Banks' launch of the FedNow Service in 2023.)

5. Do you have any concerns about the Reserve Banks' proposed testing strategy and requirements?

6. How much time would you need to test your ISO 20022 messages in the MyStandards Readiness Portal before testing in the new second DIT environment?

7. Would nine months of testing ISO 20022 messages in the new second DIT environment be sufficient? If not, what is the minimum amount of testing you would require in the second DIT environment before the ISO 20022 implementation date?

8. Do you have any concerns about (i) proposed backout strategy for the ISO 20022 changes on the Saturday before the implementation date or (ii) the proposed fix-in-place strategy after on or after the implementation date?

## VI. Competitive Impact Analysis

The Board conducts a competitive impact analysis when it considers a rule or policy change that may have a substantial effect on payment system participants. Specifically, the Board determines whether there would be a direct or material adverse effect on the ability of other service providers to compete with the Federal Reserve due to differing legal powers or due to the Federal Reserve's dominant market position deriving from such legal differences.<sup>27</sup>

The Board explained in the 2018 Notice that it does not believe that adopting ISO 20022 for the Fedwire Funds Service would have an adverse impact on other service providers. The current proprietary message format for the Fedwire Funds Service is interoperable with the proprietary message format for the CHIPS system. The Reserve Banks have worked with TCH on plans to align ISO 20022 implementation for the Fedwire Funds Service and CHIPS where possible and will continue to do so; the Reserve Banks and TCH have previously indicated that such coordination will benefit their common customers.

TCH submitted a comment on the 2018 Notice in which it agreed that adopting ISO 20022 for the Fedwire Funds Service will not have an adverse effect on TCH's ability to compete with the Fedwire Funds Service assuming that there are no significant differences in (i) how the applicable legal frameworks for CHIPS and the Fedwire Funds Service address the legal issues created by the adoption of ISO 20022

<sup>27</sup> See http://www.federalreserve.gov/ paymentsystems/pfs\_frpaysys.htm. and (ii) the regulatory and compliance expectations for CHIPS and Fedwire Funds Service payments. As described above, the Board has amended Regulation J to ensure that adopting ISO 20022 does not affect the legal framework for Fedwire Funds Service payments. TCH also indicated in its comment letter that it would include similar clarifications in the CHIPS rules. Given that the Reserve Banks and TCH plan to continue collaborating on their respective ISO 20022 plans for the Fedwire Funds Service and CHIPS, the Board does not believe that implementing ISO 20022 will result in different regulatory or compliance expectations for CHIPS funds transfers relative to Fedwire Funds Service funds transfers.

By order of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, September 30, 2021. Ann E. Misback,

#### Ann E. MISDack,

Secretary of the Board. [FR Doc. 2021–21801 Filed 10–5–21; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE P

### FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

#### Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed Collection; Comment Request; Extension

**AGENCY:** Federal Trade Commission. **ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 ("PRA"), the Federal Trade Commission ("FTC" or "Commission") is seeking public comment on its proposal to extend for an additional three years the Office of Management and Budget clearance for information collection requirements in its Alternative Fuels Rule ("Rule"). That clearance expires on March 31, 2022.

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted on or before December 6, 2021.

ADDRESSES: Interested parties may file a comment online or on paper, by following the instructions in the Request for Comment part of the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section below. Write "Paperwork Comment: FTC File No. P134200" on your comment, and file your comment online at *https://www.regulations.gov* by following the instructions on the webbased form. If you prefer to file your comment on paper, mail your comment to the following address: Federal Trade Commission, Office of the Secretary, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Suite CC-5610 (Annex J), Washington, DC 20580, or deliver your comment to the following address: Federal Trade

Commission, Office of the Secretary, Constitution Center, 400 7th Street SW, 5th Floor, Suite 5610 (Annex J), Washington, DC 20024.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Hampton Newsome, Attorney, (202) 326–2889, Division of Enforcement, Bureau of Consumer Protection, Federal Trade Commission, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20580.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*Title of Collection:* Labeling Requirements for Alternative Fuels and Alternative Fueled Vehicles ("Alternative Fuels Rule"), 16 CFR part 309.

OMB Control Number: 3084–0094. Type of Review: Extension without change of currently approved collection.

Affected Public: Private Sector: Businesses and other for-profit entities. Estimated Annual Burden Hours:

6,000 hours.

