The purpose of the BEA Customer Satisfaction Survey will be to obtain feedback from customers on the quality of BEA products and services. The results of the information collected will serve to assist BEA in improving the quality of its data products and its methods of dissemination.

II. Method of Collection

The survey and a cover letter with instructions on how to complete the survey will be mailed to about 5,000 potential respondents, BEA will request that responses be returned 30 days after the mailing. It will also reside on BEA's Web site for 2,000 potential respondents. The survey will be designed so that all responses are anonymous and therefore eliminates the necessity for record keeping of respondents.

III. Data

OMB Number: 0691–0001. Type of Review: Reinstatement, without change of a previously approved collection.

Affected Public: Individuals from profit and non-profit organizations and individuals from other Federal, State, and local government agencies.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 500.

Estimated Response Time: 15 minutes.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 125.

Estimated Total Annual Cost: The only cost to the respondents is that of their time.

Legal Authority: Executive Order 12862, section 1(b), of September 11, 1993.

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will be come a matter of public record. Dated: December 9, 2003.

Madeleine Clayton,

Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 03–30845 Filed 12–12–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–06–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instruments

Pursuant to section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301), we invite comments on the question of whether instruments of equivalent scientific value, for the purposes for which the instruments shown below are intended to be used, are being manufactured in the United States.

Comments must comply with 15 CFR 301.5(a)(3) and (4) of the regulations and be filed within 20 days with the Statutory Import Programs Staff, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230. Applications may be examined between 8:30 a.m. and 5 p.m. in Suite 4100W, U.S. Department of Commerce, Franklin Court Building, 1099 14th Street, NW., Washington, DC.

Docket Number: 03-051. Applicant: National Renewable Energy Laboratory, 1617 Cole Boulevard, Golden, CO 80401. Instrument: Electron Microscope, Model Tecnai G² 20 TWIN. Manufacturer: FEI Company, the Netherlands. Intended Use: The instrument is intended to be used to study the structure and physical chemistry of biomass samples. The goal of these investigations is to better understand the structural and chemical properties and relate them to the susceptibility of biomass to enzyme digestion, and to characterize a variety of nano-structured materials such as quantum dot protein conjugates and polymeric supports for syngas conversion. Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: November 13, 2003.

Docket Number: 03–052. Applicant: National Institute of Standards and Technology, 100 Bureau Drive, Gaithersburg, MD 20899. Instrument: Dual Beam Scanning Electron and Focused Ion Beam Microscope System, Model Nova 600 NanoLab. Manufacturer: FEI Company, the Netherlands. Intended Use: The instrument is intended to be used to study solid state materials and devices researched, used and produced by the

microelectronics industry and emerging nanotechnology. The research objectives are to accurately measure small-size structures and to develop research and calibration methods. *Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs:* November 20, 2003.

Gerald A. Zerdy,

Program Manager, Statutory Import Programs Staff.

[FR Doc. 03–30900 Filed 12–12–03; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P**

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Whitehead Institute for Biomedical Research, et al.; Notice of Consolidated Decision on Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Electron Microscopes

This is a decision consolidated pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 a.m. and 5 p.m. in Suite 4100W, Franklin Court Building, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1099 14th Street, NW., Washington, DC.

Docket Number: 03–048. Applicant: Whitehead Institute for Biomedical Research, Cambridge, MA 02142. Instrument: Electron Microscope, Model JEM–2200FS. Manufacturer: JEOL Ltd., Japan. Intended Use: See notice at 68 FR 61189, October 27, 2003. Order Date: May 17, 1999.

Docket Number: 03–049. Applicant: National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD 20892–8008. Instrument: Electron Microscope, Model Tecnai G² Polara. Manufacturer: FEI Company, The Netherlands. Intended Use: See notice at 68 FR 61189, October 27, 2003. Order Date: June 18, 2003.

Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as these instruments are intended to be used, was being manufactured in the United States at the time the instruments were ordered. Reasons: Each foreign instrument is a conventional transmission electron microscope (CTEM) and is intended for research or scientific educational uses requiring a CTEM. We know of no CTEM, or any other instrument suited to these purposes, which was being manufactured in the United States either at the time of order of each instrument OR at the time of receipt of

application by the U.S. Customs Service.

Gerald A. Zerdy,

Program Manager, Statutory Import Programs Staff.

[FR Doc. 03–30901 Filed 12–12–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-357-813]

Honey From Argentina: Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the countervailing duty order on honey from Argentina for the period January 1, 2001 through December 31, 2002. If the final results remain the same as the preliminary results of this review, we will instruct the U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess countervailing duties as detailed in the "Preliminary Results of Administrative Review" section of this notice. Interested parties are invited to comment on the preliminary results of this administrative review. (See the "Public Comment" section of this notice).

