provide the information needed for the USTR to make a finding as to whether a product should be excluded.

Affected Public: Businesses or other for-profit.

*Frequency:* Annually and as otherwise needed.

*Respondent's Obligation:* Required to obtain benefits.

OMB Desk Office: David Rostker, (202) 395–3897.

Copies of the proposed requester's or objector's questionnaires can be obtained by submitting a request to the USTR Office of Industry, 600 E Street, NW., Washington, DC 20508, Attn. Questionnaire Copy, fax 202–395–9674, telephone 202–395–5656. Please indicate clearly the questionnaire sought (requester's questionnaire or objector's questionnaire).

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed collection should be sent on or before October 3, 2002, to David Rostker, OMB Desk Officer, Room 10202, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

Dated: August 28, 2002. James E. Mendenhall, Deputy General Counsel. [FR Doc. 02–22386 Filed 8–30–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3190–01–P

### DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

## Office of the Secretary

# Review under 49 U.S.C. 41720 of Delta/ Northwest/Continental Agreements

**AGENCY:** Office of the Secretary, Department of Transportation. **ACTION:** Notice requesting comments.

SUMMARY: Delta Air Lines, Northwest Airlines, and Continental Airlines have submitted code-sharing and frequentflyer program reciprocity agreements to the Department for review under 49 U.S.C. 41720. That statute requires such agreements between major U.S. passenger airlines to be submitted to the Department at least thirty days before the agreements' proposed effective date but does not require Department approval for the agreements. The statute authorizes the Department to extend the waiting period for these agreements at the end of the thirty-day period. The Department is inviting interested persons to submit comments that would assist the Department in determining whether it should extend the waiting period or take other action on the agreements.

**DATES:** Any comments should be submitted by September 10, 2002.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments must be filed with Randall Bennett, Director, Office of Aviation Analysis, Room 6401, U.S. Department of Transportation, 400 7th St. SW., Washington, DC 20590. Late filed comments will be considered to the extent possible. To facilitate consideration of comments, each commenter should file three copies of its comments.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Thomas Ray, Office of the General Counsel, 400 Seventh St. SW., Washington, DC 20590, (202) 366-4731. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On August 23. Delta, Northwest, and Continental submitted code-sharing and frequentflyer program reciprocity agreements to us for review under 49 U.S.C. 41720. That statute requires certain kinds of joint venture agreements among major U.S. passenger airlines to be submitted to the Department at least thirty days before they can be implemented. This requirement currently covers codesharing agreements, long-term wet leases involving a substantial number of aircraft, and agreements concerning frequent flyer programs. By publishing a notice in the Federal Register, we may extend the waiting period by 150 days with respect to a code-sharing agreement and by sixty days for other types of agreements. At the end of the waiting period (either the thirty-day period or any extended period established by us), the parties are free to implement their agreement. The statute does not require the parties to obtain our approval before they implement an agreement. We normally could not block two airlines from implementing an agreement unless we issued an order under 49 U.S.C. 41712 (formerly section 411 of the Federal Aviation Act) in a formal enforcement proceeding that determined that the agreement's implementation would be an unfair or deceptive practice or unfair method of competition that would violate that section.

We have informally reviewed all agreements submitted under 49 U.S.C. 41720 in earlier years. In each case, the airline parties to the agreement filed the agreement directly with the Department staff that reviews them, and we did not establish a docketed proceeding for any such agreement. In reviewing each agreement, we focused on whether it would reduce competition. As noted, we would usually base any determination that an agreement was unlawful on a finding that the agreement was unlawful under 49 U.S.C. 41712 as an unfair method of competition, that is, that the agreement violated the antitrust laws or antitrust

principles. *See United Air Lines* v. *CAB*, 766 F.2d 1101 (7th Cir. 1985). Our review is analogous to the review of major mergers and acquisitions conducted by the Justice Department and the Federal Trade Commission under the Hart-Scott-Rodino Act, 15 U.S.C. 18a, since we are considering whether we should institute a formal proceeding for determining whether an agreement would violate section 41712.

In our review, we consult the Justice Department, which is responsible for enforcing the antitrust laws in the airline industry and may file suit and seek injunctive relief against the parties to an airline agreement, whether or not the agreement is subject to 49 U.S.C. 41720. We seek to avoid duplicative proceedings by this Department and the Justice Department.

Delta, Northwest, and Continental submitted their joint venture agreements one month after United and U.S. Airways submitted code-share and frequent-flyer program reciprocity agreements for review under 49 U.S.C. 41720. We have been conducting an informal review of the United/US Airways agreements. However, due to the public interest in the matter, we gave interested persons an opportunity to submit comments on the United/US Airways agreements. We thought that the views of outside parties could assist us in determining whether to extend the waiting period and whether their agreements present serious issues under section 41712. 67 FR 50745 (August 5, 2002). The comments are public. 67 FR 52770 (August 13, 2002).

We will follow the same informal review process being used for the United/US Airways agreements and provide the same opportunity for public comments. Since the statute requires us to decide within thirty days of filing whether to extend the waiting period, we request that any comments be filed by September 10. Delta, Northwest, and Continental have prepared a redacted copy of their agreements that will be available for review and copying in room PL-401 of the Nassif Building, located in the northeast corner on the Plaza level, 400 7th St. SW., Washington, DC. We are making the copy available there, even though this case is not docketed, because it is readily accessible to the public and has a copying machine for public use.

