

continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA95) (44 U.S.C. Sec. 3506(c)(2)(A)). This program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirement on respondents can be properly assessed.

Currently, the Corporation is soliciting comments on the proposed survey for the AmeriCorps Tutoring Evaluation. The survey will be completed by selected AmeriCorps State and National programs that provide tutoring services and that may be subject to the proposed AmeriCorps standards for tutors and tutoring programs. Many of these programs receive all or part of their funding from the Corporation.

Copies of the information collection requests can be obtained by contacting the office listed in the address section of this notice.

DATES: Written comments must be submitted to the individual and office listed in the **ADDRESSES** section by April 25, 2006.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by the title of the information collection activity, by any of the following methods:

(1) By mail sent to: Corporation for National and Community Service, Attention: Lillian Dote, Program Officer, Office of Research and Policy Development, Room 10901A; 1201 New York Avenue, NW., Washington, DC, 20525.

(2) By hand delivery or by courier to the Corporation's mailroom at Room 8102C, at the street address given in paragraph (1) above, between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m. Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

(3) By fax to: (202) 606-3464, Attention: Lillian Dote, Program Officer, Office of Research and Policy Development.

(4) Electronically through the Corporation's e-mail address system: ldote@cns.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lillian Dote, (202) 606-6984, or by e-mail at ldote@cns.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Corporation is particularly interested in comments that:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Corporation, including whether the information will have practical utility;

- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

- Propose ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Propose ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are expected to respond, including the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology (e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses).

Background

The Corporation is interested in learning about tutoring programs supported by its AmeriCorps State and National programs. The study will provide basic information on the characteristics of AmeriCorps State and National tutoring programs, and will assist the Corporation in providing technical assistance to programs subject to proposed standards for tutors and tutoring programs. The study will also enable the Corporation to determine the feasibility of conducting evaluations of such programs.

Current Action

This is an application for a new data collection.

Type of Review: New.

Agency: Corporation for National and Community Service.

Title: AmeriCorps Tutoring Evaluation.

OMB Number: None.

Agency Number: None.

Affected Public: Tutoring programs receiving funding from AmeriCorps State and National.

Total Respondents: 100.

Frequency: On occasion.

Average Time Per Response: 1 hour.

Estimated Total Burden Hours: 100 hours.

Total Burden Cost (capital/startup): None.

Total Burden Cost (operating/maintenance): None.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval of the information collection request; they will also become a matter of public record.

Dated: February 17, 2006.

Robert Grimm,

Director, Office of Research and Policy Development.

[FR Doc. E6-2656 Filed 2-23-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6050--\$-P

CORPORATION FOR NATIONAL AND COMMUNITY SERVICE

Senior Corps; Schedule of Income Eligibility Levels

AGENCY: Corporation for National and Community Service.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This Notice revises the schedules of income eligibility levels for participation in the Foster Grandparent Program (FGP) and the Senior Companion Program (SCP) of the Corporation for National and Community Service, published in 70 FR 17981-17983, April 8, 2005.

DATES: These guidelines are effective as of March 1, 2006.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Corporation for National and Community Service, Peter L. Boynton, Senior Program Officer, Senior Corps, 1201 New York Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20525, by telephone at (202) 606-6825, or e-mail: seniorfeedback@cns.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The revised schedules are based on changes in the Poverty Guidelines issued by the Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS), published in 71 FR 3848-3849, January 24, 2006. In accordance with program regulations, the income eligibility level for each State, Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands and the District of Columbia is 125 percent of the DHHS Poverty Guidelines, except in those areas determined by the Corporation to be of higher cost of living. In such instances, the guidelines shall be 135 percent of the DHHS Poverty levels (See attached list of High Cost Areas). The level of eligibility is rounded to the next higher multiple of \$5.00.

In determining income eligibility, consideration should be given to the following, as set forth in 45 CFR 2551-2553 dated October 1, 1999, as amended per the **Federal Register**, Vol. 67, No. 188, Friday, September 27, 2002, Vol. 69, No. 72, Wednesday, April 14, 2004, and Vol. 69, No. 75, Monday, April 19, 2004.

—Allowable medical expenses are annual out-of-pocket expenses for health insurance premiums, health care services, and medications provided to the applicant, enrollee, or spouse and were not and will not be paid for by Medicare, Medicaid, other insurance, or by any other third party, and must not exceed 50 percent of the applicable Corporation income guideline.

—Annual income is counted for the past 12 months, for serving SCP and FGP

volunteers, and is projected for the subsequent 12 months, for applicants to become SCP and FGP volunteers, and includes: The applicant or enrollee's income and the applicant or enrollee's spouse's income, if the spouse lives in the same residence.

