Item	Estimated time for response (minutes)	Estimated annual responses	Estimated annual burden hours
Request to Record an Official Insignia of a Federally-Recognized Tribe	30 30	5 3	3 2
Totals		8	5

Estimated Total Annual Non-hour Respondent Cost Burden: \$8. There are no capital start-up, maintenance, or recordkeeping costs associated with this information collection. There are also no filing fees for submitting a tribal insignia for recording. However, this collection does have annual (non-hour) costs in the form of postage costs.

Customers may incur postage costs when submitting the information in this collection to the USPTO by mail. The USPTO estimates that the average first-class postage cost for a submission mailed through the U.S. Postal Service will be \$1.05 and that up to 8 submissions will be mailed to the USPTO per year. Therefore, the total non-hour respondent cost burden for this collection in the form of postage costs is approximately \$8 per year.

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, e.g., the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: October 20, 2010.

Susan K. Fawcett,

Records Officer, USPTO, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2010–26975 Filed 10–25–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-16-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Docket 61-2010]

Foreign-Trade Zone 102—St. Louis, MO; Application for Reorganization Under Alternative Site Framework

An application has been submitted to the Foreign-Trade Zones (FTZ) Board (the Board) by the St. Louis County Port Authority, grantee of FTZ 102, requesting authority to reorganize the zone under the alternative site framework (ASF) adopted by the Board (74 FR 1170, 1/12/09; correction 74 FR 3987, 1/22/09). The ASF is an option for grantees for the establishment or reorganization of general-purpose zones and can permit significantly greater flexibility in the designation of new "usage-driven" FTZ sites for operators/ users located within a grantee's "service area" in the context of the Board's standard 2,000-acre activation limit for a general-purpose zone project. The application was submitted pursuant to the Foreign-Trade Zones Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), and the regulations of the Board (15 CFR part 400). It was formally filed on October 19, 2010.

FTZ 102 was approved by the Board on April 27, 1984 (Board Order 251, 49 FR 19540, 5/8/84), and expanded on March 3, 1987 (Board Order 344, 52 FR 7915, 3/13/87) and on January 30, 2009 (Board Order 1604, 74 FR 6364, 2/9/09).

The current zone project includes the following sites: Site 1 (11.13 acres)-Metro International Trade Services, LLC, warehouse, 3901 Union Boulevard, St. Louis; Site 2 (492.086 acres)-NorthPark industrial park located at the northeast corner of Interstates 70 and 170 in the municipalities of Berkeley, Ferguson and Kinloch; and, Site 3consisting of three parcels located at and adjacent to the Lambert-St. Louis International Airport: Hazelwood Commerce Center (Site 3A-169.76 acres) located on Commerce Center Drive in Hazelwood; Lindbergh Distribution Center (Site 3B—25.848 acres) located at 5801 N. Lindbergh Boulevard in Hazelwood; and, Airport Property No. 1 (Site 3C-75.99 acres)

located at 5620 Banshee Road in St. Louis.

The grantee's proposed service area under the ASF would be the City of St. Louis and St. Louis County, Missouri. If approved, the grantee would be able to serve sites throughout the service area based on companies' needs for FTZ designation. The proposed service area is within and adjacent to the St. Louis Customs and Border Protection port of entry.

The applicant is requesting authority to reorganize its existing zone project under the ASF as follows: renumber the parcels of Site 3 (Site 3A would become Site 3; Site 3B would become Site 4; and, Site 3C would become Site 5); Sites 2, 3, 4 and 5 would become "magnet" sites; and, Site 1 would become a "usage-driven" site. The ASF allows for the possible exemption of one magnet site from the "sunset" time limits that generally apply to sites under the ASF, and the applicant proposes that Site 2 be so exempted. Because the ASF only pertains to establishing or reorganizing a general-purpose zone, the application would have no impact on FTZ 102's authorized subzones.

In accordance with the Board's regulations, Camille Evans of the FTZ Staff is designated examiner to evaluate and analyze the facts and information presented in the application and case record and to report findings and recommendations to the Board.

Public comment is invited from interested parties. Submissions (original and 3 copies) shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is December 27, 2010. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period to January 10, 2011.

A copy of the application will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, Room 2111, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230–0002, and in the "Reading Room" section of the Board's Web site, which is accessible via https://www.trade.gov/ftz. For further

information, contact Camille Evans at *Camille.Evans@trade.gov* or (202) 482–2350.

Dated: October 19, 2010.

