purposes, unless restriction is necessary to conserve healthy fish and wildlife populations. A Section 810 analysis was completed as part of the FEIS process. The final Section 810 analysis determination appeared in the April 6, 1992, ROD which concluded that the Federal Subsistence Management Program, under Alternative IV with an annual process for setting hunting and fishing regulations, may have some local impacts on subsistence uses, but the program is not likely to significantly restrict subsistence uses.

Paperwork Reduction Act

These emergency closures and adjustments do not contain information collection requirements subject to Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

Other Requirements

These emergency closures and adjustments are not subject to OMB review under Executive Order 12866.

The Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.) requires preparation of flexibility analyses for rules that will have a significant effect on a substantial number of small entities, which include small businesses, organizations, or governmental jurisdictions. The Departments determined that these emergency closures and adjustments will not have a significant economic effect on a substantial number of small entities within the meaning of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

These emergency closures and adjustments will impose no significant costs on small entities.

Title VIII of ANILCA requires the Secretaries to administer a subsistence preference on public lands. The scope of this program is limited by definition to certain public lands. Likewise, these emergency closures and adjustments have no potential takings of private property implications as defined by Executive Order 12630.

The Service has determined and certifies pursuant to the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act, 2 U.S.C. 1502 et seq., that these emergency closures and adjustments will not impose a cost of \$100 million or more in any given year on local or State governments or private entities. The implementation is by Federal agencies, and no cost is involved to any State or local entities or Tribal governments.

The Service has determined that these emergency closures and adjustments meet the applicable standards provided in Sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12988.

In accordance with Executive Order 13132, these emergency closures and adjustments do not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment. Title VIII of ANILCA precludes the State from exercising management authority over wildlife resources on Federal

In accordance with the President's memorandum of April 29, 1994, "Government-to-Government Relations with Native American Tribal Governments" (59 FR 22951) and 512 DM 2, we have evaluated possible effects on Federally recognized Indian tribes and have determined that there are no effects. The Bureau of Indian Affairs is a participating agency in this rulemaking.

Drafting Information

William Knauer drafted this document under the guidance of Thomas H. Boyd, of the Office of Subsistence Management, Alaska Regional Office, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Anchorage, Alaska; Curt Wilson, Alaska State Office, Bureau of Land Management; Greg Bos, Alaska Regional Office, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service; Sandy Rabinowitch, Alaska Regional Office, National Park Service; Ida Hildebrand, Alaska Regional Office, Bureau of Indian Affairs; and Ken Thompson, USDA-Forest Service, provided additional guidance.

Authority: 16 U.S.C. 3, 472, 551, 668dd, 3101-3126; 18 U.S.C. 3551-3586; 43 U.S.C.

Dated: September 6, 2000.

Thomas H. Boyd,

Acting Chair, Federal Subsistence Board.

Kenneth E. Thompson,

Subsistence Program Leader, USDA-Forest Service.

[FR Doc. 00-23487 Filed 9-12-00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410-11-P; 4310-55-P

DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS

38 CFR Part 21

RIN 2900-AJ89

Increase in Rates Payable Under the Montgomery GI Bill—Active Duty

AGENCY: Department of Veterans Affairs. **ACTION:** Final rule; technical correction.

SUMMARY: By statute, the monthly rates of basic educational assistance payable to veterans under the Montgomery GI Bill—Active Duty must be adjusted each fiscal year. In a document published in the Federal Register on July 20, 2000

(65 FR 44979), we intended to amend the regulations governing rates of basic educational assistance payable under the Montgomery GI Bill—Active Duty for fiscal year 2000 (October 1, 1999, through September 30, 2000) to show a 1.6% increase in these rates in accordance with the statutory formula. Some of the published rates were incorrect. Accordingly, this document makes corrections to these rates.

DATES: Effective Date: This final rule is effective September 13, 2000.

Applicability Date: However, the changes in rates are applied retroactively to conform to statutory requirements.

For more information concerning the dates of applicability, see the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

William G. Susling, Jr., Assistant Director for Policy and Program Development, Education Service (225C), Veterans Benefits Administration, Department of Veterans Affairs, (202) 273-7187.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The changes set forth in this final rule are effective from the date of publication, but the changes in rates are applied retroactively from October 1, 1999, in accordance with the applicable statutory provisions.

Changes made by this final rule merely reflect statutory requirements and adjustments made based on previously established formulas. Accordingly, there is a basis for dispensing with prior notice and comment and delayed effective date provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552 and 553.

Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Secretary of Veterans Affairs hereby certifies that this final rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities as they are defined in the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601-612. This final rule directly affects only individuals and does not directly affect small entities. Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 605(b), this final rule, therefore, is exempt from the initial and final regulatory flexibility analyses requirements of sections 603 and 604.

