

Booth type	New fees as of July 1, 2001	New fees as of July 1, 2002	Former fees
Type 4 ("machine")	6,000	7,000	\$5,000–\$5,400.

The revised fee schedule is designed to provide partial recovery by the Exchange of costs associated with constructing and maintaining booth rental space.

(4) Membership Registration and IDC Fee Schedule:

The Exchange is amending its fee schedule, effective May 1, 2001, applicable to membership registration to bring such charges in line with those of other exchanges. Renewal fees will be raised from \$30 to \$47, initial fees will be raised from \$55 to \$60, and termination fees will be raised from \$25 to \$30.

2. Statutory Basis

The Amex believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the provisions of section 6(b) of the Act⁷ in general, and furthers the objectives of section 6(b)(4) of the Act⁸ in particular, in that it is designed to provide for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees and other charges among members, issuers, and other persons using Exchange facilities.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Amex does not believe that the proposed rule change will result in any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were solicited or received with respect to the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The proposed rule change has become effective pursuant to section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act⁹ and subparagraph (f)(2) of Rule 19b–4 thereunder¹⁰ because it establishes or changes a due, fee, or other charge imposed by the Exchange. At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission may summarily abrogate such rule

change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposal is consistent with the Act. Persons making written submissions should file six copies thereof with the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549–0609. Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Room. Copies of such filing will also be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Amex. All submissions should refer to file number SR–Amex–2001–22 and should be submitted by June 6, 2001.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.¹¹

Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01–12281 Filed 5–15–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010–01–M

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–44289; File No. SR–CHX–2001–09]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change by the Chicago Stock Exchange, Inc., To Allow Floor Brokers To Clear a Specialist's Post By Telephone

May 10, 2001.

Pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

("Act"),¹ and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on April 23, 2001, the Chicago Stock Exchange, Inc. ("CHX" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities Exchange Act Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

1. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend CHX Article XX, Rule 10 to permit floor brokers to clear a specialist's post telephonically. The text of the proposed rule change is below. Proposed additions are in italics. Proposed deletions are in brackets.

ARTICLE XX, RULE 10

Manner of Bidding and Offering

Rule 10. Bids and offers to be effective must be audibly made at the post and shall remain in full force until the person making the bid or offer shall audibly announce that he is out of the market or until he leaves the post.

* * * Interpretations and Policies: .01 Although there may be certain amount of negotiation by voice away from the post, every trade must be consummated at the post. .02 Clearing the Post.

Policy. All orders received by floor brokers or originated by market makers on the floor of the Exchange must effectively clear the post before the orders may be routed to another market[, either] via the ITS [System] or through the use of alternative means.

Floor brokers who receive an order on the floor have a fiduciary responsibility to seek a best price execution for such order. This responsibility includes clearing of the Exchange's post prior to routing an order to another market so that other buying and selling interest at the post can be checked for a potential execution *equal to* [that may be as good as] or better than the execution available in another market. *It is not inconsistent with a floor broker's fiduciary responsibility to effectively clear the post telephonically, provided that: (i) through the specialist, the floor broker probes the market for other buying and selling interest at the post, and (ii) after probing the market, if equal or better buying or selling interest is available at the post, the floor broker, while physically present at the post, consummates the trade at the post.*

⁷ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

⁸ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4).

⁹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(ii).

¹⁰ 17 CFR 240.19b–4(f)(2).

¹¹ 17 CFR 200.30–3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 U.S.C. 240.19b–4.

Market makers are required to provide depth and liquidity to the Exchange market, among other things. Exchange Rules require that all market maker transactions constitute a course of dealings reasonably calculated to contribute to the maintenance of a fair and orderly market. In so doing, market makers must adhere to traditional agency auction market principles on the floor. Transactions by Exchange market makers on other exchanges, which fail to clear the Exchange post, do not constitute such a course of dealings.

Notwithstanding the above, it is understood that on occasion a customer will insist on special handling of a particular order that would preclude it from clearing the post on the Exchange floor. For example, a customer might request that a specific order be given primary market execution. These situations must be documented and reported to the Exchange. Customer directives for special handling of all orders in a particular stock or all stocks, however, will not be considered as exceptions to the clearing the post policy.