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 15, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Thomas Gilgunn or Addilyn Chams-Eddine, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement VII, Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Room 4012, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–4236 or (202) 482–0648, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On December 10, 2001, the Department published in the Federal Register the countervailing duty order on honey from Argentina. See Notice of Countervailing Duty Order: Honey From Argentina, 66 FR 63673. In response to requests for an administrative review of the countervailing duty (CVD) order on honey from Argentina from the Government of Argentina (GOA) and the American Honey Producers Association and Sioux Honey Association (petitioners), the Department initiated an administrative review for the period January 1, 2001 through December 31,

2001. See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part, 68 FR 3009 (January 22, 2003) (Initiation Notice).

In its request for review, the GOA requested "that the period of review be extended to include calendar year 2002." In the Initiation Notice, the Department stated that it was considering the GOA's request. On January 24, 2002, the Department solicited comments from the parties regarding the GOA's request. On February 3, 2003, the GOA submitted comments in support of its request to extend the POR to include calendar year 2002. On February 6, 2003, the petitioners submitted comments arguing against the GOA's request for extension. On February 10, 2003, the GOA submitted additional comments. In addition, on February 10, 2003, the Department offered a final opportunity for both parties to submit final comments on this issue by February 14, 2003. (See memorandum to file from Barbara E. Tillman regarding "Countervailing Duty Order on Honey from Argentina; Telephone Calls to Petitioner and Respondent Concerning Comments on the Period of Review Issue in the first Administrative Review," dated February 13, 2003.) No additional comments were received from either party.

Based on our analysis of the GOA's request and of the comments received on this issue from both the petitioners and the GOA, the Department expanded the POR to include 2002. As such, the instant review covers calendar years, January 1, 2001 through December 31, 2001 and January 1, 2002 through December 31, 2002. (See memorandum from Thomas Gilgunn to Joseph A Spetrini "Honey from Argentina: Expansion of the Period of Review in the First Administrative Review of the Countervailing Duty Order," dated February 21, 2003.)

On February 21, 2003, we issued a questionnaire to the GOA. On April 14, 2003, the GOA submitted its questionnaire response. On June 10, 2003 and August 15, 2003, the Department issued supplemental questionnaires to the GOA. The GOA submitted responses to those

supplemental questionnaires on July 14, 2003 and September 22, 2003, respectively. The GOA also submitted additional information regarding certain provincial programs on August 20, 2003 and September 11, 2003. On July 23, 2003, we extended the period for the completion of the preliminary results pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). See Notice of Extension of Time Limit for the Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review: Honey from Argentina, 68 FR 43492 (July 23, 2003).

Verification

As provided in section 782(i) of the Act, the Department conducted on-site verification of the GOA's questionnaire responses from October 14 through October 21, 2003. The Department's findings at verification are detailed in two reports: "First Administrative Review of Honey from Argentina: Verification Report for the Argentine Internal Tax Reimbursement/ Rebate Program (Reintegro); Honey Production, and Export Data," dated November 13, 2003 (Reintegro Verification Report); and "First Administrative Review of Honey from Argentina: Verification Report for the Government of Argentina," dated November 20, 2003 (Honey Verification Report). Public versions of both reports are on file in the Central Records Unit (CRU) located in room B-099 of the Main Commerce Building.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by this order is artificial honey containing more than 50 percent natural honeys by weight, preparations of natural honey containing more than 50 percent natural honeys by weight, and flavored honey. The subject merchandise includes all grades and colors of honey whether in liquid, creamed, combs, cut comb, or chunk form, and whether packaged for retail or in bulk form.

The merchandise subject to this order is currently classifiable under subheadings 0409.00.00, 1702.90, and 2106.90.99 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and Bureau of Customs and Border Protection (CBP) purposes, the Department's written description of the merchandise covered by this order is dispositive.

Subsidies Valuation Information

A. Aggregation

Under section 777A(e)(2)(B) of the Act, the Department may calculate a

¹For the purposes of these preliminary results, we have analyzed data for the period January 1, 2001 through December 31, 2001 to determine the countervailable subsidy rate for exports of subject merchandise made during the periods in 2001 when liquidation of entries was suspended. In addition, we have analyzed data for the period January 1, 2002 through December 31, 2002 to determine the countervailable subsidy rate for exports during that period and to establish the cash deposit rate for subsequent exports of subject merchandise.