The notice of this meeting was previously published in the **Federal Register** on Friday, September 10, 2010 [75 FR 55365]. All other items remain the same as previously published.

Further information regarding this meeting can be obtained by contacting Maitri Banerjee, Designated Federal Official (Telephone: 301–415–6973, Email: *Maitri.Banerjee@nrc.gov* between 7:30 a.m. and 5:15 p.m. (ET)).

Dated: September 17, 2010.

Antonio Dias,

Chief, Reactor Safety Branch B, Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards. [FR Doc. 2010–23935 Filed 9–23–10; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards (ACRS); Meeting of the ACRS Subcommittee on Materials, Metallurgy & Reactor Fuels

The ACRS Subcommittee on Materials, Metallurgy & Reactor Fuels will hold a meeting on October 21, 2010, at 11545 Rockville Pike, Room T– 2B1, Rockville, Maryland.

The entire meeting will be open to public attendance.

The agenda for the subject meeting shall be as follows:

Thursday, October 21, 2010, 8:30 a.m. until 12 p.m.

The Subcommittee will review the proposed Revision 1 to (1) Regulatory Guide 1.34 which was issued as DG-1223, (2) Regulatory Guide 1.43 which was issued as DG-1221, (3) Regulatory Guide 1.44 which was issued as DG-1224, and (4) Regulatory Guide 1.50 which was issued as DG-1222. All four draft guidances (DGs) were issued for public comment on July 6, 2009. The Subcommittee will hear presentations by and hold discussions with representatives of the NRC staff and other interested persons regarding this matter. The Subcommittee will gather information, analyze relevant issues and facts, and formulate proposed positions and actions, as appropriate, for deliberation by the Full Committee.

Members of the public desiring to provide oral statements and/or written comments should notify the Designated Federal Official (DFO), Michael Benson (Telephone 301–415–6396 or E-mail *Michael.Benson@nrc.gov*) five days prior to the meeting, if possible, so that appropriate arrangements can be made. Thirty-five hard copies of each presentation or handout should be provided to the DFO thirty minutes

before the meeting. In addition, one electronic copy of each presentation should be e-mailed to the DFO one day before the meeting. If an electronic copy cannot be provided within this timeframe, presenters should provide the DFO with a CD containing each presentation at least thirty minutes before the meeting. Electronic recordings will be permitted only during those portions of the meeting that are open to the public. Detailed procedures for the conduct of and participation in ACRS meetings were published in the Federal Register on October 14, 2009, (74 FR 58268-58269).

Detailed meeting agendas and meeting transcripts are available on the NRC Web site at http://www.nrc.gov/readingrm/doc-collections/acrs. Information regarding topics to be discussed, changes to the agenda, whether the meeting has been canceled or rescheduled, and the time allotted to present oral statements can be obtained from the Web site cited above or by contacting the identified DFO. Moreover, in view of the possibility that the schedule for ACRS meetings may be adjusted by the Chairman as necessary to facilitate the conduct of the meeting, persons planning to attend should check with these references if such rescheduling would result in a major inconvenience.

Dated: September 17, 2010.

Antonio Dias,

Chief, Reactor Safety Branch B, Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards. [FR Doc. 2010–23945 Filed 9–23–10; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[NRC-2010-0002]

Sunshine Act; Notice of Meeting

DATE: Week of September 27, 2010. **PLACE:** Commissioners' Conference Room, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland.

STATUS: Public and Closed. ADDITIONAL ITEMS TO BE CONSIDERED:

Week of September 27, 2010

Wednesday, September 29, 2010

12:55 p.m.—Affirmation Session (Public Meeting) (Tentative)

a. South Texas Project Nuclear Operating Co. (South Texas Project Units 3 and 4), NRC Staff Notice of Appeal, Brief on Appeal, and Request for Stay of LBP–10–02, Order (Rulings on the Admissibility of New Contentions and on Intervenors' Challenge to Staff Denial of Documentary Access) (Feb. 9, 2010) (Tentative)

b. Luminant Generation Company LLC (Comanche Peak Nuclear Power Plant, Units 3 and 4), NRC Staff Notice of Appeal, Brief on Appeal, and Request for Stay of Sections IV and V.B of LBP– 10–5, Order (Ruling on Intervenors' Access to ISG–016) (Mar. 22, 2010) (Tentative)

This meeting will be webcast live at the Web address—*http://www.nrc.gov.*

* The schedule for Commission meetings is subject to change on short notice. To verify the status of meetings, call (recording)—(301) 415–1292. Contact person for more information: Rochelle Bavol, (301) 415–1651.

The NRC Commission Meeting Schedule can be found on the Internet at: http://www.nrc.gov/about-nrc/policymaking/schedule.html.

The NRC provides reasonable accommodation to individuals with disabilities where appropriate. If you need a reasonable accommodation to participate in these public meetings, or need this meeting notice or the transcript or other information from the public meetings in another format (e.g., braille, large print), please notify Angela Bolduc, Chief, Employee/Labor Relations and Work Life Branch, at 301-492–2230, TDD: 301–415–2100, or by email at angela.bolduc@nrc.gov. mailto:dlc@nrc.gov.mailto:aks@nrc.gov Determinations on requests for reasonable accommodation will be made on a case-by-case basis.

This notice is distributed electronically to subscribers. If you no longer wish to receive it, or would like to be added to the distribution, please contact the Office of the Secretary, Washington, DC 20555 (301–415–1969), or send an e-mail to *darlene.wright@nrc.gov.*

*

Dated: September 21, 2010.