All executions resulting from bids and offers reflected on Instinet terminals resident on the Exchange floor constitute "orders" which are "communicated" to the Exchange floor. Therefore, all orders resulting from interest reflected on Instinet terminals on the Exchange floor must be handled as any other order communicated to the floor. All such orders must be presented to the post during normal trading hours. All trades between Instinet and Exchange floor members are Exchange trades and must be executed on the Exchange.

Method of Clearing the Post. Subject to Article XX, Rule 11 relating to the clearing of securities, the Exchange's general clearing the post policy requires [the] floor brokers and [or] market makers to be physically present at the post, *but permits floor brokers, as a means of clearing the post, to telephonically probe a market through the specialist in order to more efficiently fulfill their fiduciary responsibility to seek a best price execution for their customer orders.* A market maker, after requesting the specialist's market quote, must bid or offer the price and size of his intended interest at the post. A floor broker must clear the post by requesting a market quote from the specialist. If the specialist or any other member who has the post indicates an interest to trade at the price that was bid or offered by the market maker or the price of the floor broker's order (even through that order has not yet been bid or offered), then the trade may be consummated with the specialist (or whomever has the post) in accordance with existing Exchange priority, parity and precedence rules. If the specialist (or any other member who has the post) indicates interest to trade at that price but the member communicating the intended interest, including Instinet interest, determines not to consummate the trade with the specialist or such member, then, to preserve the Exchange's existing priority, parity and precedence rules, the trade may not be done with any other Exchange floor member. (See Article XXX, Rule 2). If the trade is consummated with the specialist or other member who has the post, the

specialist (or any customer represented by the specialist) is not required to pay any fees to the broker or market maker in connection with the execution of the order, unless such fee is expressly authorized by an Exchange Rule. If the specialist does not indicate an interest to trade, then the trade may be consummated with another Exchange floor member on the Exchange floor with a resultant Exchange print. Failure to clear the post result in a "trade-through" or "trading ahead" of other floor interest. In addition, failure to properly clear the post may result in a violation of the Exchange's Just and Equitable Trade Principles Rule (Article VIII, Rule 7) and a market maker rule that requires all market maker transactions to constitute a course of dealing reasonably calculated to contribute to the maintenance of a fair and orderly market (Article XXXIV, Rule 1). Failure to properly clear the post may also subject *members* [the violator] to a *fine* [minor rule violation] under the Exchange's Minor Rule Violation Plan.

* * * * *

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change, and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend CHX Article XX, Rule 10 to allow floor brokers to clear a specialist's post by phone. Under the Exchange's current rules, physical presence at a specialist's post is the only satisfactory method to clear the post before executing an order on the floor with another member or transmitting an order to another market center for execution via the Intermarket Trading System or through other means. The Exchange believes that permitting floor brokers, while on the CHX floor, to telephonically clear a specialist's post—for the purpose of determining the presence of other buying and selling interest on the CHX—would expand the manner of probing the CHX market in a faster, more efficient way while continuing to satisfy the rule's purpose. This change also will permit floor brokers to more efficiently fulfill their fiduciary obligations to seek the best

available price in the national market for their customers' orders.

The proposed rule does not place any absolute responsibility on specialists to permit floor brokers to clear a post by phone, but allows specialists to require floor brokers to come to the post if there is an active crowd or if the specialist is too busy to probe the market. Under the proposed rule, all trades must nevertheless continue to be consummated at the post.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder that are applicable to a national securities exchange, and with the requirements of section 6(b).³ In particular, the Exchange believes the proposed rule is consistent with section 6(b)(5) of the Act⁴ in that it is designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any inappropriate burden on competition.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants or Others

No written comments were either solicited or received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Within 35 days of the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register** or within such longer period (i) as the Commission may designate up to 90 days of such date if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the CHX consents, the Commission will:

A. by order approve such proposed rule change, or

B. institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and

³ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

⁴ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Persons making written submissions should file six copies thereof with the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549-0609. Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Room. Copies of such filing will also be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the CHX. All submissions should refer to File No. SR-CHX-2001-09 and should be submitted by June 6, 2001.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.⁵

Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01-12280 Filed 5-15-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-44282; File No. SR-GSCC-2001-01]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Government Securities Clearing Corporation; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change Relating to the Redesign of Comparison Rules

May 8, 2001.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"), notice is hereby given that on January 16, 2001, the Government Securities Clearing Corporation ("GSCC") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") and on April 11, 2001,¹ amended the proposed rule change as described in

Items I, II, and III below, which items have been prepared primarily by GSCC. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested parties.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The proposed rule change would allow GSCC to redesign its comparison rules in order to implement real-time interactives services.²

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, GSCC included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. GSCC has prepared summaries, set forth in sections (A), (B), and (C) below, of the most significant aspects of these statements.

(A) Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In a white paper distributed to all members in early 1997, GSCC outlined its long-range plans to provide straight-through processing and a point-of-trade guarantee to its members primarily through the implementation of real-time interactive services. Last year, GSCC announced that it would implement its interactive services in three phases. Phase 1 will introduce interactive messaging to support real-time comparison; phase 2 will introduce interactive messaging to support netting; and phase 3 will introduce support of same-day settlement of repo start legs.

During the latter part of 2000, GSCC implemented the necessary technical changes to its automated system to implement the first phase of its interactive processing service (*i.e.*, making available the interactive messaging facility to support real-time comparison). Up until this point, GSCC's processing experience has been essentially batch. Members now have the ready ability, from a technological perspective, to submit trade input on an automated basis to GSCC intraday as trades are executed. While GSCC will continue to support its existing batch

input and output facilities initially, it plans to eventually stop supporting these older formats. Members will be encouraged to make the shift from batch to interactive processing as soon as it is feasible for them to do so. At some point in time, once a sufficient nucleus of members has begun processing interactively, GSCC will implement disincentives to discourage members from continuing to submit and receive data via the old batch formats.

Concurrent with this development, GSCC has been redesigning its matching and comparison procedures to better meet the needs of its members during their transition from a batch on an interactive environment. This redesign is the subject of this rule filing. GSCC's central goal in this redesign is to provide straight-through processing by allowing for the easy identification and resolution of trades intraday to achieve 100 percent comparison. GSCC believes that interactive messaging and enhanced real-time trade matching processing are critical steps in helping to reduce risk by ensuring that more transactions are compared earlier in the day and then eventually also netted and guaranteed through GSCC so that intraday credit exposure to counterparties is minimized.

In the current environment, most trades are compared within the GSCC system as a result of bilateral comparison with the exception being certain locked-in trades, such as members' Federal Reserve auction purchases. To facilitate real-time comparison while still providing members with the flexibility to transition from batch to interactive submission according to a timeframe suitable to their own needs and resources, GSCC is proposing to: (i) Amend its rules to provide for three types of trade comparison: (a) Bilateral comparison, (b), demand comparison, and (c) locked-in comparison and (ii) make certain other related rules changes as further discussed below.

Bilateral Comparison

Bilateral comparison, which is the traditional method of comparison, will continue to require that the two trade counterparties (or if one or both of the counterparties are not GSCC members, the members acting on their behalf) submit trades to GSCC in which certain mandatory details either match or fall within predefined parameters to effect a match. Bilateral comparison will remain the primary comparison type for dealer-to-dealer trades and will be available in both real-time and batch. Members may elect to submit interactively regardless

⁵ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹ Letter from Nikki M. Poulos, Vice President and Associate General Counsel, GSCC (April 10, 2001). Subsequent to filing GSCC-2001-01, GSCC filed a rule proposal (SR-GSCC-2001-02) that became immediately effective under Section 19(b)(3). The letter states that GSCC-2001-02 amends rules previously listed by GSCC-2001-01 in Exhibit A and that both rule filings are consistent with each other. The amendment does not amend any language in GSCC-2001-01 as originally filed with the Commission and as presented herein.

² A copy of the text of GSCC's proposed rule change and the attached exhibits are available at the Commission's Public Reference Section or through GSCC.