Sponsors must count the value of shelter, food, and clothing, if provided at no cost to the applicant, enrollee or spouse.
—Any person whose income is not more than 100 percent of the DHHS Poverty Guideline for her/his specific family

unit shall be given special consideration for participation in the Foster Grandparent and Senior Companion Programs:

2006 FGP/SCP INCOME ELIGIBILITY LEVELS

[Based on 125 percent of DHHS poverty guidelines]

States	Family units of			
	One	Two	Three	Four
All, except High Cost Areas, Alaska and Hawaii	\$12,250	\$16,500	\$20,750	\$25,000

For family units with more than four members, add \$4,250 for each additional member in all States except

designated High Cost Areas, Alaska and Hawaii.

2006 FGP/SCP INCOME ELIGIBILITY LEVELS FOR HIGH COST AREAS

[Based on 135 percent of DHHS poverty guidelines]

States	Family units of			
	One	Two	Three	Four
All, except Alaska and Hawaii	\$13,230	\$17,820	\$22,410	\$27,000
Alaska	16,540	22,275	28,015	33,750
Hawaii	15,215	20,495	25,775	31,050

For family units with more than four members, add: \$4,590 for all areas, \$5,740 for Alaska, and \$5,280 for Hawaii, for each additional member.

The income eligibility levels specified above are based on 135 percent of the DHHS poverty guidelines and are applicable to the following high cost metropolitan statistical areas and primary metropolitan statistical areas: High Cost Areas

(Including all Counties/Locations Included in that Area as Defined by the Office of Management and Budget).

Alaska

(All Locations).

California

Inyo Mono County.

Los Angeles/Compton/San Gabriel/Long Beach/Hawthorne (Los Angeles County).

Santa Barbara/Santa Maria/Lompoc (Santa Barbara County).

Santa Cruz/Watsonville (Santa Cruz County).

Santa Rosa/Petaluma (Sonoma County).

San Diego/El Cajon (San Diego County).

San Jose/Los Gatos (Santa Clara County).

San Francisco/San Rafael (Marin County).

San Francisco/Redwood City (San Mateo County).

San Francisco (San Francisco County).

Oakland/Berkeley (Alameda County).

Oakland/Martinez (Contra Costa County).

Anaheim/Santa Ana (Orange County).

Oxnard/Ventura (Ventura County).

Connecticut

Stamford (Fairfield).

District of Columbia/Maryland/Virginia

District of Columbia and surrounding Counties in Maryland and Virginia.

MD Counties: Anne Arundel, Calvert, Charles, Cecil, Frederick, Howard, Montgomery, Prince George's, and Queen Anne's Counties.

VA Counties: Arlington, Fairfax, Loudoun, Prince William, Stafford, Alexandria City, Fairfax City, Falls Church City, Manassas City, and Manassas Park City.

Hawaii

(All Locations).

Illinois

Chicago/Des Plaines/Oak Park/Wheaton/Woodstock (Cook, DuPage and McHenry Counties).

Lake County.

Massachusetts

Barnstable (Barnstable).

Edgartown (Dukes).

Boston/Malden (Essex, Norfolk, Plymouth, Middlesex and Suffolk Counties).

Worcester (Worcester City).

Brockton/Wellesley/Braintree/Boston

(Norfolk County).

Dorchester/Boston (Suffolk County).

Worcester (City) (Worcester County).

New Jersey

Bergen/Passaic/Patterson (Bergen and Passaic Counties).

Jersey City (Hudson).

Middlesex/Somerset/Hunterdon (Hunterdon, Middlesex and Somerset Counties).

Monmouth/Ocean/Spring Lake (Monmouth and Ocean Counties).

Newark/East Orange (Essex, Morris, Sussex and Union Counties).

Trenton (Mercer County).

New York

Nassau/Suffolk/Long Beach/Huntington (Suffolk and Nassau Counties).

New York/Bronx/Brooklyn (Bronx, King, New York, Putnam, Queens, Richmond and Rockland Counties).

Westchester/White Plains/Yonkers/Valhalla (Westchester County).

Ohio

Medina/Lorain/Elyria (Medina/Lorain County).

Pennsylvania

Philadelphia/Doylestown/West Chester/Media/Norristown (Bucks, Chester, Delaware, Montgomery and Philadelphia Counties).

Washington

Seattle (King County).

Wyoming

(All Locations).

The revised income eligibility levels presented here are calculated from the

base DHHS Poverty Guidelines now in effect as follows:

2005 DHHS POVERTY GUIDELINES FOR ALL STATES

States	Family Units of			
	One	Two	Three	Four
All, except Alaska and Hawaii	\$9,800	\$13,200	\$16,600	\$20,000
Alaska	12,250	16,500	20,750	25,000
Hawaii	11,010	14,760	18,510	22,260

For family units with more than four members, add: \$3,400 for all areas, \$4,250 for Alaska, and \$3,910 for Hawaii, for each additional member.