Rochelle C. Bavol,

*

*

Policy Coordinator, Office of the Secretary. [FR Doc. 2010–24129 Filed 9–22–10; 4:15 pm] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. RM2010-13; Order No. 537]

Postal Rates

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice establishes a docket to solicit comments on the analyses, arguments, and proposals concerning technical issues related to workshare discount design. The proceeding will allow certain issues raised in an earlier proceeding to be fully addressed.

DATES: Comments are due: November 15, 2010.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at *http:// www.prc.gov.* Commenters who cannot submit their views electronically should contact the person identified in FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT by telephone for advice on alternatives to electronic filing.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Stephen L. Sharfman, General Counsel, *stephen.sharfman@prc.gov* or 202–789–6820.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Order No. 536 resolves several important threshold legal and policy issues underlying the design of workshare discounts. The Commission concluded that the pricing constraint on workshare discounts established in 39 U.S.C. 3622(e) may apply within or across products, as that term is defined and employed in the Postal Accountability and Enhancement Act.¹ It concludes that subsection 3622(e) implements a substantial portion of the policies that underlay the Efficient Component Pricing rule as that principle has been articulated in prior regulatory practice. It also concludes that identifying the groups of mail between which worksharing relationships should be recognized for purposes of subsection 3622(e) requires identification of mail that serves the same market and is distinguished from other mail serving that market predominantly by the costs that worksharing activity avoids. The selection of an appropriate base or reference group from which the costs avoided by worksharing are to be calculated depends on what components of the base group are likely to shift to the workshared group in response to changes in their relative prices.

Applying these principles to mail classes, Order No. 536 concludes that there is a worksharing relationship between presort First-Class Mail and single-piece First-Class Mail that is metered or bears Information Based Indicia (IBI). In this docket, the Commission solicits comments on the appropriate base group for measuring First-Class Mail workshare discounts. Commenters should feel free to discuss the merits of the current Bulk Metered Mail (BMM) base category as well as a number of already suggested alternatives, including IBI mail, a weighted average of BMM and IBI mail, "Qualified PC Postage" mail, or some other group of single-piece First-Class Mail. It also solicits comments on the specific cost characteristics that the base category selected should have.

Order No. 536 also concludes that Saturation Mail is not in a worksharing relationship with other groups of Standard Mail. Accordingly, there is no need to further examine the issue of identifying an appropriate reference category for pricing Saturation Mail in a follow-on proceeding.

Order No. 536 contemplates that this follow-on proceeding will also consider technical proposals to revise or refine the manner in which avoided costs are modeled. The Postal Service's comments in Docket No. RM2009-3, for example, mention its intention to propose changes to the way some cost pools are classified for purposes of cost avoidance analysis (whether they should be treated as proportional, fixed, or non-worksharing related). The comments of the American Postal Workers Union, AFL-CIO in that docket express a more general desire to reevaluate and modify the current method of classifying avoided cost pools.

In Docket No. RM2009–3, various parties expressed an intent to propose changes to the way delivery and other costs are estimated in calculating the costs avoided by presort First-Class Mail. Comments suggested de-averaging rates for First-Class Mail by indicia, the use of two Cost and Revenue Analysis adjustment factors to develop workshare discounts, and a form of pre-barcoding discount that would recognize the savings generated by single-piece First-Class Mail that is CASS-certified and bears an Intelligent Mail barcode. All of these issues are eligible for consideration in this docket.

It is ordered:

1. The Commission establishes Docket No.RM2010–13 to consider analyses, arguments, and proposals concerning technical issues that relate to the design of workshare discounts, as described in the body of this order.

2. Comments are due on or before November 15, 2010.

3. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, the Commission appoints Emmett Rand Costich to represent the interests of the general public in this proceeding.

4. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**.

By the Commission. Shoshana M. Grove, Secretary. [FR Doc. 2010–23927 Filed 9–23–10; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7710–FW–S

RAILROAD RETIREMENT BOARD

Proposed Data Collection(s) Available for Public Comment and Recommendations

SUMMARY: In accordance with the requirement of Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 which provides opportunity for public comment on new or revised data collections, the Railroad Retirement Board (RRB) will publish periodic summaries of proposed data collections.

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed information collections are necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information has practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the RRB's estimate of the burden for the collection of the information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden related to the collection of information on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

1. Title and purpose of information collection:

Placement Service; OMB 3220-0057: Section 12(i) of the Railroad Unemployment Insurance Act (RUIA), authorizes the Railroad Retirement Board (RRB) to establish, maintain, and operate free employment offices to provide claimants for unemployment benefits with job placement opportunities. Section 704(d) of the Regional Railroad Reorganization Act of 1973, as amended, and as extended by the consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1985, required the RRB to maintain and distribute a list of railroad job vacancies, by class and craft, based on information furnished by rail carriers to the RRB. Although the requirement under the law expired effective August 13, 1987, the RRB has continued to obtain this information in keeping with its employment service responsibilities under Section 12(k) of the RUIA. Application procedures for the job placement program are prescribed in 20 CFR 325. The procedures pertaining to the RRB's obtaining and distributing job vacancy reports furnished by rail carriers are described in 20 CFR 346.1.

The RRB currently utilizes four forms to obtain information needed to carry

¹Pub. L. 109-435, 120 Stat. 3198 (2006) (PAEA).