identified by docket ID number EPA-HQ-OPP-2008-0143, must be received on or before October 21, 2008 **Federal Register**.

### List of Subjects

Environmental protection.

Dated: November 12, 2008.

**William R. Diamond,**

*Director, Field and External Affairs Division,  
Office of Pesticide Programs.*

[FR Doc. E8-27758 Filed 11-25-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5650-50-S

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-HQ-OAR-2008-0820; FRL-8746-4]

### Proposed Approval of the Central Characterization Project's Remote-Handled Transuranic Waste Characterization Program at Oak Ridge National Laboratory

**AGENCY:** Environment Protection Agency.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability; opening of public comment period.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA or we) is announcing the availability of, and soliciting public comments for 45 days on, the proposed approval of the radioactive, remote-handled (RH), transuranic (TRU) waste characterization program implemented by the Central Characterization Project (CCP) at Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL) in Oak Ridge, Tennessee. This waste is intended for disposal at the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP) in New Mexico.

In accordance with the WIPP Compliance Criteria, EPA evaluated the characterization of RH TRU debris waste from ORNL-CCP during an inspection conducted on June 30—July 2, 2008. Using the systems and processes developed as part of the U.S. Department of Energy's (DOE's) Carlsbad Field Office (CBFO) program, EPA verified whether DOE could adequately characterize RH TRU waste consistent with the Compliance Criteria. The results of EPA's evaluation of ORNL-CCP's RH program and its proposed approval are described in the Agency's inspection report, which is available for review in the public dockets listed in **ADDRESSES**. We will consider public comments received on or before the due date mentioned in **DATES**.

This notice summarizes the waste characterization processes evaluated by EPA and EPA's proposed approval. As required by the 40 CFR 194.8, at the end of a 45-day comment period EPA will evaluate public comments received, and if appropriate, finalize the reports responding to the relevant public comments, and issue a final report and approval letter to DOE.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before January 12, 2009.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OAR-2008-0820, by one of the following methods:

- [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov): Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments.

- *E-mail:* to [a-and-r-docket@epa.gov](mailto:a-and-r-docket@epa.gov)
- *Fax:* 202-566-1741
- *Mail:* Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center, Environmental Protection Agency, Mailcode: 6102T, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460.

*Instructions:* Direct your comments to Attn: Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OAR-2008-0820. The Agency's policy is that all comments received will be included in the public docket without change and may be made available online at [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov), including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Do not submit information that you consider to be CBI or otherwise protected through [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) or e-mail. The [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) Web site is an “anonymous access” system, which means EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send an e-mail comment directly to EPA without going through [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) your e-mail address will be automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the public docket and made available on the Internet. If you submit an electronic comment, EPA recommends that you include your name and other contact information in the body of your comment and with any disk or CD-ROM you submit. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment. Electronic files should avoid the use of special characters, any form of encryption, and be free of any defects or viruses. For additional information about EPA's public docket visit the EPA Docket Center homepage at <http://www.epa.gov/epahome/dockets.htm>.

*Docket:* All documents in the docket are listed in the [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) index. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, e.g., CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, will be publicly available only in hard copy. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically at [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov). As provided in EPA's regulations at 40 CFR part 2, and in accordance with normal EPA docket procedures, if copies of any docket materials are requested, a reasonable fee may be charged for photocopying.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Rajani Joglekar or Ed Feltcorn, Radiation

Protection Division, Center for Waste Management and Regulation, Mail Code 6608J, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, Washington, DC, 20460; telephone number: 202-343-9601; fax number: 202-343-2305; e-mail address: [joglekar.rajani@epa.gov](mailto:joglekar.rajani@epa.gov) or [feltcorn.ed@epa.gov](mailto:feltcorn.ed@epa.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. General Information

###### A. What Should I Consider as I Prepare My Comments for EPA?

1. *Submitting CBI.* Do not submit this information to EPA through [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) or e-mail. Clearly mark the part or all of the information that you claim to be CBI. For CBI information in a disk or CD ROM that you mail to EPA, mark the outside of the disk or CD ROM as CBI and then identify electronically within the disk or CD ROM the specific information that is claimed as CBI. In addition to one complete version of the comment that includes information claimed as CBI, a copy of the comment that does not contain the information claimed as CBI must be submitted for inclusion in the public docket. Information so marked will not be disclosed except in accordance with procedures set forth in 40 CFR part 2.

