rule under TSCA section 4 in response to this TSCA section 21 petition.

6. What were EPA's conclusions?

EPA denied the request to initiate a proceeding for the issuance of a rule under TSCA section 4 because the TSCA section 21 petition does not set forth the facts establishing that it is necessary for the Agency to issue such a rule. In particular, the petition does not demonstrate that existing information and experience on the effects of phosphogypsum and process wastewater are insufficient or that testing of phosphogypsum and process wastewater with respect to such effects is necessary to develop such information. Therefore, the petitioners have not demonstrated that the rule they requested is necessary.

IV. References

The following is a listing of the documents that are specifically referenced in this document. The docket includes these documents and other information considered by EPA, including documents that are referenced within the documents that are included in the docket, even if the referenced document is not physically located in the docket. For assistance in locating these other documents, please consult the technical person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

- 1. Curran, Rachael, People for Protecting
 Peace River, and Lopez, Jaclyn, Center
 for Biological Diversity to the
 Administrator of the Environmental
 Protection Agency. Re: Petition for
 Rulemaking Pursuant to Section 7004(a)
 of the Resource Conservation and
 Recovery Act; Section 21 of the Toxic
 Substances Control Act; and Section 553
 of the Administrative Procedure Act
 Concerning the Regulation of
 Phosphogypsum and Process Wastewater
 from Phosphoric Acid Production.
 Received February 8, 2021.
- Yiin, JH et al. A study update of mortality in workers at a phosphate fertilizer production facility. American Journal of Industrial Medicine 59(1):12–22. January 2016. https://doi.org/10.1002/ ajim.22542.
- 3. Kim, Kwang Po et al. Characterization of Radioactive Aerosols in Florida Phosphate Processing Facilities. Aerosol Science and Technology 40(6):410–421. February 2006. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 02786820600643313.
- 4. EPA. TENORM: Fertilizer and Fertilizer Production Wastes. April 7, 2021. https://www.epa.gov/radiation/tenormfertilizer-and-fertilizer-productionwastes
- 5. The Fertilizer Institute. Revised Request for Approval of Additional Uses of Phosphogypsum Pursuant to 40 CFR 61.206. April 2020. https://www.epa.gov/

- sites/production/files/2020-10/ documents/4-7-2020_pg_petition.pdf.
- EPA. Mosaic Fertilizer, LLC Settlement. September 16, 2020. https:// www.epa.gov/enforcement/mosaicfertilizer-llc-settlement.
- EPA. Integrated Risk Information System. March 26, 2021. https://www.epa.gov/ iris.
- 8. Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry. March 16, 2021. https:// www.atsdr.cdc.gov/toxprofiledocs/ index.html.

Authority: 15 U.S.C. 2601 et seq.

Michal Freedhoff,

Principal Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention.

[FR Doc. 2021-09998 Filed 5-20-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD

49 CFR Part 830

[Docket No.: NTSB-2021-0004]

RIN 3147-AA20

Amendment to the Definition of Unmanned Aircraft Accident

AGENCY: National Transportation Safety Board (NTSB).

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: The National Transportation Safety Board (NTSB) proposes amending the definition of "Unmanned aircraft accident" by removing the weight-based requirement and replacing it with an airworthiness certificate or airworthiness approval requirement. The weight threshold is no longer an appropriate criterion because unmanned aircraft systems (UAS) under 300 lbs. are operating in high-risk environments, such as beyond line-of-sight and over populated areas. The proposed definition will allow the NTSB to be notified of and quickly respond to UAS events with safety significance.

DATES: Send comments on or before July 20, 2021.

ADDRESSES: You may send comments, identified by Docket Number (No.) NTSB-2021-0004, by any of the following methods:

- Federal e-Rulemaking Portal: http://www.regulations.gov.
 - Email: rulemaking@ntsb.gov.
 - Fax: 202–314–6090.
- Mail/Hand Delivery/Courier: NTSB, Office of General Counsel, 490 L'Enfant Plaza East SW, Washington, DC 20594.

Instructions: All submissions in response to this NPRM must include

Docket No. NTSB–2021–0004. All comments received will be posted without change to http://www.regulations.gov, including any personal information provided.

Docket: For access to the docket, go to http://www.regulations.gov and search Docket No. NTSB-2021-0004.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Kathleen Silbaugh, General Counsel, (202) 314–6080, rulemaking@ntsb.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

The NTSB prescribes regulations governing the notification and reporting of accidents involving civil aircraft. As an independent federal agency charged with investigating and establishing the facts, circumstances, and probable cause of every civil aviation accident in the United States, the NTSB has an interest in redefining a UAS accident in light of recent developments in the industry.

For NTSB purposes, "unmanned aircraft accident" means an occurrence associated with the operation of an unmanned aircraft that takes place between the time that the system is activated with the purpose of flight and the time that the system is deactivated at the conclusion of its mission, and in which any person suffers death or serious injury, or in which the aircraft has a maximum gross takeoff weight of 300 lbs. or greater and receives substantial damage.

At the time this definition was contemplated, the weight-based requirement was necessary because defining an accident solely on "substantial damage" would have required investigations of numerous small UAS crashes with no significant safety issues. See Final Rule, 75 FR 51953, 51954 (Aug. 24, 2010). Consequently, there is no legal requirement to report or for the NTSB to investigate events involving substantial damage to UAS weighing less than 300 lbs. because these are not recognized "unmanned aircraft accidents" under the NTSB's regulations. While this definition ensured that the NTSB expended resources on UAS events involving the most significant risk to public safety, the advent of higher capability UAS applications—such as commercial drone delivery flights operating in a higher risk environment (e.g., populated areas, beyond line-ofsight operations, etc.)—has prompted the agency to propose an updated definition of "unmanned aircraft accident." Moreover, in the August 24, 2010, Final Rule, the NTSB anticipated future updates of the definition given the evolving nature of UAS technology and operations. Id.