Estimated Annual Labor Costs: \$175,298.

Non-Labor Costs: \$3,040.

#### Abstract

The Energy Policy Act of 1992 established federal programs to encourage the development of alternative fuels and alternative fueled vehicles ("AFVs"). Section 406(a) of the Act directed the Commission to establish uniform labeling requirements for alternative fuels and AFVs. 42 U.S.C. 13232(a). Such labels must provide "appropriate information with respect to costs and benefits [of alternative fuels and AFVs], so as to reasonably enable the consumer to make choices and comparisons." The required labels must be "simple and, where appropriate, consolidated with other labels providing information to the consumer.'

Pursuant to the Act. the Commission published the Alternative Fuels Rule in 1995, and the Rule was later amended in 2013.<sup>1</sup> The Rule requires disclosure of specific information on labels posted on fuel dispensers for non-liquid alternative fuels. To ensure the accuracy of these disclosures, the Rule also requires that sellers maintain records substantiating product-specific disclosures they include on these labels. In addition, the Rule requires that distributors of non-liquid alternative vehicle fuel provide certifications of the fuel rating in each transfer to anyone who is not a consumer.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>78 FR 23832 (April 23, 2013). The final amendments consolidated the FTC's alternative fueled vehicles ("AFV") labels with the then new fuel economy labels required by the EPA thereby eliminating the FTC's separate labeling requirements for used AFV labels.

#### **Burden Estimates**

Annual Hours Burden: 6,000 hours. FTC staff estimates that approximately 20,000 industry participants (non-liquid fuel producers, distributors, and retailers) are subject to the Rule's information collection requirements. The burden estimates for covered entities are detailed below.<sup>2</sup>

*Labeling:* Staff estimates that approximately 3,600 covered retailers must revise covered labels annually.<sup>3</sup> Staff estimates that affected retailers require approximately one hour each per year for labeling their fuel dispensers for a total of 3,600 hours (3,600 respondents × 1 hour per year).

*Recordkeeping:* FTC staff estimates that approximately 20,000 industry participants are subject to the Rule's recordkeeping requirements. Staff estimates that covered entities require approximately one-tenth of an hour each per year to comply with these requirements. This yields a burden of 2,000 hours per year (20,000 respondents  $\times$  0.1 hours).

*Certification:* Staff estimates that the Rule's fuel rating certification requirements will affect approximately 400 industry members (compressed natural gas producers and distributors and manufacturers of electric vehicle fuel dispensing systems). Staff anticipates that covered industry participants will spend approximately one hour per year to comply with this requirement for a total of 400 hours (400 respondents × 1 hour per year).

Accordingly, the estimated annual burden under the Rule is 6,000 hours (3,600 + 2,000 + 400).

Labor Costs: \$175,298.

FTC staff derive labor costs by applying appropriate hourly wage figures to the burden hours described above. According to Bureau of Labor

<sup>3</sup> Staff estimates that approximately 18,000 retailers are subject to the Rule's labeling requirements. Staff estimates that approximately 20% of covered retailers (3,600) will need to replace their labels annually because many labels remain effective for several years. Statistics data,<sup>4</sup> the average compensation for fuel system operators is \$35.49 per hour; and \$12.91 per hour for automotive service attendants. These are factored into the FTC's estimates and assumptions below.

Labeling: Staff assumes that labeling is performed by fuel system operators. Applying relevant labor cost figures to the estimated burden hours for labeling yields an estimated annual labor cost of \$127,764 (3,600 hours  $\times$  \$35.49).

Recordkeeping: Staff estimates that approximately  $\frac{1}{6}$  of the total recordkeeping hours are performed by fuel system operators ( $\frac{1}{6}$  of 2,000 hours = approximately 333 hours; 333 hours × 35.49 = \$11,818) and that automotive service attendants account for the remaining  $\frac{5}{6}$  of recordkeeping hours ( $\frac{5}{6}$ of 2,000 hours = approximately 1,667 hours; 1,667 hours × \$12.91 = \$21,520). Accordingly, staff estimates that the total labor cost for recordkeeping for affected industry is approximately \$33,338 (\$11,818 + \$21,520).

Certification: Staff assumes that certification is performed by fuel system operators. Estimated associated labor costs would be \$14,196 (400 hours  $\times$  \$35.49).

