terminated, and based on such investigation:

(4) Compliance with the provisions of paragraphs (d)(2) and (3) of this section will satisfy the service requirements of section 203, section 304(c), or section 304(d) of title 17, U.S.C., whichever applies. \* \* \*

(e) Harmless errors. (1) Harmless errors in a notice that do not materially affect the adequacy of the information required to serve the purposes of section 203, section 304(c), or section 304(d) of title 17, U.S.C., whichever applies, shall not render the notice invalid.

(2) Without prejudice to the general rule provided by paragraph (e)(1) of this section, errors made in giving the date or registration number referred to in paragraph (b)(1)(iii), (b)(2)(iii), or (b)(2)(iv) of this section, or in complying with the provisions of paragraph (b)(1)(vii) or (b)(2)(vii) of this section, or in describing the precise relationships under paragraph (c)(2) or (c)(3) of this section, shall not affect the validity of the notice if the errors were made in good faith and without any intention to deceive, mislead, or conceal relevant information.

Dated: December 17, 2002.

# David O. Carson,

General Counsel. [FR Doc. 02-32136 Filed 12-19-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 1410-30-U

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

#### 40 CFR Part 52

[MS 23-1-200242(b); FRL-7424-4]

# Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans for Mississippi: Infectious Waste Incinerator Requirements

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). **ACTION:** Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The EPA is proposing to approve a revision to the Mississippi State Implementation Plan (SIP) modifying infectious waste incineration requirements to reflect current Emissions Guidelines approved in the State for existing hospital/medical/ infectious waste incinerator units. In the Final Rules Section of this Federal **Register**, the EPA is approving the State's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial submittal and anticipates no adverse

comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no significant, material, and adverse comments are received in response to this rule, no further activity is contemplated. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this document should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Written comments must be received on or before January 21, 2003. **ADDRESSES:** Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, Air Planning Branch, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303-8960. (Michele Notarianni, (40) 562-9031,

notarianni.michele@epa.gov)Mississippi Department of Environmental Quality, Air Division, PO Box 10385, Jackson, Mississippi 39289-0385. (601) 961-5171)

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michele Notarianni at address listed above or (404) 562–9031 (phone) or notarianni.michele@epa.gov (e-mail).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For additional information see the direct final rule which is published in the Rules Section of this Federal Register.

Dated: December 2, 2002.

#### J. I. Palmer Jr.,

Regional Administrator, Region 4. [FR Doc. 02-31978 Filed 12-19-02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

#### DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### 48 CFR Part 213

[DFARS Case 2002-D025]

### **Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement; Purchase Card Internal Controls**

**AGENCY:** Department of Defense (DoD). **ACTION:** Proposed rule with request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** DoD is proposing to amend the Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS) to add policy on internal controls for proper use of the Governmentwide commercial purchase card.

**DATES:** Comments on the proposed rule should be submitted in writing to the address shown below on or before February 18, 2003, to be considered in the formation of the final rule. **ADDRESSES:** Respondents may submit comments directly on the World Wide

Web at http://emissary.acq.osd.mil/dar/ dfars.nsf/pubcomm. As an alternative, respondents may e-mail comments to: dfars@acq.osd.mil. Please cite DFARS Case 2002–D025 in the subject line of emailed comments.

Respondents that cannot submit comments using either of the above methods may submit comments to: **Defense Acquisition Regulations** Council, Attn: Ms. Angelena Mov. OUSD(AT&L)DPAP(DAR), IMD 3C132, 3062 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301-3062; facsimile (703) 602-0350. Please cite DFARS Case 2002-D025.

At the end of the comment period, interested parties may view public comments on the World Wide Web at http://emissary.acq.osd.mil/dar/ dfars.nsf.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Angelena Moy, (703) 602-1302. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### A. Background

This proposed rule revises DFARS subpart 213.3 to add policy on internal controls for proper use of the Governmentwide commercial purchase card and convenience checks. The rule implements recommendations made by the DoD Charge Card Task Force, in its final report dated June 27, 2002, to strengthen management of the purchase card program.

This rule was not subject to Office of Management and Budget review under Executive Order 12866, dated September 30, 1993.

# **B. Regulatory Flexibility Act**

DoD does not expect this rule to have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities within the meaning of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601, et seq., because the rule pertains primarily to internal DoD procedures for use of the Governmentwide commercial purchase card and convenience checks. Therefore, DoD has not performed an initial regulatory flexibility analysis. DoD invites comments from small businesses and other interested parties. DoD also will consider comments from small entities concerning the affected DFARS subpart in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 610. Such comments should be submitted separately and should cite DFARS Case 2002-D025.

#### **C. Paperwork Reduction Act**

The Paperwork Reduction Act does not apply because the rule does not impose any information collection requirements that require the approval of the Office of Management and Budget under 44 U.S.C. 3501, et seq.