

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**Antitrust Division****Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Laser Diode Development Agreement**

Notice is hereby given that, on March 21, 2002, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), Laser Diode Development Agreement has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing (1) the identities of the parties and (2) the nature and objectives of the venture. The notifications were filed for the purpose of invoking the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act, the identities of the parties are Cree, Inc., Durham, NC; and Rohm Co., Ltd., Kyoto, Japan. The nature and objectives of the venture are to cooperate in the development of laser diode devices for use in high capacity optical storage applications.

Constance K. Robinson,
Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.
[FR Doc. 02-9696 Filed 4-19-02; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**Antitrust Division****Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Petroleum Environmental Research Forum ("PERF")**

Notice is hereby given that, on March 25, 2002, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), Petroleum Environmental Research Forum ("PERF") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership status. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, TNO Environment, Energy and Process Innovation, Apeldoorn, The Netherlands has been added as a party to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project.

Membership in this group research project remains open, and Petroleum Environmental Research Forum ("PERF") intends to file additional written notification disclosing all changes in membership.

On February 10, 1986, Petroleum Environmental Research Forum ("PERF") filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on March 14, 1986 (51 FR 8903).

The last notification was filed with the Department on November 5, 2001. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on December 5, 2001 (66 FR 63259).

Constance K. Robinson,
Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.
[FR Doc. 02-9693 Filed 4-19-02; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**Antitrust Division****Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—PKI Forum, Inc.**

Notice is hereby given that, on March 13, 2002, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), PKI Forum, Inc. has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership status. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, GlaxoSmithKline, Philadelphia, PA; Schlumberger Network Solutions, Houston, TX; and Japan PKI Forum, Tokyo, Japan have been added as parties to this venture. Also, Arthur Andersen, Houston, TX; Compaq Computer Corporation, Houston, TX; Conclusive Logic, Ltd., Maidenhead, Berkshire, United Kingdom; Digital Signature Trust Co., Salt Lake City, UT; Entegri Solutions, Inc., San Jose, CA; Entrust Technologies, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada; Gemplus International, S.A., Redwood City, CA; SSE, Ltd., Dublin, Ireland; Chrysalis-its, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada; Certicom Corporation, Mississauga, Ontario, Canada; and Cryptomathic A/S, Aarhus C. Denmark have been dropped as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned

activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and PKI Forum, Inc. intends to file additional written notification disclosing all changes in membership.

On April 2, 2001, PKI Forum, Inc. filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on May 3, 2001 (66 FR 22260).

The last notification was filed with the Department on January 2, 2002. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on February 25, 2002 (67 FR 8560).

Constance K. Robinson,
Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.
[FR Doc. 02-9694 Filed 4-19-02; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**Employment and Training Administration**

[TA-W-39,725 and NAFTA-05102]

General Mills Snack Division, Carlisle, PA; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application of December 14, 2001, petitioners requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility for workers and former workers of the subject firm to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) under petition TA-W-39,725 and North American Free Trade Agreement-Transitional Adjustment Assistance (NAFTA-TAA) under petition NAFTA-5102. The TAA and NAFTA-TAA denial notices applicable to workers of General Mills, Snack Division, Carlisle, Pennsylvania, were signed on November 5, 2001 and November 19, 2001, and published in the **Federal Register** on November 20, 2001 (66 FR 58171) and December 5, 2001 (66 FR 58171) and December 5, 2001 (66 FR 63262), respectively.

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;

(2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or