Unfunded Mandates

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act requires (in section 202) that agencies prepare an assessment of anticipated costs and benefits before developing any rule that may result in an expenditure by State, local, or tribal governments, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100 million or more in any given year. This rule would have no consequential

effect on State, local, or tribal governments.

Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Numbers

The Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance number for the program affected by this final rule is 64.124.

List of Subjects in 38 CFR Part 21

Administrative practice and procedure, Armed forces, Civil rights, Claims, Colleges and universities, Conflict of interests, Defense Department, Education, Employment, Grant programs-education, Grant programs-veterans, Health programs, Loan programs-education, Loan programs-veterans, Manpower training programs, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Schools, Travel and transportation expenses, Veterans, Vocational education, Vocational rehabilitation.

Approved: September 5, 2000. **Thomas O. Gessel**,

Director, Office of Regulations Management.

For the reasons set out in the preamble, 38 CFR part 21, subpart K, is amended as follows:

PART 21—VOCATIONAL REHABILITATION AND EDUCATION

Subpart K—All Volunteer Force Educational Assistance Program (Montgomery GI Bill—Active Duty)

1. The authority citation for part 21, subpart K continues to read as follows:

Authority: 38 U.S.C. 501(a), chs. 30, 36, unless otherwise noted.

§21.7136 [Amended]

2. Section 21.7136 is amended by:

A. In the chart in paragraph (b)(2), removing "\$187.50" and adding, in its place, "187.60".

B. In the chart in paragraph (c)(1),

B. In the chart in paragraph (c)(1), removing "\$216.00" both places it appears and adding, in both place, "\$218.00"; and by removing "\$108.00" and adding, in its place, "109.00".

§21.7137 [Amended]

3. Section 21.7137 paragraph (a)(1), is amended in the chart by:

A. Removing "\$543.00" and adding, in its place, "\$543.50".

B. Removing "\$593.00" and adding, in its place, "\$593.50".

C. Removing "\$395.00" and adding, in its place, "\$395.50".

[FR Doc. 00–23338 Filed 9–12–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 8320–01–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[CA 217-0258; FRL-6865-9]

Revisions to the California State Implementation Plan, San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District

AGENCY: Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is finalizing a limited approval and limited disapproval of a revision to the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District's portion of the California State Implementation Plan (SIP). This action was proposed in the Federal Register on April 17, 2000 and concerns volatile organic

compound (VOC) emissions from adhesives. Under authority of the Clean Air Act as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act), this action simultaneously approves a local rule that regulates this emission source and directs California to correct rule deficiencies.

EFFECTIVE DATE: This rule is effective on October 13, 2000.

ADDRESSES: You can inspect copies of the administrative record for this action at EPA's Region IX office during normal business hours. You can inspect copies of the submitted SIP revision at the following locations:

Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901.

Environmental Protection Agency, Air Docket (6102), Ariel Rios Building, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington DC 20460.

California Air Resources Board, Stationary Source Division, Rule Evaluation Section, 2020 "L" Street, Sacramento, CA 95812.

San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District, 1990 E. Gettysburg, Fresno, CA 93726.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Yvonne Fong, Rulemaking Office (A)

Yvonne Fong, Rulemaking Office (AIR–4), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, (415) 744–1199.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document, "we," "us" and "our" refer to EPA.

I. Proposed Action

On April 17, 2000 (65 FR 20421), EPA proposed a limited approval and limited disapproval of the following rule that was submitted for incorporation into the California SIP.

Local agency	Rule No.	Rule title	Adopted	Submitted
SJVUAPCD	4653	Adhesives	03/19/98	09/29/98

We proposed a limited approval because we determined that this rule improves the SIP and is largely consistent with the relevant CAA requirements. We simultaneously proposed a limited disapproval because some rule provisions conflict with section 110 and part D of the Act. These provisions include the following:

1. Rule 4653 establishes VOC limits for adhesives used for three specific applications and for solvents used in surface preparation which do not meet Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) levels of control. The three VOC limits that exceed RACT are for the application of adhesives on porous substrates and the application of contact adhesives labeled exclusively for bonding of single-ply roofing materials and immersible products.

- 2. Under section 4.1.1, certain exempt operations which may potentially use noncompliant materials are only required to maintain monthly records. Any use of noncompliant materials, however, necessitates that daily records be kept to demonstrate compliance with the rule.
- 3. Section 4.1.9 exempts contact adhesives subject to 16 CFR part 1302 although compliant formulations of these products that perform adequately already exist in the market place. Our proposed action contains more

information on the basis for this rulemaking and on our evaluation of the submittal.

II. Public Comments and EPA Responses

EPA's proposed action provided a 30day public comment period. During this period, we received comments from the following parties.

- 1. Matt Stewart, DAP Inc.; letter May 16, 2000 and received by facsimile on May 17, 2000.
- 2. H. Allen Irish, National Paint and Coatings Association (NPCA); letter dated May 16, 2000 and received by facsimile on May 17, 2000.