Accordingly, the estimated annual labor cost under the Rule is \$175,298 (\$127,764 + \$33,338 + \$14,196).

Non-Labor Costs: \$3,040.

Staff believes there are no current start-up costs associated with the Rule, which has been in effect since 1995. Industry members have in place the capital equipment and means necessary to determine automotive fuel ratings and comply with the Rule. Industry members, however, incur the cost of procuring fuel dispenser labels to comply with the Rule.

The estimated annual fuel labeling cost, based on estimates of approximately 8,000 fuel dispensers (assumptions: an estimated 20% of 20,000 total fuel retailers need to replace labels in any given year with an approximate five-year life for labels *i.e.*, 4,000 retailers—multiplied by an average of two dispensers per retailer) at thirty-eight cents for each label (per industry sources), is \$3,040 (\$0.38 × 8,000).

#### **Request for Comment**

Pursuant to Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the PRA, the FTC invites comments on: (1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

You can file a comment online or on paper. For the Commission to consider your comment, we must receive it on or before December 6, 2021. Write "Paperwork Comment: FTC File No. P134200" on your comment. Your comment, including your name and your state—will be placed on the public record of this proceeding, including the https://www.regulations.gov website.

Due to the public health emergency in response to the COVID-19 outbreak and the agency's heightened security screening, postal mail addressed to the Commission will be subject to delay. We encourage you to submit your comments online through the *https:// www.regulations.gov* website.

If you prefer to file your comment on paper, write "Paperwork Comment: FTC File No. P134200'' on your comment and on the envelope, and mail your comment to the following address: Federal Trade Commission, Office of the Secretary, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Suite CC-5610 (Annex J), Washington, DC 20580, or deliver your comment to the following address: Federal Trade Commission, Office of the Secretary, Constitution Center, 400 7th Street SW, 5th Floor, Suite 5610, Washington, DC 20024. If possible, please submit your paper comment to the Commission by courier or overnight service.

Because your comment will become publicly available at *https:// www.regulations.gov*, you are solely responsible for making sure that your comment does not include any sensitive or confidential information. In particular, your comment should not include any sensitive personal information, such as your or anyone else's Social Security number; date of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> It is common practice for alternative fuel industry members to determine and monitor fuel ratings in the normal course of their business activities. This is because industry members must know and determine the fuel ratings of their products in order to monitor quality and to decide how to market them. ''Burden'' for PRA purposes is defined to exclude effort that would be expended regardless of any regulatory requirement. 5 CFR 1320.2(b)(2). Other factors also limit the burden associated with the Rule. Certification may be a one-time event or require only infrequent revision. Disclosures on electric vehicle fuel dispensing systems may be useable for several years. Nonetheless, there is still some burden associated with posting labels. There also will be some minimal burden associated with new or revised certification of fuel ratings and recordkeeping.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The wage estimates in this Notice are based on mean hourly wages found in Table 1. National employment and wage data from the Occupational Employment Statistics survey by occupation, May 2019, at https://www.bls.gov/news.release/ ocwage.t01.htm. The wage rate for fuel system operators is based on data for "petroleum pump system operators, refinery operators, and gaugers." The wage rate for automotive attendants is based on data for "Automotive and watercraft service attendants."

birth; driver's license number or other state identification number, or foreign country equivalent; passport number; financial account number: or credit or debit card number. You are also solely responsible for making sure that your comment does not include any sensitive health information, such as medical records or other individually identifiable health information. In addition, your comment should not include any "trade secret or any commercial or financial information which . . . is privileged or confidential"—as provided by Section 6(f) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. 46(f), and FTC Rule 4.10(a)(2), 16 CFR 4.10(a)(2)including in particular competitively sensitive information such as costs. sales statistics, inventories, formulas, patterns, devices, manufacturing processes, or customer names.

Comments containing material for which confidential treatment is requested must be filed in paper form, must be clearly labeled "Confidential," and must comply with FTC Rule 4.9(c). In particular, the written request for confidential treatment that accompanies the comment must include the factual and legal basis for the request, and must identify the specific portions of the comment to be withheld from the public record. See FTC Rule 4.9(c). Your comment will be kept confidential only if the General Counsel grants your request in accordance with the law and the public interest. Once your comment has been posted on the https:// www.regulations.gov website—as legally required by FTC Rule 4.9(b)—we cannot redact or remove your comment, unless you submit a confidentiality request that meets the requirements for such treatment under FTC Rule 4.9(c), and the General Counsel grants that request.

