

The proposed action will not significantly increase the probability or consequences of accidents. No changes are being made in the types of effluents that may be released off-site. There is no significant increase in occupational or public radiation exposure. Therefore, there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action. The licensee will continue to use the designated and approved areas of its property for disposal. Determination of the radiological dose impact of the new material to be disposed has been made based on the same dose assessment models and pathway assumptions used in previously-approved applications for Vermont Yankee. The NRC staff's review of the proposed action concluded that the bounding dose conditions for the previously-approved materials will not be exceeded. The maximum dose from the radionuclides in the material was determined to be less than 1 millirem per year to the maximally exposed individual and less than 5 millirem per year to an inadvertent intruder.

With regard to potential non-radiological impacts, the proposed action does not have a potential to affect any historic sites. It does not affect non-radiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Therefore, there are no significant non-radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Accordingly, the NRC concludes that there are no significant environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Environmental Impacts of the Alternatives to the Proposed Action

As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action (i.e., the "no-action" alternative). The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar. If the proposed action is denied, the licensee may be required to ship the material to an off-site low-level radioactive waste disposal facility. The costs associated with off-site disposal greatly exceed the cost of on-site disposal with no significant benefit to the environment.

Alternative Use of Resources

The action does not involve the use of any different resources than those previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement for Vermont Yankee.

Agencies and Persons Consulted

On April 25, 2005, the staff consulted with the Vermont State official, William

Sherman, of the Department of Public Service, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

Finding of No Significant Impact

On the basis of the environmental assessment, the NRC concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the NRC has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's letter dated October 4, 2004, as supplemented by letter dated January 17, 2005. Documents may be examined, and/or copied for a fee, at the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR), located at One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland. Publicly-available records will be accessible electronically from the Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Public Electronic Reading Room on the Internet at the NRC Web site, <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. Persons who do not have access to ADAMS or who encounter problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS should contact the NRC PDR Reference staff by telephone at 1-800-397-4209 or 301-415-4737, or by e-mail to pdr@nrc.gov.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 12th day of July 2005.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Richard B. Ennis,

Senior Project Manager, Section 2, Project Directorate I, Division of Licensing Project Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. E5-3833 Filed 7-18-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 70-3103]

Public Meeting To Discuss the Safety Evaluation Report and Final Environmental Impact Statement for the Proposed National Enrichment Facility in Lea County, NM

AGENCY: United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice of public meeting in Eunice, New Mexico.

SUMMARY: The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) will be holding a public meeting in the Eunice Community Center, Eunice, New Mexico, to discuss the Safety Evaluation

Report (SER), NUREG-1827, and Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS), NUREG-1790, for Louisiana Energy Services' (LES') proposed National Enrichment Facility (NEF) in Lea County, New Mexico. The SER and FEIS document the NRC staff's findings during the safety and environmental review for the proposed NEF. Both documents are available on the NRC Web site at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/nuregs/staff/>.

Purpose: This meeting will provide an opportunity to hear a summary of, and to ask questions about, the staff's review of LES' application presented in the SER and FEIS.

Time/Date: The public meeting will be held on August 2, 2005, from 7 p.m. to 9 p.m.

Place: Eunice Community Center, 1115 Avenue I, Eunice, New Mexico.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Timothy C. Johnson, Mail Stop: T-8F42, Special Projects Branch, Division of Fuel Cycle Safety and Safeguards, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001. Telephone: (301) 415-7299, and E-mail: tcj@nrc.gov.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 12 day of July, 2005.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

James W. Clifford,

Acting Chief, Special Projects Branch, Division of Fuel Cycle Safety and Safeguards, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.

[FR Doc. E5-3834 Filed 7-18-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Sunshine Act; Meetings

DATE: Weeks of July 18, 25, August 1, 8, 15, 22, 2005.

PLACE: Commissioners' Conference Room, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland.

STATUS: Public and Closed.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

Week of July 18, 2005

11 a.m.—Affirmation Session (Public Meeting) (Tentative).

a. Private Fuel Storage (Independent Spend Fuel Storage Installation) Docket No. 72-22-ISFSI; unpublished Board order (April 25, 2005) (Tentative).

b. Dominion Nuclear Connecticut, Inc. (Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Units 2 and 3), Docket Nos. 50-336-LR & 50-423-LR