Authority: These programs are authorized pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 5011 and 5013 of the Domestic Volunteer Service Act of 1973, as amended. The income eligibility levels are determined by the current guidelines published by DHHS pursuant to sections 652 and 673(2) of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1981 which requires poverty guidelines to be adjusted for Consumer Price Index changes.

Dated: February 17, 2006.

Tess Scannell,

Director, Senior Corps.

[FR Doc. E6-2595 Filed 2-23-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6050--SS-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Air Force

Intent To Grant An Exclusive License

AGENCY: Department of the Air Force.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the provisions of part 404 of Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, which implements Public Law 96-517, the Department of the Air Force announces its intention to grant Conceptual MindWorks, Inc., a corporation of the State of Texas, an exclusive license under the following patents: U.S. Patent No. 5,856,108, issued 5 Jan 1999 to Kiel *et al.*, Biosynthesis of Diazomelanin and Diazoluminomelanin. U.S. Patent No. 5,902,728, issued 11 May 1999 to Parker *et al.*, Diazenitrification in the Manufacture of Recombinant Bacterial Biosensors. The license described above will be granted unless an objection thereto, together with a request for an opportunity to be heard, if desired, is received in writing by the addressee set forth below, within fifteen (15) days from the date of publication of this Notice.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Paul D. Heydon, Patent Attorney, Commercial Law Division, Office of the Staff Judge Advocate, 311th Human Systems Wing, Air Force Materiel Command, 8010 Chennault Path, Brooks City-Base, TX 78235, (210) 536-5359.

Bao-Anh Trinh,

Air Force Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. E6-2650 Filed 2-23-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-05-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Army

Final Environmental Impact Statement for the Transformation of the Pennsylvania Army National Guard 56th Brigade Into a Stryker Brigade Combat Team at the National Guard Training Center—Fort Indiantown Gap, PA

AGENCY: Department of the Army, DoD.

ACTION: Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: The Department of the Army (DA) and National Guard Bureau have proposed to transform the Pennsylvania Army National Guard (PAARNG) 56th Brigade into a Stryker Brigade Combat Team (SBCT). This Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) discusses in-depth three alternatives: The Preferred Alternative, the Train Using Existing Army Facilities Alternative, and the No-Action Alternative. Under the Preferred Alternative, the PAARNG proposes construction of new training and support facilities at the National Guard Training Center—Fort Indiantown Gap (NGTC-FTIG); at Fort Pickett, VA; and at local PAARNG facilities across the State of Pennsylvania, as well as conducting Annual Training (AT) at Fort A.P. Hill, VA, in order to accomplish requisite training. Under the Train Using Existing Army Facilities Alternative, no construction at NGTC-FTIG, Pennsylvania or Fort Pickett, VA would occur; the statewide facilities improvements would occur. Required SBCT Inactive Duty Training (IDT) and AT would be conducted at select

regional Army training installations, using existing facilities. Other alternatives considered but eliminated from detailed study are also addressed in the EIS.

ADDRESSES: Written comments or material should be forwarded to LTC Christopher Cleaver, NGTC-FTIG Public Affairs Officer (PAO), PADMVA Headquarters, Building 0-47, Annville, PA 17003-502 or Ms. Patricia Rickard, NGTC-FTIG EIS Project Officer, NGTC-FTIG, Environmental Section, 1119 Utility Road, Annville, PA 17003-5002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: LTC Christopher Cleaver at (717) 861-8468 or Ms. Patricia Rickard at (717) 861-2580.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Significant impacts would be anticipated from both Action alternatives, although the Preferred Alternative would result in greater impacts. The Train at Existing Army Facilities Alternative would result in fewer impacts, but would not achieve the purpose of and need for the Proposed Action as effectively and efficiently as the Preferred Alternative. Studies concluded that implementation of the Preferred Alternative would result in some significant but unmitigable impacts to air quality, geology and soils, and biological resources, and would result in significant but mitigable impacts to land use, water resources, and cultural resources. The Preferred Alternative would also result in beneficial impacts to socioeconomics and to minority and low-income populations. The Train Using Existing Army Facilities Alternative would result in significant unmitigable impacts to air quality (i.e., via fugitive dust during training episodes), and would negate the beneficial socioeconomic impacts of the Preferred Alternative in the vicinities of NGTC-FTIG and Fort Pickett; the statewide (Pennsylvania) socioeconomic benefits would still occur. The No-Action Alternative would result in no significant impacts, but would not