

# Proposed Rules

Federal Register

Vol. 77, No. 85

Wednesday, May 2, 2012

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains notices to the public of the proposed issuance of rules and regulations. The purpose of these notices is to give interested persons an opportunity to participate in the rule making prior to the adoption of the final rules.

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA-2012-0414; Directorate Identifier 2011-NM-210-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

#### Airworthiness Directives; Airbus Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

**SUMMARY:** We propose to adopt a new airworthiness directive (AD) for certain Airbus Model A310-203, -221, and -222 airplanes. This proposed AD was prompted by the manufacturer re-classifying slat extension eccentric bolts as principal structural elements (PSE) with replacement due at or before their calculated fatigue lives. This proposed AD would require replacing certain slat extension eccentric bolts with new bolts. We are proposing this AD to prevent fatigue cracking which could result in the loss of structural integrity of the airplane.

**DATES:** We must receive comments on this proposed AD by June 18, 2012.

**ADDRESSES:** You may send comments by any of the following methods:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* Go to <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.
- *Fax:* (202) 493-2251.
- *Mail:* U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M-30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12-140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590.
- *Hand Delivery:* U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M-30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12-140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

For service information identified in this proposed AD, contact Airbus SAS-

EAW (Airworthiness Office), 1 Rond Point Maurice Bellonte, 31707 Blagnac Cedex, France; telephone +33 5 61 93 36 96; fax +33 5 61 93 44 51; email [account.airworth-eas@airbus.com](mailto:account.airworth-eas@airbus.com); Internet <http://www.airbus.com>.

You may review copies of the referenced service information at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, Washington. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 425-227-1221.

#### Examining the AD Docket

You may examine the AD docket on the Internet at <http://www.regulations.gov>; or in person at the Docket Operations office between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The AD docket contains this proposed AD, the regulatory evaluation, any comments received, and other information. The street address for the Docket Operations office (telephone (800) 647-5527) is in the **ADDRESSES** section. Comments will be available in the AD docket shortly after receipt.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Dan Rodina, Aerospace Engineer, International Branch, ANM-116, Transport Airplane Directorate, FAA, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, Washington 98057-3356; telephone (425) 227-2125; fax (425) 227-1149.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Comments Invited

We invite you to send any written relevant data, views, or arguments about this proposed AD. Send your comments to an address listed under the **ADDRESSES** section. Include "Docket No. FAA-2012-0414; Directorate Identifier 2011-NM-210-AD" at the beginning of your comments. We specifically invite comments on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of this proposed AD. We will consider all comments received by the closing date and may amend this proposed AD based on those comments.

We will post all comments we receive, without change, to <http://www.regulations.gov>, including any personal information you provide. We will also post a report summarizing each substantive verbal contact we receive about this proposed AD.

#### Discussion

The European Aviation Safety Agency (EASA), which is the Technical Agent for the Member States of the European Community, has issued EASA Airworthiness Directive 2011-0187, dated September 27, 2011 (referred to after this as "the MCAI"), to correct an unsafe condition for the specified products. The MCAI states:

Slat extension eccentric bolts have been re-classified as Principal Structural Elements (PSE). As a result, associated fatigue lives will be published in the Airbus A310 Airworthiness Limitations Section (ALS) Part 1 and bolts must be replaced at or before their calculated fatigue lives.

The slat extension eccentric bolt Part Number (P/N) A5786451220800 installed at slat 2, track 6 of the left hand (LH) and right hand (RH) wings is manufactured by SONACA, but some bolts with the same P/N, manufactured by FOKKER, may have been installed on A310-200 series aeroplanes and are identical in appearance. The calculated fatigue life of the FOKKER bolt is lower than that of the SONACA equivalent bolt.

The difference between the FOKKER and SONACA bolt cannot be distinguished by a visual inspection. To remedy this, the SONACA bolt part number was changed from P/N A5786451220800 to P/N A5784307920000.

Failure to replace the bolts within the new fatigue life limits constitutes an unsafe condition.