2. *Tips for Preparing Your Comments.* When submitting comments, remember to:

- Identify the rulemaking by docket number and other identifying information (subject heading, **Federal Register** date and page number).
- Follow directions—The agency may ask you to respond to specific questions or organize comments by referencing a Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) part or section number.
- Explain why you agree or disagree; suggest alternatives and substitute language for your requested changes.
- Describe any assumptions and provide any technical information and/or data that you used.
- If you estimate potential costs or burdens, explain how you arrived at your estimate in sufficient detail to allow for it to be reproduced.
- Provide specific examples to illustrate your concerns, and suggest alternatives.
- Explain your views as clearly as possible, avoiding the use of profanity or personal threats.
- Make sure to submit your comments by the comment period deadline identified.

##### II. Background

DOE is developing the WIPP, near Carlsbad in southeastern New Mexico,

as a deep geologic repository for disposal of TRU radioactive waste. As defined by the WIPP Land Withdrawal Act (LWA) of 1992 (Pub. L. 102-579), as amended (Pub. L. 104-201), TRU waste consists of materials that have atomic numbers greater than 92 (with half-lives greater than twenty years), in concentrations greater than 100 nanocuries of alpha-emitting TRU isotopes per gram of waste. Much of the existing TRU waste consists of items contaminated during the production of nuclear weapons, such as rags, equipment, tools, and sludges.

TRU waste is itself divided into two categories, based on its level of radioactivity. Contact-handled (CH) TRU waste accounts for about 97 percent of the volume of TRU waste currently destined for the WIPP. It is packaged in 55-gallon metal drums or in metal boxes and can be handled under controlled conditions without any shielding beyond the container itself. The maximum radiation dose at the surface of a CH TRU waste container is 200 millirems per hour. CH waste primarily emits alpha particles that are easily shielded by a sheet of paper or the outer layer of a person's skin.

Remote-handled (RH) TRU waste emits more radiation than CH TRU waste and must therefore be both handled and transported in shielded casks. Surface radiation levels of unshielded containers of remote-handled transuranic waste exceed 200 millirems per hour. RH waste primarily emits gamma radiation, which is very penetrating and requires concrete, lead, or steel to block it.

On May 13, 1998, EPA issued a final certification of compliance for the WIPP facility. The final rule was published in the **Federal Register** on May 18, 1998 (63 FR 27354). EPA officially recertified WIPP on March 29, 2006 (71 FR 18015). Both the certification and recertification determined that WIPP complies with the Agency's radioactive waste disposal regulations at 40 CFR part 191, subparts B and C, and is therefore safe to contain TRU waste.

The final WIPP certification decision includes conditions that (1) prohibit shipment of TRU waste for disposal at WIPP from any site other than the Los Alamos National Laboratories (LANL) until the EPA determines that the site has established and executed a quality assurance program, in accordance with §§ 194.22(a)(2)(i), 194.24(c)(3), and 194.24(c)(5) for waste characterization activities and assumptions (Condition 2 of Appendix A to 40 CFR part 194); and (2) (with the exception of specific, limited waste streams and equipment at LANL) prohibit shipment of TRU waste

for disposal at WIPP (from LANL or any other site) until EPA has approved the procedures developed to comply with the waste characterization requirements of § 194.22(c)(4) (Condition 3 of Appendix A to 40 CFR part 194). The EPA's approval process for waste generator sites is described in § 194.8 (revised July 2004).

Condition 3 of the WIPP Certification Decision requires EPA to conduct independent inspections at DOE's waste generator/storage sites of their TRU waste characterization capabilities before approving their program and the waste for disposal at the WIPP. EPA's inspection and approval process gives EPA (a) discretion in establishing technical priorities, (b) the ability to accommodate variation in the site's waste characterization capabilities, and (c) flexibility in scheduling site waste characterization inspections.

