

involved in experiments, and support extensive K–12 outreach to foster an interest in Science Technology Engineering and Mathematics (STEM) and STEM careers. Facilities capitalize on diversity through participation in center activities and demonstrate leadership in the involvement of groups underrepresented in science and engineering. National User Facilities will be required to submit annual reports on progress and plans, which will be used as a basis for performance review and determining the level of continued funding. User facilities will be required to develop a set of management and performance indicators for submission annually to NSF via the Research Performance Project Reporting (RPPR) module in Research.gov. These indicators are both quantitative and descriptive and may include, for example, lists of successful proposal and users, the characteristics of facility personnel and students; sources of financial support and in-kind support; expenditures by operational component; research activities; education activities; knowledge transfer activities; patents, licenses; publications; degrees granted to students supported through the facility or users of the facility; descriptions of significant advances and other outcomes of this investment. Such reporting requirements are included in the cooperative agreement, which is binding between the academic institution and the NSF.

Each facility's annual report will address the following categories of activities: (1) Research, (2) education, (3) knowledge transfer, (4) partnerships, (5) diversity, (6) management, and (7) budget issues. For each of the categories the report will describe overall objectives and metrics for the reporting period, challenges or problems the facility has encountered in making progress towards goals, anticipated problems in the following year, and specific outputs and outcomes. Facilities are required to file a final report through the RPPR. Final reports contain similar information and metrics as annual reports, but are retrospective.

Use of the Information: NSF will use the information to continue funding of the DMR national user facilities, and to evaluate the progress of the program.

Estimate of Burden: 790 hours per facility for three national user facilities for a total of 2,370 hours.

Respondents: Non-profit institutions.

Estimated Number of Responses per Report: One from each of the DMR user facilities.

Dated: December 20, 2013.

Suzanne H. Plimpton,

Reports Clearance Officer, National Science Foundation.

[FR Doc. 2013–30889 Filed 12–26–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555–01–P

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Advisory Committee for Computer and Information Science and Engineering Notice of Meeting

In accordance with Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463, as amended), the National Science Foundation announces the following meeting:

NAME: Advisory Committee for Computer and Information Science and Engineering (1115)

DATE/TIME: January 14, 2014, 3:00 p.m. to 5:00 p.m.

PLACE: National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Room 375, Arlington, Virginia 22203

TYPE OF MEETING: Open

CONTACT PERSON: Carmen Whitson, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Suite 1105, Arlington, Virginia 22203 703/292–8900

PURPOSE OF MEETING: To advise NSF on the impact of its policies, programs and activities on the CISE community. To provide advice to the Assistant Director for CISE on issues related to long-range planning, and to form ad hoc subcommittees and working groups to carry out needed studies and tasks.

AGENDA:

- CISE programmatic updates
- Update from Advisory Committee subcommittees
- Status of Fiscal Year 2014 activities
- Closing remarks and wrap up

Dated: December 20, 2013.

Susanne Bolton,

Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 2013–30911 Filed 12–26–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. NRC–2013–0167]

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice of pending NRC action to submit an information collection request to the Office of Management and

Budget (OMB) and solicitation of public comment.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) invites public comment about our intention to request the OMB's approval for renewal of an existing information collection that is summarized below. We are required to publish this notice in the **Federal Register** under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Information pertaining to the requirement to be submitted:

1. *The title of the information collection:* DOE/NRC Form 741, Nuclear Material Transaction Report and NUREG/BR–0006, Revision 7, “Instructions for Completing Nuclear Material Transaction Reports.”

2. *Current OMB approval number:* 3150–0003.

3. *How often the collection is required:* Form 741 is submitted when specified events occur (nuclear materials or source material transfers, receipts, or inventory changes).

4. *Who is required or asked to report:* Persons licensed to possess specified quantities of special nuclear material or source material. Any licensee who ships, receives, or otherwise undergoes an inventory change of special nuclear or source material is required to submit a Form 741 to document the change.

5. *The number of annual respondents:* 340.

6. *The number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request:* 12,500.

7. *Abstract:* NRC is required to collect nuclear material transaction information for domestic safeguards use and make it available to the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA). Licensees use Form 741 to make inventory and accounting reports for certain source or special nuclear material, or for transfer or receipt of 1 kilogram or more of course material. This form enables the NRC to collect, retrieve, analyze, and submit the data to IAEA to fulfill its reporting responsibilities.

Submit, by February 25, 2014 comments that address the following questions:

1. Is the proposed collection of information necessary for the NRC to properly perform its functions? Does the information have practical utility?

2. Is the burden estimate accurate?

3. Is there a way to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected?

4. How can the burden of the information collection be minimized, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology?