

laboratory practice beyond the requirements for training in accordance with Appendix VII Subarticle 4220, provided those practice hours are dedicated to the Level I or Level II skill areas as described in ANSI/ASNT CP-189.”

5. On page 16111, in the second column, in the middle of the column, in paragraph (b)(2)(xxv)(A), *Mitigation of defects by modification: First person*, the paragraph heading is corrected to read “*Mitigation of defects by modification: First provision*”.

6. On page 16112, in the second column, near the bottom of the column, in paragraph (b)(2)(xlili), *Section XI condition: Section XI Condition: Regulatory Submittal Requirements*, the paragraph heading is corrected to read “*Section XI Condition: Regulatory Submittal Requirements*”.

Dated: May 5, 2021.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Cindy K. Bladey,

Chief, Regulatory Analysis and Rulemaking Support Branch, Division of Rulemaking, Environmental, and Financial Support, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.

[FR Doc. 2021-09997 Filed 5-11-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R05-OAR-2020-0412; FRL-10023-85-Region 5]

Air Plan Approval; Michigan; Part 18 and Part 19 Revisions

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is proposing to approve Michigan Department of Environment, Great Lakes, and Energy (EGLE) promulgated revisions to its Part 18 Prevention of Significant Deterioration of Air Quality rule and the Part 19 New Source Review for Major Sources Impacting Nonattainment Areas rule. The revisions made to Parts 18 and 19 were adopted to ensure consistency with Federal rule language and other parts of the Michigan air quality rules. The proposed rule changes are administrative and are intended to provide clarity to the already approved rule language.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before June 11, 2021.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-R05-

OAR-2020-0412 at <http://www.regulations.gov> or via email to damico.genevieve@epa.gov. For comments submitted at [Regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov), follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or removed from [Regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov). For either manner of submission, EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (*i.e.* on the web, cloud, or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods, please contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section. For the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit <http://www2.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epa-dockets>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

YeChan Lim, Environmental Engineer, Air Permits Section, Air Programs Branch (AR-18J), Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 886-7259, lim.yechan@epa.gov. The EPA Region 5 office is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding Federal holidays and facility closures due to COVID-19.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In the Final Rules section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the State's SIP submittal as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial submittal and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no relevant adverse comments are received in response to this rule, no further activity is contemplated. If EPA receives such comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn, and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time. Please note that if EPA receives adverse comment on an amendment, paragraph, or section

of this rule and if that provision may be severed from the remainder of the rule, EPA may adopt as final those provisions of the rule that are not the subject of an adverse comment. For additional information, see the direct final rule which is located in the Rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: May 7, 2021.

Cheryl Newton,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 5.

[FR Doc. 2021-10043 Filed 5-11-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 73

[MB Docket No. 21-177; RM-11904; DA 21-461; FR ID 26049]

Television Broadcasting Services Redding, California

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Commission has before it a petition for rulemaking filed by Sinclair Media Licensee, LLC (Petitioner), the licensee of KRCR-TV (ABC), channel 7, Redding, California. The Petitioner requests the substitution of channel 15 for channel 7 at Redding, California in the DTV Table of Allotments.

DATES: Comments must be filed on or before June 11, 2021 and reply comments on or before June 28, 2021.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, Office of the Secretary, 45 L Street NE, Washington, DC 20554. In addition to filing comments with the FCC, interested parties should serve counsel for the Petitioner as follows: Paul A. Cicelski, Esq., Lerman Senter, PLLC, 2001 L Street NW, Washington, DC 20036.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Shaun Maher, Media Bureau, at (202) 418-2324; or Shaun Maher, Media Bureau, at ShaunMaher@fcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In support of its channel substitution request, the Petitioner states that the Commission has recognized that VHF channels have certain propagation characteristics which may cause reception issues for some viewers, and also that the reception of VHF signals requires larger antennas, that are generally not well suited to the mobile applications expected under flexible use, relative to UHF channels. According to the Petitioner, KRCR has received numerous