As described in Section 194.8(b), EPA's baseline inspections evaluate each waste characterization process component (equipment, procedures, and personnel training/experience) for its adequacy and appropriateness in characterizing TRU waste destined for disposal at WIPP. During an inspection, the site demonstrates its capabilities to characterize TRU waste(s) and its ability to comply with the regulatory limits and tracking requirements under (194.24. A baseline inspection may describe any limitations on approved waste streams or waste characterization processes [§ 194.8(b)(2)(iii)]. In addition, a baseline inspection approval must specify what subsequent waste characterization program changes or expansion should be reported to EPA [§ 194.8(b)(4)]. The Agency is required to assign Tier 1 (T1) and Tier 2 (T2) designations to the reportable changes depending on their potential impact on data quality. A T1 designation requires that the site must notify EPA of proposed changes to the approved components of an individual waste characterization process (such as radioassay equipment or personnel), and EPA must also approve the change before it can be implemented. A waste characterization element with a T2 designation allows the site to implement changes to the approved components of individual waste characterization processes (such as visual examination procedures) but requires EPA notification. The Agency may choose to inspect the site to evaluate technical adequacy before approval. EPA inspections conducted to evaluate T1 or T2 changes are follow-up inspections under the authority of § 194.24(h). In addition to the follow-up inspections, if warranted, EPA may opt to conduct

continued compliance inspections at TRU waste sites with a baseline approval under the authority of § 194.24(h).

The site inspection and approval process outlined in § 194.8 requires EPA to issue a **Federal Register** notice proposing the baseline compliance decision, docket the inspection report for public review, and seek public comment on the proposed decision for a period of 45 days. The report must describe the waste characterization processes EPA inspected at the site, as well as their compliance with § 194.24 requirements.

### III. Proposed Baseline Compliance Decision

EPA has performed a baseline inspection of RH TRU waste characterization activities at ORNL-CCP (EPA Inspection No. EPA-ORNL-CCP-RH-4.08-8). The purpose of EPA's inspection was to verify that the waste characterization program implemented at ORNL-CCP for characterizing RH TRU, retrievably-stored, debris waste is technically adequate and meets the regulatory requirements at 40 CFR 194.24.

The RH waste that DOE is proposing for WIPP disposal is generated from operation of the Radiochemical Engineering Development Center (REDC) hot cell laboratory at ORNL. The REDC was used primarily to recover and purify curium for fabrication into targets. The same facility and apparatus were used to separate, purify and store transcurium<sup>1</sup> radionuclides produced by irradiation of curium targets in the ORNL High Flux Isotope Reactor (HFIR) from 1991 until February 2007 for a variety of commercial and federal applications. The REDC also processed Mark-42 target assemblies to recover plutonium, americium and curium

isotopes that were shipped to the Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL). A target is a material that was placed within the HFIR primarily for defense programs (see attached inspection report for more information on this topic).

ORNL-CCP stores RH waste from the REDC in concrete casks, boxes, and drums at ORNL. These wastes are transferred to the TRU Waste Processing Center (TWPC) hot cells for repackaging into 55-gallon (208-liter) drums for characterization. ORNL-CCP was expecting EPA to evaluate for approval RH debris waste from three time periods (April 1972–November 1978, December 1978–October 1991, and November 1991–February 2007). Early in the inspection, EPA inspectors concluded that ORNL-CCP had done limited characterization work for the first two time periods and the available information for the earlier periods was not complete. As a result, EPA inspectors informed the Carlsbad Area Field Office (CBFO) and ORNL-CCP that the scope of the inspection would only cover debris waste generated from November 1991–February 2007. Today's proposed baseline approval, therefore, is limited to retrievably-stored RH TRU debris wastes that were generated during this specific time frame.

ORNL initially stored these wastes in 32 concrete casks. ORNL-CCP presented preliminary information regarding RH TRU debris wastes that had been generated in two other time periods; the Pre-Solvent Extraction Test Facility (SETF) period from April 1972–November 1978 and the SETF period from December 1978–October 1991. At the time of this inspection, characterization of the Pre-SETF and SETF wastes had not begun and there was insufficient objective evidence to support their approval. ORNL-CCP has stated that they will present these wastes for EPA approval in the future and EPA will evaluate each of these as Tier 1 (T1) changes in accordance with the tiering described in the accompanying inspection report.

The EPA inspection team identified four concerns related to waste characterization processes ORNL-CCP had implemented to characterize retrievably-stored RH debris waste (see

Attachment B of the accompanying inspection report). ORNL-CCP revised specific documents to address the concerns and submitted them for EPA review following the initial onsite inspection. The EPA inspection team completed their review of the revised documents and determined that the revised documents adequately addressed all aspects of the four EPA concerns.