For the reasons described above, this [EASA] AD requires the replacement of all slat extension eccentric bolts, P/N A5786451220800, with slat extension eccentric bolts P/N A5784307920000 at the slat 2 tracks 4, 6 and 7 positions, as well as at the slat 3 track 8 position, on both LH and RH wings.

In addition, it is required to replace the slat extension eccentric bolt P/N A57843624200 at slat 2 track 5 with a bolt P/N A57843624202.

Required actions also include a concurrent inspection of the removed bolts for cracking. If cracking is found, certain bolts at slat 2 track 5 are replaced with new bolts before further flight. If cracking is not found, certain bolts at slat 2 track 5 are replaced with new bolts at 35,900 total flight cycles or 71,800 total flight hours, whichever occurs first. The unsafe condition is fatigue cracking which could result in the loss of structural integrity of the airplane. You may obtain further information by examining the MCAI in the AD docket.

## Relevant Service Information

Airbus has issued Mandatory Service Bulletin A310–57–2043, Revision 05, dated September 29, 2010; Mandatory Service Bulletin A310–57–2098, dated July 22, 2011; and Mandatory Service Bulletin A310–57–2099, dated July 22, 2011. The actions described in this service information are intended to correct the unsafe condition identified in the MCAI.

## FAA's Determination and Requirements of This Proposed AD

This product has been approved by the aviation authority of another country, and is approved for operation in the United States. Pursuant to our bilateral agreement with the State of Design Authority, we have been notified of the unsafe condition described in the MCAI and service information referenced above. We are proposing this AD because we evaluated all pertinent information and determined an unsafe condition exists and is likely to exist or develop on other products of the same type design.

## Costs of Compliance

Based on the service information, we estimate that this proposed AD would affect about 48 products of U.S. registry. We also estimate that it would take about 12 work-hours per product to comply with the basic requirements of this proposed AD. The average labor rate is \$85 per work-hour. Required parts would cost about \$35,365 per product. Where the service information lists required parts costs that are covered under warranty, we have assumed that there will be no charge for these parts. As we do not control warranty coverage for affected parties, some parties may incur costs higher than estimated here. Based on these figures, we estimate the cost of the proposed AD on U.S. operators to be \$1,746,480, or \$36,385 per product.

## Authority for This Rulemaking

Title 49 of the United States Code specifies the FAA's authority to issue rules on aviation safety. Subtitle I, section 106, describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. "Subtitle VII: Aviation Programs," describes in more detail the scope of the Agency's authority.

We are issuing this rulemaking under the authority described in "Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart III, Section 44701: General requirements." Under that section, Congress charges the FAA with promoting safe flight of civil aircraft in air commerce by prescribing regulations for practices, methods, and procedures the Administrator finds necessary for

safety in air commerce. This regulation is within the scope of that authority because it addresses an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on products identified in this rulemaking action.

## Regulatory Findings

We determined that this proposed AD would not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This proposed AD would not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify this proposed regulation:

1. Is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866;
2. Is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979);
3. Will not affect intrastate aviation in Alaska; and
4. Will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

We prepared a regulatory evaluation of the estimated costs to comply with this proposed AD and placed it in the AD docket.

## List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

## The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the FAA proposes to amend 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

## PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

### § 39.13 [Amended]

2. The FAA amends § 39.13 by adding the following new AD:

**Airbus:** Docket No. FAA–2012–0414; Directorate Identifier 2011–NM–210–AD.

### (a) Comments Due Date

We must receive comments by June 18, 2012.

### (b) Affected ADs

None.

### (c) Applicability

This AD applies to Airbus Model A310–203, –221, and –222 airplanes; certificated in

any category; all manufacturer serial numbers (MSN), except airplanes having MSN 0415, 0419, 0424, 0427, 0430, 0454, 0468, 0486, and 0487.

### (d) Subject

Air Transport Association (ATA) of America Code 57: Wings.

### (e) Reason

This AD was prompted by the manufacturer re-classifying slat extension eccentric bolts as principal structural elements (PSE) with replacement due at or before their calculated fatigue lives. We are issuing this AD to prevent fatigue cracking which could result in the loss of structural integrity of the airplane.

