Committee's knowledge concerning other energy-related statistical matters.

# Tentative Agenda

Thursday, November 2, 2000

- A. Opening Remarks by the Chair, Room 8E–089
- **B.** Major Topics
  - 1. Opening Remarks by EIA's Acting Administrator, Room 8E–089
  - 2. A Briefing: EIA's New Approach to Long-Term International Energy Forecasting, 8E–089
  - 3. Some Challenges in Long-Term International Energy Modeling, Room GH–019
  - 4. Implementing a New Sampling Technique for Monthly Electric Power Data Collections, GH–027
  - 5. Survey Design for Residential and Commercial Consumption Surveys, GH–035
  - 6. Summary of the Previous Three Break-Out Sessions by EIA Presenters, 8E–089
  - 7. New Ways to Process, Store and Make EIA Data Accessible, 8E–089
  - 8. Estimating Procedures to Reduce Data Reporting Lags, 8E–089
  - 9. Interactive Session: Cognitive Testing of Potential EIA Graphic Standards Redesign, 8E–089

Friday, November 3, 2000

- C. Major Topics
  - 1. Monte Carlo Analysis of Uncertainty in CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions, Room 8E–089
  - 2. Optimization and Visualization of the North American Eastern Interconnect Power Market, Room 8E–089
  - 3. The Feasibility Study for Surveying Industrial Natural Gas Consumers, Room 8E–089
  - 4. A Briefing: Winter Energy Assessment for the U.S., 8E–089
- 5. Public Comment, 8E–089
- D. Closing Remarks by the Chair, 8E– 089

Public Participation: The meeting is open to the public. The Chair of the Committee is empowered to conduct the meeting in a fashion that will facilitate the orderly conduct of business. Written statements may be filed with the committee either before or after the meeting. If there are any questions, please contact Mr. William I. Weinig, EIA Committee Liaison, at the address or telephone number listed above.

*Minutes:* Available for public review and copying at the Public Reading Room, (Room 1E–190), 1000 Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, DC 20585, (202) 586–3142, between the hours of 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday. Issued at Washington, DC, on October 11, 2000. Rachel M. Samuel.

Deputy Advisory Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 00–26457 Filed 10–13–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6450–01–P

### DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

# Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. EL00-109-001]

# Alternate Power Source, Inc., Complainant v. ISO New England, Inc., Respondent; Notice of Amendment to Complaint

October 10, 2000.

Take notice that on October 4, 2000, Alternate Power Source, Inc. (APS), tendered for filing an Amended Complaint under Section 206 and 306 of the Federal Power Act in which APS petitions the Commission for an order directing ISO New England, Inc. (ISO-NE) to suspend the April, 2000 ICAP auction "clearing price"; cease and desist from requiring APS to pay into escrow \$700,000 for the month of April, 2000; refund all late fees and interest charges regarding the disputed April ICAP deficiency charge; cease and desist from "settling" the ICAP prices for the months of May, June and July, 2000, and from requiring any payments into escrow until a thorough investigation of all conduct and actions is completed; and if, after an investigation, there is a finding of anomalous conduct in the socalled ICAP auction "market" for the months April through July, 2000, direct ISO-NE to mitigate ICAP prices for those months.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest this filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). All such motions or protests must be filed on or before October 16, 2000. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room. This filing may also be viewed on the Internet at http:/ /www.ferc.fed.us/online/rims.htm (call 202-208-2222) for assistance. Answers

to the complaint shall also be due on or before October 16, 2000.

### David P. Boergers,

Secretary. [FR Doc. 00–26443 Filed 10–13–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

## Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP00-40-002]

## Florida Gas Transmission Company; Notice of Application

October 10, 2000.

Take notice that on September 29, 2000, Florida Gas Transmission Company (FGT), 1400 Smith Street, P.O. Box 1188, Houston, Texas 77251-1188, filed in Docket No. CP00-40-002 an amendment to its application in Docket Nos. CP00-40-000 and 001, pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA) and Part 157 of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (Commission) Regulations, to: (1) Reroute approximately six miles of the Bayside Lateral, in Hillsborough County, FL; (2) eliminate approximately 24 miles of the previously proposed mainline Loops D and E in Suwannee, Columbia, Bradford, Clay, and Putnam Counties, FL, and, instead, increase the horsepower installed at Compressor Station No. 17, in Marion County, FL; (3) make minor modifications to the beginning of West Leg Loop J, in Gilchrist County, FL, and the ending of West Leg Loop K, in Levy County, FL; and (4) slightly decrease the horsepower to be installed at Compressor Stations 15A, 16, 26, and 27, in Taylor, Bradford, Citrus, and Hillsborough Counties, FL, respectively, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection. This filing may be viewed on the web at http://www.ferc.fed.us/ online/rims.htm (call 202-208-2222 for assistance).

FGT continues to request that the Commission find that the costs of the proposed Phase V Expansion can be rolled-in to establish rates for service under its incrementally priced Rate Schedule FTS–2. FGT states that the maximum rates applicable to Rate Schedule FTS–2 are expected to be lower as a result of such rolling-in of costs and thus, will not require subsidies from existing shippers.

FGT states that the proposed revisions to facilities will result in no changes to the proposed service, but are made in order to reduce the environmental