Elizabeth Whiteman,

Acting Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2010–27079 Filed 10–25–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

National Estuarine Research Reserve System

AGENCY: Estuarine Reserves Division, Office of Ocean and Coastal Resource Management, National Ocean Service, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Comment Period for Revised Management Plans for the following National Estuarine Research Reserves: North Inlet-Winyah Bay, SC and San Francisco Bay, CA.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the Estuarine Reserves Division, Office of Ocean and Coastal Resource Management, National Ocean Service, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), U.S. Department of Commerce is announcing a thirty day comment period for the revised management plans of the North Inlet-Winyah Bay, SC National Estuarine Research Reserve and the San Francisco Bay, CA National Estuarine Research Reserve.

The revised management plan for the North Inlet-Winyah Bay, SC National Estuarine Research Reserve outlines the administrative structure; the education, training, stewardship, and research programs of the reserve; and the plans for future land acquisition and facility development to support reserve operations. The goals described in this plan are designed to provide a framework that supports program integration based on priority issues defined by the reserve. The objectives described in this plan are designed to address the most critical coastal issues in North Inlet-Winyah Bay such as impacts from coastal and watershed development, climate events on coastal ecosystems and human communities, and invasive species impacts on habitat loss and biodiversity. Since the last approved management plan in 1992, the reserve has become fully staffed; added a Coastal Training Program that delivers science-based information to key decision makers; and added significant

monitoring of emergent marsh vegetation, invasive species, water quality, and bird populations. In addition to programmatic and staffing advances, the reserve upgraded its headquarters building with a 4500 square foot structure to support research, stewardship, and the coastal training programs that includes six offices, a monitoring lab, and library. In cooperation with the Belle W. Baruch Foundation, a 12,500 square foot education facility was developed to support reserve educational programs and includes interpretive exhibits, aquaria, classrooms, and education staff offices, as well as an outdoor classroom. This plan can be accessed for review at http://www.northinlet.sc.edu.

The revised management plan for the San Francisco Bay National Estuarine Research Reserve outlines a framework of overarching goals and program specific objectives that will guide the education, training, research, and developing stewardship programs of the reserve; describes land acquisition and boundary expansion; as well as outlines plans for facility use and development to support reserve operations. The goals and objectives put forth in this plan focus programmatic efforts on four critical issues that affect the reserve's ability to conserve ecological communities in support of the Bay's growing population: climate change, species interactions, water quality, and habitat restoration. Broadly, the goals for each of these issues include increasing knowledge, understanding effects, and improving the ability of partners and stakeholders to respond to these issues. The goals described in this plan are designed to provide a framework that supports program integration for collaborative management of the San Francisco Bay Reserve.

Since the last approved management plan in 2003, the reserve has a full complement of core staff; established a research lab, fully operational Systemwide Monitoring Program, and Graduate Research Fellowship Program; added a Coastal Training Program that delivers science-based information to key decision makers; developed education programs focused on sharing estuarine research with non-academic audiences; and constructed facilities to support essential functions of the reserve at its headquarters on the Romberg Tiburon Center campus of San Francisco State University. These facilities include office space for staff, classroom space, laboratory, and meeting facilities. The reserve has also constructed facilities and interpretive exhibits at its components sites, China Camp State

Park and Rush Ranch Open Space Preserve, that support on-site research and educational programs. This plan can be accessed for review at http:// www.sfbaynerr.org.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Alison Krepp at (301) 563–7105 or Laurie McGilvray at (301) 563–1158 of NOAA's National Ocean Service, Estuarine Reserves Division, 1305 East-West Highway, N/ORM5, 10th floor, Silver Spring, MD 20910.

Dated: October 8, 2010.

Donna Wieting,

Acting Director, Office of Ocean and Coastal Resource Management, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.

[FR Doc. 2010-27068 Filed 10-25-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-08-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Army; Corps of Engineers

Withdrawal of Notice for Preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement for the Pine Mountain Dam & Lake Project, AR

AGENCY: Department of the Army, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, DOD. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: The Little Rock District of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) is withdrawing its intent to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the Pine Mountain Dam & Lake Project, AR. The original Notice of Intent (NOI) was published in the Federal Register on September 25, 2009 (74 FR 48934). The River Valley Regional Water District, sponsor of the study, has recently requested the study be suspended until a later date (to be determined).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms.

Dana Coburn, Chief, Environmental Branch, Planning and Environmental Division, P.O. Box 867, Little Rock, Arkansas 72203–0867, Telephone 501– 324–5601, e-mail:

Dana.O.Coburn@usace.army.mil.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Pine Mountain Dam project was authorized for construction by Congress in 1965. Additional studies and a preliminary draft EIS were prepared in the 1970s. In 1980, prior to public review of the EIS, the local sponsor decided not to continue sponsoring the project. In 2000, the River Valley Regional Water District identified themselves as a willing sponsor and requested that the Corps of Engineers reevaluate the project. The proposed Pine Mountain