II. Airworthiness Certification/ Approval

The NTSB believes that an updated definition is necessary given the changing UAS industry. Pursuant to section 44807 of the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) Reauthorization Act of 2018 (Reauthorization Act), the FAA has recently promulgated proposed rulemaking regarding UAS. Section 44807 directed the Department of Transportation to use a risk-based approach to determine if certain UAS may operate safely in the national airspace. A number of drone delivery operations, among other applications, have begun using: (1) FAA Special Airworthiness Certificates— Experimental, or (2) approvals under the exemption processes per section 44807 of the Reauthorization Act that allows the FAA to grant exemptions on an individual basis. As drone delivery and other applications develop, airworthiness certification will become more prevalent for certain unmanned aircraft similar to that of manned aircraft.

Therefore, an unmanned aircraft—of any size or weight-used for certain activities will require airworthiness certification or approvals due to higher risk potential, such as flights over populated areas for deliveries. Moreover, a substantially-damaged delivery drone may uncover significant safety issues, the investigation of which may enhance aviation safety through the independent and established NTSB process. This proposed definition change will treat a UAS with airworthiness certification or airworthiness approval in the same manner as a manned aircraft with airworthiness certification or airworthiness approval, thereby enabling the NTSB to immediately investigate, influence corrective actions, and propose safety recommendations.

Accordingly, the proposed definition will be flexible to account for changes in the UAS industry and will allow the NTSB to respond quickly to UAS events with safety significance, while not burdening the agency or public with unnecessary responses.

III. Unaffected Regulations

A. 49 CFR 830.2 Aircraft Accident

There is no change to the current definition of "aircraft accident" for those events in which death or serious injury occurs regardless of weight or airworthiness status. B. 14 CFR Part 107 Small Unmanned Aircraft Systems

The proposed definition will only affect those operations under 14 CFR part 107 that apply to small UAS that weigh less than 55 lbs. and hold an airworthiness certificate. As for the remaining small UAS operated under part 107 that do not hold airworthiness certificates or approvals, the "airworthiness certificate or approval" criteria in the proposed definition will not apply; only events resulting in serious injury or death will be categorized as an "accident."

C. Section 349 of the Reauthorization Act

This proposed definition will not affect hobbyist/modeler operations. The NTSB does not intend to investigate such accidents.

IV. Regulatory Analysis

Because the NTSB is an independent agency, this rule does not require an assessment of its potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of Executive Order (E.O.) 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review, 58 FR 51735 (Sept. 30, 1993). In addition, the NTSB has considered whether this rule would have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities, under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601-612). The NTSB certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

The NTSB does not anticipate this rule will have a substantial, direct effect on state or local governments or will preempt state law; as such, this rule does not have implications for federalism under E.O. 13132, Federalism, 64 FR 43255 (Aug. 4, 1999).

This rule complies with all applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of E.O. 12988, Civil Justice Reform, 61 FR 4729 (Feb. 5, 1996), to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden. The NTSB has evaluated this rule under: E.O. 12898, Federal Actions to Address Environmental Judice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations, 59 FR 7629 (Feb. 16, 1994); E.O. 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks, 62 FR 19885 (Apr. 21, 1997); E.O. 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, 65 FR 67249 (Nov. 6, 2000); E.O. 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use, 66 FR 28355 (May 18, 2001); and the National

Environmental Policy Act, 42 U.S.C. 4321–47. Pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act, the NTSB has determined that there is no new requirement for information collection associated with this proposed rule. The NTSB has concluded that this NPRM neither violates nor requires further consideration under those orders and statutes.

The NTSB has concluded that this proposed rule neither violates nor requires further consideration under the aforementioned Executive orders and acts.

List of Subjects in 49 CFR Part 830

Air transportation, Aircraft accidents, Aircraft incidents, Airworthiness directives and standards, Aviation safety, Drones, Investigations, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Safety, Unmanned aircraft systems.

The Chairman of the National Transportation Safety Board, Robert L. Sumwalt, III, having reviewed and approved this document, is delegating the authority to electronically sign this document to Brian Curtis, who is the Deputy Managing Director for Investigations, for purposes of publication in the **Federal Register** during the COVID–19 pandemic.

Brian Curtis,

Deputy Managing Director for Investigations.

Accordingly, for the reasons stated in the Preamble, the NTSB proposes to amend 49 CFR part 830 as follows:

PART 830—NOTIFICATION AND REPORTING OF AIRCRAFT ACCIDENTS OR INCIDENTS AND OVERDUE AIRCRAFT, AND PRESERVATION OF AIRCRAFT WRECKAGE, MAIL, CARGO, AND RECORDS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 830 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 1101–1155; Pub. L. 85–726, 72 Stat. 731 (codified as amended at 49 U.S.C. 40101).

§ 830.2 [Amended]

■ 2. Amend § 830.2 in paragraph (2) of the definition of "Unmanned aircraft accident" by removing the phrase "has a maximum gross takeoff weight of 300 pounds or greater" and adding in its place "holds an airworthiness certificate or approval".

[FR Doc. 2021–09807 Filed 5–20–21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7533-01-P