### (f) Compliance

You are responsible for having the actions required by this AD performed within the compliance times specified, unless the actions have already been done.

### (g) Bolt Replacement at Slat 2 Track 6 and Visual Inspection

(1) At the later of the times specified in paragraphs (g)(1)(i) and (g)(1)(ii) of this AD: Replace the slat extension eccentric bolts having part number (P/N) A5786451220800 at slat 2 track 6 on both wings with bolts having P/N A5784307920000, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Airbus Mandatory Service Bulletin A310–57–2043, Revision 05, September 29, 2010.

(i) Before the accumulation of 14,000 total flight cycles or 19,000 total flight hours, whichever occurs first.

(ii) Within 6 months after the effective date of this AD.

(2) Concurrently with the actions specified in paragraph (g)(1) of this AD: Do a general visual inspection of the removed slat extension eccentric bolts having P/N A5786451220800 to detect cracking, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Airbus Mandatory Service Bulletin A310–57–2043, Revision 05, September 29, 2010.

(i) If any cracking is found during the inspection required by paragraph (g)(2) of this AD: Before further flight, replace the slat extension eccentric bolt having P/N A57843624200 at slat 2 track 5, on the right or left wing as applicable, with a bolt having P/N A57843624202, in accordance with Accomplishment Instructions of Airbus Mandatory Service Bulletin A310–57–2099, dated July 22, 2011.

(ii) If no cracking is found during the inspection required by paragraph (g)(2) of this AD: Before the accumulation of 35,900 total flight cycles or 71,800 total flight hours, whichever occurs first, replace the slat extension eccentric bolt having P/N A57843624200 at slat 2 track 5, on the right or left wing as applicable, with a bolt having P/N A57843624202, in accordance with Accomplishment Instructions of Airbus Mandatory Service Bulletin A310–57–2099, dated July 22, 2011.

### (h) Bolt Replacement at Slat 2 Track 4 and Track 7, and Slat 3 Track 8

Within 30 months after the effective date of this AD: Replace the slat extension

eccentric bolts having P/N A5786451220800 at slat 2 track 4 and track 7, and slat 3 track 8, on both wings, with bolts having P/N A5784307920000, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Airbus Mandatory Service Bulletin A310-57-2098, dated July 22, 2011.

#### (i) Parts Installation

After modification of an airplane as required by this AD, do not install any slat extension eccentric bolt having P/N A5786451220800 on any airplane.

#### (j) Other FAA AD Provisions

The following provisions also apply to this AD:

(1) *Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs)*: The Manager, International Branch, ANM-116, Transport Airplane Directorate, FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19. In accordance with 14 CFR 39.19, send your request to your principal inspector or local Flight Standards District Office, as appropriate. If sending information directly to the International Branch, send it to ATTN: Dan Rodina, Aerospace Engineer, International Branch, ANM-116, Transport Airplane Directorate, FAA, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, Washington 98057-3356; telephone (425) 227-2125; fax (425) 227-1149. Information may be emailed to: [9-ANM-116-AMOC-REQUESTS@faa.gov](mailto:9-ANM-116-AMOC-REQUESTS@faa.gov). Before using any approved AMOC, notify your appropriate principal inspector, or lacking a principal inspector, the manager of the local flight standards district office/certificate holding district office. The AMOC approval letter must specifically reference this AD.

(2) *Airworthy Product*: For any requirement in this AD to obtain corrective actions from a manufacturer or other source, use these actions if they are FAA-approved. Corrective actions are considered FAA-approved if they are approved by the State of Design Authority (or their delegated agent). You are required to assure the product is airworthy before it is returned to service.

#### (k) Related Information

Refer to MCAI European Aviation Safety Agency Airworthiness Directive 2011-0187, dated September 27, 2011, and the following service information, for related information.

(1) Airbus Mandatory Service Bulletin A310-57-2043, Revision 05, dated September 29, 2010.

(2) Airbus Mandatory Service Bulletin A310-57-2098, dated July 22, 2011.