EPA has determined that the ORNL-CCP RH waste characterization program was technically adequate and that all concerns have been resolved. Therefore, EPA is proposing to approve the ORNL-CCP RH waste characterization program for ORNL RH Waste Stream OR-REDC-RH-HET that was evaluated during this baseline inspection, as described and documented in the accompanying inspection report. The proposed approval includes the following:

(1) The AK process for the RH retrievably-stored TRU debris waste stream designated as Waste Stream OR-REDC-RH-HET that was generated from REDC activities conducted between November 1991 and February 2007 that is currently stored at ORNL and will be repackaged into 55-gallon drums.

(2) The radiological characterization process using DTC and scaling factors for assigning radionuclide values to Waste Stream OR-REDC-RH-HET that is documented in CCP-AK-ORNL-501, Revisions 0 and 1, and detailed in this report.

(3) The VE process to identify waste material parameters and the physical form of the waste.

(4) The WWIS to submit data for both characterization and certification for RH TRU waste.

(5) The attainment of pertinent data quality objectives (DQOs).

ORNL-CCP must report and receive EPA approval of any Tier 1 (T1) changes to the ORNL-CCP waste characterization activities from the date of the baseline inspection, and ORNL-CCP must notify EPA regarding Tier 2 (T2) changes according to Table 1, below. Table 1 in this report closely follows the format used in recent CH and RH baseline approval reports.

<sup>1</sup> Transcurium isotopes are elements with atomic numbers (No.) greater than that of Curium (Cm), 96, i.e., berkelium (Bk), atomic No. 97; californium (Cf), atomic No. 98; einsteinium (Es), atomic No. 99; fermium (Fm), atomic No. 100; and mendelevium (Md), atomic No. 101. By definition, these are potentially TRU, depending on their radioactive emission (alpha, beta, or gamma) and half-life, even though they may contain small or immeasurable concentrations of plutonium and/or americium isotopes normally associated with TRU wastes.

TABLE 1—TIERING OF RH TRU WASTE CHARACTERIZATION PROCESSES IMPLEMENTED BY ORNL—CCP, BASED ON JUNE 30–JULY 2, 2008 BASELINE INSPECTION

RH WC Process elements	ORNL—CCP RH WC Process—T1 Changes	ORNL—CCP RH WC Process—T2 Changes <sup>a</sup>
Acceptable Knowledge (AK) .....	<p>Addition of any new waste streams not approved under this baseline (AK-1).</p> <p>Modification of the approved population of the OR—REDC—RH—HET wastes to include any containers not included in the CCP—AK—ORNL-501, Revision 1 analysis (AK-1).</p> <p>Modification(s) resulting from incorporation of new information specific to the approved RH debris waste (OR—REDC—RH—HET) population to the following documents: CSSF (AK-1 and AK-2); CCP—AK—ORNL-501 (AK-1); CCP—AK—ORNL-500 (AK-2 and AK-9); AKSR (AK-6); CTP (AK-9); AK Accuracy Reports (AK-1 and AK-15); and the WSPF (AK-14).</p> <p>Implementation of load management (AK-16) .....</p>	<p>Notification to EPA when updates are made to the documents included in AK-1, AK-2, AK-3, AK-4, AK-6, AK-9, AK-13, AK-14 and AK-15, outside of the specific T1 changes listed in the previous column.</p> <p>Notification to EPA of availability of and/or revisions to Add Container Memoranda (AK-3).</p> <p>Notification to EPA of availability of documentation of RH sample reclassified as CH and subject to confirmatory analyses via NDA (AK-9).</p> <p>Notification to EPA of availability of DRF(s) or data limitation information pertaining to CCP's assessment of ORNL's original radiological characterization of wastes generated post-1999 (AK-13).</p>
Radiological Characterization, Dose-to-Curie (DTC) and the application of radionuclide-specific scaling factors.	<p>Application of new scaling factors for isotopic determination other than those documented in CCP—AK—ORNL-501 (RC-3).</p> <p>Use of any alternate radiological characterization procedure other than DTC, with established scaling factors as documented in CCP—TP-504, Revision 6, or substantive modification of the DTC procedure<sup>b</sup> (RC-4).</p> <p>Any new waste stream not approved under this baseline or addition of containers to Waste Stream OR—REDC—RH—HET that requires changing the documented radionuclide scaling factors in CCP—AK—ORNL-501 (RC-4).</p>	<p>Revisions of CCP—AK—ORNL-501 or CCP—TP-504 that require CBFO approval (RC-3), (RC-4), (RC-8).</p> <p>Results from the any RH TRU container(s) that qualify as CH and are subject to NDA (RC-8).</p>
Visual Examination (VE) .....	Implementation of VE by any system other than two operators performing VE <sup>c</sup> (VE-2).	<p>Changes to VE procedure(s) that require CBFO approval (VE-1).</p> <p>Addition of new Sample Category Groups to the VE process that is subject to this proposed approval (VE-2).</p>
WIPP Waste Information System (WWIS).	None at this time .....	<p>Changes to WWIS procedure(s) that require CBFO approval (WWIS-1).</p> <p>Changes to the Excel spreadsheet titled WWIS Data Entry Summary Characterization and Certification (WWIS-2).</p>

