

above. Comments submitted to the Department by e-mail should be provided in WordPerfect, Microsoft Word, PDF, or text file format. Those responding should avoid the use of special characters or any form of encryption, and wherever possible, comments should include the electronic signature of the author. Comments submitted to the Department by mail or hand delivery/courier should include one signed original paper copy. No telefacsimiles will be accepted.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will become a matter of public record and will be made publicly available.

B. Issues on Which DOE Seeks Information

DOE is particularly interested in receiving information on the following issues:

(1) Differences between site-built and factory-built construction techniques that may justify creating unique energy efficiency requirements for manufactured housing that are different from the requirements in the 2009 IECC.

(2) Specific construction cost data on manufactured home measures affecting energy efficiency such as insulation levels including associated construction impacts, fenestration (windows, skylights and doors), duct design and insulation, and permanent lighting; specifically any information on an increase or decrease in first cost to the home purchaser of designing and constructing manufactured homes consistent with the current IECC.

(3) Other economic parameters such as lending scenarios, interest rates, loan duration, energy costs, and recommended values and approaches for addressing financial considerations and life cycle costs.

(4) Statistics associated with HVAC system and equipment type, window type, and insulation levels, provided in recently built new manufactured homes by state or region.

(5) Energy and/or operational cost savings estimates and/or metered data associated with different energy options for manufactured housing design and construction.

(6) The range of design specifications available for products, systems, equipment, and materials used in the construction of manufactured homes, and statistics on their frequency of use in manufactured homes.

(7) The manner in which applicable climatic differences should be addressed through a singular energy standard addressing manufactured homes.

(8) Areas in the current HUD code that are directly or indirectly related to energy or affect energy use of which DOE should be aware in establishing energy standards for manufactured housing (e.g., structural requirements that could affect the ability of a wall assembly to meet certain energy efficiency criteria or the relationship of wind loads and fenestration design).

(9) Relationship, if any, DOE energy standards for manufactured housing should have with other existing energy programs for manufactured housing (e.g., ENERGY STAR) and/or the analysis that DOE should conduct in assessing such programs.

(10) Relationship, if any, that DOE energy conservation standards for manufactured housing should have with HUD's manufactured home construction and safety standards.

(11) Whether DOE's system of enforcement should compliment and/or be compatible with HUD's existing system of enforcement of the HUD Code for manufactured homes.

(12) What characteristics should DOE's system of enforcement have.

(13) Suggested sources, studies, and research results of other information considered relevant to DOE's effort to establish energy standards for manufactured housing.

Docket: For direct access to the docket, go to the U.S. Department of Energy, 950 L'Enfant Plaza (Resource Room of the Building Technologies Program, Sixth Floor), Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. Please call Ms. Brenda Edwards at (202) 586-2945 for additional information regarding the Resource Room.

Procedural Requirements: Today's regulatory action has been determined to be a significant regulatory action under section 3(f)(1) of Executive Order 12866, "Regulatory Planning and Review", 58 FR 51735 (Oct. 4, 1993). Accordingly, section 6(a)(3) of the Executive Order requires a review of this advance notice of proposed rulemaking by the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (OIRA) in the Office of Management and Budget. OIRA has concluded its review.

DOE intends to develop a regulatory impact analysis (RIA), also identified by section 6(a)(3), as this rulemaking process proceeds. As part of the notice of proposed rulemaking the draft RIA would be presented to OIRA for review and would be included in the rulemaking record for public review.

Statutory Authority: Section 413 of the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 (EISA), 42 U.S.C. 17071.

Issued in Washington, DC, on January 22, 2010.

Cathy Zoi,

Assistant Secretary, Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy.

[FR Doc. 2010-3341 Filed 2-19-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA-2010-0127; Directorate Identifier 2009-NM-242-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; The Boeing Company Model 767 Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: We propose to adopt a new airworthiness directive (AD) for certain Model 767-200, -300, -300F, and -400ER series airplanes. This proposed AD would require a detailed inspection of the entryway door movable ceiling panel for pin migration at either end of the hinge assembly and damage to the pin; a detailed inspection for correct crimp at both ends and damage to hinge stock; a detailed inspection of the ceiling area for any visible cosmetic and/or tie-rod chafing that could be caused by a migrated hinge pin; and a detailed inspection for wire damage and/or breakage; and other specified and corrective actions if necessary. This proposed AD results from reports of fault messages caused by improperly crimped hinge pins coming into contact with wires and causing damage. We are proposing this AD to detect and correct improperly crimped hinge pins, which could damage tie rods and wire bundles, causing shorts in many systems, including the spar fuel shut off valve, oxygen mask deployment, and burned wires, which could be an ignition source in a hidden area of the airplane.

DATES: We must receive comments on this proposed AD by April 8, 2010.