The comments will be most helpful if they focus on the key issue in our review of the agreements under 49 U.S.C. 41720: whether the three airlines' implementation of the agreements may result in a significant reduction of competition in any market and therefore constitute an unfair method of competition that would violate 49 U.S.C. 41712. Code-sharing and frequent-flyer program reciprocity agreements between major domestic airlines do not constitute a merger and, in contrast to the immunized alliances between U.S. and foreign airlines, are not normally intended to lead to a substantial integration of the partners' operations. Such agreements, however, would likely reduce competition if their terms or the resulting relationship among the airline partners would create the potential for collusion on price and service levels in markets where the airlines compete, or if the agreements and the airlines' relationship could otherwise significantly reduce competition, for example, by unreasonably restricting each airline's ability to set its own fares and service levels.

Issued in Washington, DC, on August 28, 2002.

### Read C. Van de Water,

Assistant Secretary for Aviation and International Affairs. [FR Doc. 02–22504 Filed 8–30–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–62–P

### DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

#### Federal Highway Administration

## Environmental Impact Statement; Pottawattamie County, IA, Douglas County, NE

**AGENCY:** Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT. **ACTION:** Notice of intent.

**SUMMARY:** The FHWA is issuing a correction to the notice to the public that a scoping meeting leading to the preparation of an environmental impact statement would be prepared for improving the freeway system for Interstate-80 (I–80), I–29, and I–480 in the City of Council Bluffs, Pottawattamie County, Iowa, and the City of Omaha, Douglas County, Nebraska.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Rebecca Hiatt, Operations Engineer, FHWA, 105 6th Street, Ames, IA 50010– 6337, (515) 233–7321. James P. Rost, Director, Office of Location and Environment, Iowa Department of Transportation, 800 Lincoln Way, Ames, IA 50010, (515) 239–1798.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# **Electronic Access**

An electronic copy of this document may be downloaded using a modem and suitable communications software from the Government Printing Office's Electronic Bulletin Board Service at (202) 512–1661. Internet users may reach the Federal Register's home page at: http://www.nara.gov/fedreg and the Government Printing Office's database at: http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara.

## Background

The FHWA, in cooperation with the Iowa Department of Transportation, is issuing a correction to the FR Doc. 02-21214 filed 8–20–02. It was announced that a scoping meeting, leading to the preparation of an environmental impact statement for the proposed Council Bluffs Interstate Improvement Project, would be held on September 12, 2002 from 4 to 7 p.m. at the Best Western Crossroads of the Bluffs at 2216 27th Avenue (I-80 and 24th Street), Council Bluffs, Iowa. The meeting has been postponed and will be rescheduled. Public notice of the meeting time and location will be published in local newspapers.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Number 20.205, Highway Planning and Construction. The regulations implementing Executive Order 12372 regarding intergovernmental consultation of Federal programs and activities apply to this program.)

(Authority: 23 U.S.C. 315; 49 CFR 1.48)

Dated: August 26, 2002.

# Bobby W. Blackmon,

Division Administrator. [FR Doc. 02–22326 Filed 8–30–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–22–P

### DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

## Federal Railroad Administration

[Docket No. FRA-2000-7257; Notice No. 28]

# Railroad Safety Advisory Committee ("RSAC"); Working Group Activity Update

**AGENCY:** Federal Railroad Administration (FRA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

**ACTION:** Announcement of Railroad Safety Advisory Committee (RSAC) Working Group Activities.

**SUMMARY:** FRA is updating its announcement of RSAC's working group activities to reflect their current status.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Trish Butera or Lydia Leeds, RSAC Coordinators, FRA, 1120 Vermont Avenue, NW., Mailstop 25, Washington, DC 20590, (202) 493–6213 or Grady Cothen, Deputy Associate Administrator for Safety Standards and Program Development, FRA, 1120 Vermont

Avenue, NW., Mailstop 25, Washington, DC 20590, (202) 493–6302.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This notice serves to update FRA's last announcement of working group activities and status reports on May 17, 2002, (67 FR 35185). The nineteenth full Committee meeting was held May 29, 2002, at the Wyndham Washington, DC Hotel in Washington, DC. The twentieth meeting is scheduled for September 19, 2002.

Since its first meeting in April of 1996, the RSAC has accepted seventeen tasks. Status for each of the tasks is provided below:

Task 96–1—(Completed) Revising the Freight Power Brake Regulations. This Task was formally withdrawn from the RSAC on June 24, 1997. FRA published an NPRM on September 9, 1998, reflective of what FRA had learned through the collaborative process. Two public hearings were conducted and a technical conference was held. The date for submission of written comments was extended to March 1, 1999. The final rule was published on January 17, 2001 (66 FR 4104). An amendment extending the effective date of the final rule until May 31, 2001 was published on February 12, 2001, (66 FR 9905). Amendments to Subpart D of the final rule were published August 1, 2001 (66 FR 36983). Amendments responding to the remaining issues raised in petitions for reconsideration were published in the Federal Register on April 10, 2002 (67 FR 17556). Contact: Thomas Hermann (202) 493-6036.

Task 96–2—(Completed) Reviewing and recommending revisions to the Track Safety Standards (49 CFR part 213). This Task was accepted April 2, 1996, and a Working Group was established. Consensus was reached on recommended revisions and an NPRM incorporating these recommendations was published in the Federal Register on July 3, 1997, (62 FR 36138). The final rule was published in the Federal Register on June 22, 1998 (63 FR 33991). The effective date of the rule was September 21, 1998. A task force was established to address Gage Restraint Measurement System (GRMS) technology applicability to the Track Safety Standards. A GRMS amendment to the Track Safety Standards was approved by the full RSAC in a mail ballot during August 2000. The GRMS final rule amendment was published January 10, 2001 (66 FR 1894). On January 31, 2001, FRA published a notice extending the effective date of the GRMS amendment to April 10, 2001 (66 FR 8372). On February 8, 2001, FRA published a notice delaying the effective