<sup>a</sup> Upon receiving EPA approval, ORNL—CCP will report all T2 changes to EPA at the end of each fiscal quarter.

<sup>b</sup> "Substantive changes" means changes with the potential to impact the site's waste characterization activities or documentation thereof, excluding changes that are solely related to ES&H, nuclear safety, or RCRA, or that are editorial in nature.

<sup>c</sup> Modifications to approved equipment include all changes with the potential to affect NDA data relative to waste isolation and exclude minor changes, such as the addition of safety-related equipment.

#### IV. Availability of the Baseline Inspection Report for Public Comment

EPA has placed the report discussing the results of the Agency's inspection of the ORNL—CCP Site in the public docket as described in **ADDRESSES**. In accordance with 40 CFR 194.8, EPA is providing the public 45 days to comment on these documents. The Agency requests comments on the proposed approval decision, as described in the inspection report. EPA

will accept public comment on this notice and supplemental information as described in Section 1.B. above. EPA will not make a determination of compliance before the 45-day comment period ends. At the end of the public comment period, EPA will evaluate all relevant public comments and revise the inspection report as necessary. If appropriate, the Agency will then issue a final approval letter and inspection

report, both of which will be posted on the WIPP Web site.

Information on the certification decision is filed in the official EPA Air Docket, Docket No. A-93-02 and is available for review in Washington, DC, and at the three EPA WIPP informational docket locations in Albuquerque, Carlsbad, and Santa Fe, New Mexico. The dockets in New Mexico contain only major items from the official Air Docket in Washington, DC, plus those documents added to the

official Air Docket since the October 1992 enactment of the WIPP LWA.

Dated: November 18, 2008.

**Elizabeth Cotsworth,**

*Director, Office of Radiation and Indoor Air.*  
[FR Doc. E8-28124 Filed 11-25-08; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-HQ-OPP-2008-0387; FRL-8365-9]

### Intent to Suspend Certain Pesticide Registrations

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of issuance of Notice of Intent to Suspend; Suspension Order.

**SUMMARY:** This Notice, pursuant to section 6(f)(2) of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA), 7 U.S.C. 136 *et seq.*, announces that EPA has issued a Notice of Intent to Suspend pursuant to sections 3(c)(2)(B) and 4 of FIFRA. The Notice was issued following issuance of a Section 4 Reregistration Data Requirements Notice by the Agency and the failure of the registrant subject to the Section 4 Reregistration Data Requirements Notice to take appropriate steps to secure the data required to be submitted to the Agency. This Notice includes the text of a Notice of Intent to Suspend, absent specific chemical, product, or factual information. Table 1 of this Notice further identifies the registrant to whom the Notice of Intent to Suspend was issued, the date the Notice of Intent to Suspend was issued, the active ingredient(s) involved, and the EPA registration numbers and names of the registered product(s) which are affected by the Notice of Intent to Suspend. Moreover, Table 2 of this Notice identifies the basis upon which the Notice of Intent to Suspend was issued. Finally, matters pertaining to the timing of requests for hearing are specified in the Notice of Intent to Suspend and are governed by the deadlines specified in section 3(c)(2)(B). As required by section 6(f)(2), the Notice of Intent to Suspend was sent by certified mail, return receipt requested, to the affected registrant at its address of record. The Notice of Intent to Suspend described in this Notice was received by the registrant and the products have been suspended by operation of law. The Notice of Intent to Suspend has become an effective suspension order.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**  
Bonnie Adler, Special Review and