The FTC Act and other laws that the Commission administers permit the collection of public comments to consider and use in this proceeding as appropriate. The Commission will consider all timely and responsive public comments that it receives on or before December 6, 2021. For information on the Commission's privacy policy, including routine uses permitted by the Privacy Act, see *https://www.ftc.gov/site-information/ privacy-policy.* 

## Josephine Liu,

Assistant General Counsel for Legal Counsel. [FR Doc. 2021–21763 Filed 10–5–21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6750-01-P

## FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

#### Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed Collection; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** Federal Trade Commission. **ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 ("PRA"), the Federal Trade Commission ("FTC" or "Commission") is seeking public comment on its proposal to extend for an additional three years the Office of Management and Budget clearance for information collection requirements in the Children's Online Privacy Protection Act Rule ("COPPA Rule" or "Rule"). The current clearance expires on March 31, 2022.

**DATES:** Comments must be filed by December 6, 2021.

ADDRESSES: Interested parties may file a comment online or on paper, by following the instructions in the Request for Comment part of the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section below. Write "COPPA Rule: Paperwork Comment, FTC File No. P155408" on your comment and file your comment online at *https://www.regulations.gov*, by following the instructions on the web-based form. If you prefer to file your comment on paper, mail your comment to the following address: Federal Trade Commission, Office of the Secretary, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Suite CC-5610 (Annex J), Washington, DC 20580, or deliver your comment to the following address: Federal Trade Commission, Office of the Secretary, Constitution Center, 400 7th Street SW, 5th Floor, Suite 5610 (Annex J), Washington, DC 20024.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Peder Magee, Attorney, (202) 326–3538, Division of Privacy and Identity Protection, Bureau of Consumer Protection, Federal Trade Commission, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20580.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** *Title of Collection:* Children's Online Privacy Protection Act Rule, 16 CFR part 312.

OMB Control Number: 3084–0117. Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

*Affected Public:* Private Sector: Businesses and other for-profit entities.

Estimated Annual Burden Hours: 17,700. Estimated Annual Labor Costs:

\$5,783,700.

Estimated Annual Non-Labor Costs: \$0.

*Abstract:* The COPPA Rule requires commercial websites and online

services to provide notice and obtain parental consent before collecting, using, or disclosing personal information from children under age thirteen, with limited exceptions. The COPPA Rule contains certain statutorily required notice, consent, and other requirements that apply to operators of any commercial website or online service directed to children that collect personal information, and operators of any commercial website or online service with actual knowledge that it is collecting personal information from children. The Rule also applies to operators that collect personal information from users of another website or online service that is directed to children. Covered operators must, among other things: Provide online notice and direct notice to parents of how they collect, use, and disclose children's personal information; obtain the prior consent of the child's parent in order to engage in such collection, use, and disclosure; provide reasonable means for the parent to obtain access to the information and to direct its deletion; and, establish procedures that protect the confidentiality, security, and integrity of personal information collected from children.

### **Burden Statement**

1. *Annual hours burden:* 17,600 hours.

(a) New Entrant Operators' Disclosure Burden

Based on public comments received by the Commission during its 2013 COPPA Rule amendments rulemaking,<sup>1</sup> FTC staff estimates that the Rule affects approximately 280 new operators per year.<sup>2</sup> Staff maintains its longstanding estimate that new operators of websites and online services will require, on average, approximately 60 hours to draft a privacy policy, design mechanisms to provide the required online privacy notice and, where applicable, the direct notice to parents.<sup>3</sup> This yields an estimated annual hours burden of 16,800 hours (280 respondents  $\times$  60 hours).

# (b) Safe Harbor Applicant Reporting Requirements

Operators can comply with the COPPA Rule by meeting the terms of Commission-approved self-regulatory

<sup>2</sup> This consists of certain traditional website operators, mobile app developers, plug-in developers, and advertising networks.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>78 FR 3971, 4005 (Jan. 17, 2013).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See, e.g., 80 FR 76491 (Dec. 9, 2015); 84 FR 1466 (Feb. 4, 2019).