ADDRESSES: You may send comments by any of the following methods:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* Go to <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.
- *Fax:* 202-493-2251.
- *Mail:* U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M-30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12-140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590.

• **Hand Delivery:** U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M-30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12-140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

For service information identified in this proposed AD, contact Boeing Commercial Airplanes, Attention: Data & Services Management, P.O. Box 3707, MC 2H-65, Seattle, Washington 98124-2207; telephone 206-544-5000, extension 1; fax 206-766-5680; e-mail me.boecom@boeing.com; Internet <https://www.myboeingfleet.com>. You may review copies of the referenced service information at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 425-227-1221 or 425-227-1152.

Examining the AD Docket

You may examine the AD docket on the Internet at <http://www.regulations.gov>; or in person at the Docket Management Facility between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The AD docket contains this proposed AD, the regulatory evaluation, any comments received, and other information. The street address for the Docket Office (telephone 800-647-5527) is in the **ADDRESSES** section. Comments will be available in the AD docket shortly after receipt.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Shannon Lennon, Cabin Safety and Environmental Systems Branch, ANM-150S, FAA, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98057-3356; telephone (425) 917-6436; fax (425) 917-6590.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

We invite you to send any written relevant data, views, or arguments about this proposed AD. Send your comments to an address listed under the **ADDRESSES** section. Include "Docket No. FAA-2010-0127; Directorate Identifier 2009-NM-242-AD" at the beginning of your comments. We specifically invite comments on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of this proposed AD. We will consider all comments received by the closing date and may amend this proposed AD because of those comments.

We will post all comments we receive, without change, to <http://www.regulations.gov>, including any

personal information you provide. We will also post a report summarizing each substantive verbal contact we receive about this proposed AD.

Discussion

We received a report that an operator experienced several fault messages and circuit breakers tripping during a flight. An investigation revealed that the hinge pin on the movable ceiling panel of the entryway door on the forward left side had come into contact with some wire bundles, causing damaged, broken, and burned wires, resulting in system short circuits and circuit breakers tripping. There were also reported instances of migrating hinge pins causing minor cosmetic damage and tie-rod chafing. Boeing conducted a quality assurance investigation and determined that the cause of the migrating hinge pin resulted from the vendor not properly crimping the hinge assembly stock in accordance with specifications. This condition, if not corrected, could damage tie rods and wire bundles, causing shorts in many systems, including the spar fuel shut off valve, oxygen mask deployment, and burned wires, which could be an ignition source in a hidden area of the airplane.

Relevant Service Information

We have reviewed Boeing Service Bulletin 767-25-0477, dated August 27, 2009. The service bulletin describes procedures for doing the following detailed inspections:

- A detailed inspection for pin migration at either end of the hinge assembly and damage to the pin.
- A detailed inspection for correct crimp at both ends and damage to hinge stock.
- A detailed inspection of the ceiling area for any visible cosmetic and/or tie-rod chafing that could be caused by a migrated hinge pin.
- A detailed inspection for wire damage and/or breakage.

The service bulletin also describes other specified actions including re-partmarking the moveable panel assemblies and the hinge if necessary, and corrective actions, including crimping the hinge assembly, repairing tie-rod chafing, repairing wire damage, and replacing the hinge assembly.

FAA's Determination and Requirements of This Proposed AD

We are proposing this AD because we evaluated all relevant information and determined the unsafe condition described previously is likely to exist or develop in other products of the same type design. This proposed AD would require accomplishing the actions

specified in the service information described previously.

Costs of Compliance

We estimate that this proposed AD would affect 273 airplanes of U.S. registry. We also estimate that it would take about 6 work-hours per product to comply with this proposed AD. The average labor rate is \$85 per work-hour. Required parts would cost about \$770 per product. Based on these figures, we estimate the cost of this proposed AD to the U.S. operators to be \$349,440, or \$1,280 per product.

Authority for This Rulemaking

Title 49 of the United States Code specifies the FAA's authority to issue rules on aviation safety. Subtitle I, section 106, describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. "Subtitle VII: Aviation Programs," describes in more detail the scope of the Agency's authority.

We are issuing this rulemaking under the authority described in "Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart III, Section 44701: General requirements." Under that section, Congress charges the FAA with promoting safe flight of civil aircraft in air commerce by prescribing regulations for practices, methods, and procedures the Administrator finds necessary for safety in air commerce. This regulation is within the scope of that authority because it addresses an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on products identified in this rulemaking action.

Regulatory Findings

We determined that this proposed AD would not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This proposed AD would not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify this proposed regulation:

1. Is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866,
2. Is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979), and
3. Will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

You can find our regulatory evaluation and the estimated costs of compliance in the AD Docket.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the FAA proposes to amend 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. The FAA amends § 39.13 by adding the following new AD:

The Boeing Company: Docket No. FAA–2010–0127; Directorate Identifier 2009–NM–242–AD.