Reregistration Division, 7508P, Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460-0001; telephone number: (703) 308-8523; fax number: (703) 308-8005; e-mail address: [adler.bonnie@epa.gov](mailto:adler.bonnie@epa.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. General Information

###### A. Does this Action Apply to Me?

This action is directed to the public in general. Although this action may be of particular interest to persons who produce or use pesticides, the Agency has not attempted to describe all the specific entities that may be affected by this action. If you have any questions regarding the applicability of this action to a particular entity, consult the person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

###### B. How Can I Get Copies of this Document and Other Related Information?

1. *Docket.* EPA has established a docket for this action under docket identification (ID) number EPA-HQ-OPP-2008-0387. Publicly available docket materials are available either in the electronic docket at <http://www.regulations.gov>, or, if only available in hard copy, at the Office of Pesticide Programs (OPP) Regulatory Public Docket in Rm. S-4400, One Potomac Yard (South Bldg.), 2777 S. Crystal Dr., Arlington, VA. The hours of operation of this Docket Facility are from 8:30 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The Docket Facility telephone number is (703) 305-5805.

2. *Electronic access.* You may access this **Federal Register** document electronically through the EPA Internet under the **Federal Register** listings at <http://www.epa.gov/fedrgstr>.

##### II. Text of a Notice of Intent to Suspend

The text of a Notice of Intent to Suspend, absent specific chemical, product, or factual information follows: United States Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances  
Washington, DC 20460  
Certified Mail  
Return Receipt Requested

SUBJECT: Suspension of Registration of Pesticide Product(s) Containing \_\_\_\_\_ for Failure to Comply with the Section 4 Phase 5 Reregistration Eligibility Decision Data Call-In Notice

Dear Sir/Madam:

This letter gives you notice that the pesticide product registration(s) listed in Attachment I will be suspended 30 days from

your receipt of this letter unless you take steps within that time to prevent this Notice from automatically becoming a final and effective order of suspension. The Agency's authority for suspending the registrations of your products is section 3(c)(2)(B) of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA). Upon becoming a final and effective order of suspension, any violation of the order will be an unlawful act under section 12(a)(2)(j) of FIFRA.

You are receiving this Notice of Intent to Suspend because you have failed to comply with the terms of the Phase 5 Reregistration Eligibility Decision Data Call-In Notice imposed pursuant to section 4(g)(2)(B) and section 3(c)(2)(B) of FIFRA. The specific basis for issuance of this Notice is stated in the Explanatory Appendix (Attachment III) to this Notice. The affected product(s) and the requirement(s) which you failed to satisfy are listed and described in the following three attachments:

Attachment I Suspension Report — Product List

Attachment II Suspension Report — Requirement List

Attachment III Suspension Report — Explanatory Appendix

The suspension of the registration of each product listed in Attachment I will become final unless at least one of the following actions is completed.

1. You may avoid suspension under this Notice if you or another person adversely affected by this Notice properly request a hearing within 30 days of your receipt of this Notice. If you request a hearing, it will be conducted in accordance with the requirements of section 6(d) of FIFRA and the Agency's Procedural Regulations in 40 CFR Part 164.

Section 3(c)(2)(B), however, provides that the only allowable issues which may be addressed at the hearing are whether you have failed to take the actions which are the bases of this Notice and whether the Agency's decision regarding the disposition of existing stocks is consistent with FIFRA. Therefore, no substantive allegation or legal argument concerning other issues, including but not limited to the Agency's original decision to require the submission of data or other information, the need for or utility of any of the required data or other information or deadlines imposed, any allegations of errors or unfairness in any proceedings before an arbitrator, and the risks and benefits associated with continued registration of the affected product, may be considered in the proceeding. The Administrative Law Judge shall by order dismiss any objections which have no bearing on the allowable issues which may be considered in the proceeding.

Section 3(c)(2)(B)(iv) of FIFRA provides that any hearing must be held and a determination issued within 75 days after receipt of a hearing request. This 75-day period may not be extended unless all parties in the proceeding stipulate to such an extension. If a hearing is properly requested, the Agency will issue a final order at the conclusion of the hearing governing the suspension of your product(s).

A request for a hearing pursuant to this Notice must 1) include specific objections