(3) Airbus Mandatory Service Bulletin A310-57-2099, dated July 22, 2011.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on April 25, 2012.

**Michael Kaszycki,**

*Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.*

[FR Doc. 2012-10573 Filed 5-1-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-13-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Bureau of Industry and Security

#### 15 CFR Parts 742 and 774

[Docket No. 120105018-2011-01]

RIN 0694-AF53

#### Revisions to the Export Administration Regulations (EAR): Control of Energetic Materials and Related Articles That the President Determines No Longer Warrant Control under the United States Munitions List (USML)

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Industry and Security, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Bureau of Industry and Security (BIS) publishes this proposed rule describing how energetic materials and related articles that the President determines no longer warrant control under Category V (Explosives and Energetic Materials, Propellants, Incendiary Agents and Their Constituents) of the United States Munitions List (USML) would be controlled under the Commerce Control List (CCL) in new Export Control Classification Numbers (ECCNs) 1B608, 1C608, 1D608, and 1E608. If implemented, this proposed rule would also control under ECCN 1C111 some of the aluminum powder and hydrazine and derivatives thereof that are now controlled under Category V of the USML. This proposed rule also would control equipment for the “production” of explosives and solid propellants, currently controlled under ECCN 1B018.a, and related “software,” currently controlled under ECCN 1D018, under new ECCNs 1B608 and 1D608, respectively. In addition, this proposed rule would control commercial charges and devices containing energetic materials, which are currently controlled under ECCN 1C018, under new ECCN 1C608. This is one of a planned series of proposed rules describing how various types of articles that the President determines, as part of the Administration’s Export Control Reform Initiative, no longer warrant control on the USML, under the International Traffic in Arms Regulations (ITAR), would be controlled on the CCL in accordance with the requirements of the Export Administration Regulations (EAR). This proposed rule is being published in conjunction with a proposed rule from the Department of State, Directorate of Defense Trade Controls, which would amend the list of articles controlled by USML Category V.

**DATES:** Comments must be received by June 18, 2012.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments by any of the following methods:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* <http://www.regulations.gov>. The identification number for this rulemaking is BIS-2012-0008.

- By email directly to [publiccomments@bis.doc.gov](mailto:publiccomments@bis.doc.gov). Include RIN 0694-AF53 in the subject line.

- By mail or delivery to Regulatory Policy Division, Bureau of Industry and Security, U.S. Department of Commerce, Room 2099B, 14th Street and Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230. Refer to RIN 0694-AF53.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Michael Rithmire, Office of National Security and Technology Transfer Controls, Bureau of Industry and Security, U.S. Department of Commerce, Telephone: (202) 482-6105, Email: [Michael.Rithmire@bis.doc.gov](mailto:Michael.Rithmire@bis.doc.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On July 15, 2011, as part of the Administration’s ongoing Export Control Reform Initiative, the Bureau of Industry and Security (BIS) published a proposed rule (76 FR 41958) (herein “the July 15 proposed rule”) that set forth a framework for how articles, which the President determines in accordance with section 38(f) of the Arms Export Control Act (AECA) (22 U.S.C. 2778(f)) would no longer warrant control on the United States Munitions List (USML), would be controlled on the Commerce Control List (CCL) in Supplement No. 1 to Part 774 of the Export Administration Regulations (EAR). On November 7, 2011 (76 FR 68675) (herein “the November 7 proposed rule”), BIS published a rule proposing several changes to the framework initially proposed in the July 15 rule.

Following the structure of the July 15 and November 7 proposed rules, this proposed rule describes BIS’s proposal for controlling some energetic materials and related articles, which currently are controlled by USML Category V under the International Traffic in Arms Regulations (ITAR), under the EAR and its CCL in new Export Control Classification Numbers (ECCNs) 1B608, 1C608, 1D608 and 1E608, and current ECCN 1C111. The changes described in this proposed rule and the State Department’s proposed companion rule on Category V of the USML are based on a review of this USML Category by the Defense Department, which worked with the Departments of State and Commerce in preparing the proposed