Comments Due Date

(a) We must receive comments by April 8, 2010.

Affected ADs

(b) None.

Applicability

(c) This AD applies to The Boeing Company Model 767–200, –300, –300F, and –400ER series airplanes, certificated in any category; as identified in Boeing Service Bulletin 767–25–0477, dated August 27, 2009.

Subject

(d) Air Transport Association (ATA) of America Code 25: Equipment/Furnishings.

Unsafe Condition

(e) This AD results from reports of fault messages caused by improperly crimped hinge pin on the movable ceiling panel of entryway door on the forward left side coming into contact with wires and causing damage. The Federal Aviation Administration is issuing this AD to detect and correct improperly crimped hinge pins, which could damage tie rods and wire bundles, causing shorts in many systems, including the spar fuel shut off valve, oxygen mask deployment, and burned wires, which could be an ignition source in a hidden area of the airplane.

Compliance

(f) You are responsible for having the actions required by this AD performed within the compliance times specified, unless the actions have already been done.

Inspections and Corrective Actions

(g) Within 72 months after the effective date of this AD: Accomplish the inspections required by paragraphs (g)(1), (g)(2), (g)(3), and (g)(4) of this AD and do all applicable other specified and corrective actions, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Service Bulletin 767–

25–0477, dated August 27, 2009. Do all applicable other specified and corrective actions before further flight.

(1) A detailed inspection for pin migration at either end of the hinge assembly and to detect damage to the pin.

(2) A detailed inspection for correct crimp at both ends and to detect damage to hinge stock.

(3) A detailed inspection of the ceiling area for any visible cosmetic and tie-rod chafing that could be caused by a migrated hinge pin.

(4) A detailed inspection for wire damage and breakage.

Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs)

(h)(1) The Manager, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office, FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19. Send information to ATTN: Shannon Lennon, Aerospace Engineer, Cabin Safety and Environmental Systems Branch, ANM–150S, FAA, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98057–3356; telephone (425) 917–6436; fax (425) 917–6590. Or, e-mail information to 9-ANM-Seattle-ACO-AMOC-Requests@faa.gov.

(2) To request a different method of compliance or a different compliance time for this AD, follow the procedures in 14 CFR 39.19. Before using any approved AMOC on any airplane to which the AMOC applies, notify your principal maintenance inspector (PMI) or principal avionics inspector (PAI), as appropriate, or lacking a principal inspector, your local Flight Standards District Office. The AMOC approval letter must specifically reference this AD.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on February 11, 2010.

Ali Bahrami,

Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 2010–3371 Filed 2–19–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT**24 CFR Part 1000**

[Docket No. FR–5275–N–05]

Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Reauthorization Act of 2008: Negotiated Rulemaking Committee Meeting

AGENCY: Office of the Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing, HUD.

ACTION: Notice of Negotiated Rulemaking Committee meeting.

SUMMARY: This document announces a meeting of the negotiated rulemaking committee that was established pursuant to the Native American

Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Reauthorization Act of 2008. The primary purpose of the committee is to discuss and negotiate a proposed rule that would change the regulations for the Indian Housing Block Grant (IHBG) program and the Title VI Loan Guarantee program.

DATES: The committee meeting will be held on Tuesday, March 9, 2010, and Wednesday, March 10, 2010. On both days the meeting will begin at 8 a.m. and is scheduled to end at 5 p.m.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will take place at the Doubletree Paradise Valley Resort, 5401 North Scottsdale Road, Scottsdale, Arizona 85250; telephone number 480–946–1524 (this is not a toll-free number).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Rodger J. Boyd, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Native American Programs, Office of Public and Indian Housing, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 Seventh Street, SW., Room 4126, Washington, DC 20410; telephone number 202–401–7914 (this is not a toll-free number). Hearing or speech-impaired individuals may access this number via TTY by calling the toll-free Federal Information Relay Service at 1–800–877–8339.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**I. Background**

The Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996 (25 U.S.C. 4101 *et seq.*) (NAHASDA) changed the way that housing assistance is provided to Native Americans. NAHASDA eliminated several separate assistance programs and replaced them with a single block grant program, known as the Indian Housing Block Grant (IHBG) program. In addition, Title VI of NAHASDA authorizes Federal guarantees for the financing of certain tribal activities (Title VI Loan Guarantee Program). The regulations governing the IHBG and Title VI Loan Guarantee programs are located in part 1000 of HUD's regulations in title 24 of the Code of Federal Regulations. In accordance with section 106 of NAHASDA, HUD developed the regulations with active tribal participation and using the procedures of the Negotiated Rulemaking Act of 1996 (5 U.S.C. 561–570).

The Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Reauthorization Act of 2008 (Pub. L. 110–411, approved October 14, 2008) (NAHASDA Reauthorization) reauthorizes NAHASDA through September 30, 2013, and makes a number of amendments to the statutory