Due Date for Answers, Conforming Applications, or Motion to Modify Scope: July 18, 2000.

Description: Application of Kitty Hawk International, Inc. ("Kitty Hawk") pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 41105 and Subpart Q, applies for authority to transfer its certificate of public convenience and necessity for interstate air transportation issued by the Department to a newly-created and wholly-owed subsidiary of Kitty Hawk to be named Kitty Hawk Air, Inc. ("Kitty Hawk Air").

Dorothy Y. Beard,

Federal Register Liaison. [FR Doc. 00–18131 Filed 7–17–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–62–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

Notice of Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) Approvals and Disapprovals

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT. **ACTION:** Monthly Notice of PFC Approvals and Disapprovals. In June 2000, there were six applications approved. This notice also includes information on one application, approved in April 2000, inadvertently left off the April 2000 notice. Additionally, 13 approved amendments to previously approved applications are listed.

SUMMARY: The FAA publishes a monthly notice, as appropriate, of PFC approvals and disapprovals under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Public Law 101–508) and Part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 158). This notice is published pursuant to paragraph d of § 158.29.

PFC Applications Approved

Public Agency: Blair County Airport Authority, Martinsburg, Pennsylvania.

Application Number: 00–03–C–00– AOO.

Application Type: Impose and use a PFC.

PFC Level: \$3.00.

Total PFC Revenue Approved in This Decision: \$206,335.

Earliest Charge Effective Date: July 1, 2000.

Estimated Charge Expiration Date: November 1, 2002.

Class of Air Carriers Not Required To Collect PFC's: Air taxis/commercial operators. *Determination:* Approved. Based on information contained in the public agency's application, the FAA has determined that the proposed class accounts for less than 1 percent of the total annual enplanements at Altoona-Blair County Airport.

Brief Description of Projects Approved for Collection and Use:

- Preparation of PFC application.
- Runway 12/30 and taxiway D lighting. Security fencing.

Master plan update.

Brief Description of Projects Approved for Collection:

- Avigation easement acquisition and obstruction removal.
- Land acquisition.
- Purchase of snow removal equipment (SRE).
- Improve aircraft rescue and firefighting (ARFF)/SRE building.

Runway 12/30 rehabilitation. Brief Description of Projects

Withdrawn: Land acquisition for runway 12/30 extension.

Determination: This project was withdrawn by the public agency in its letter dated April 25, 2000. Therefore, the FAA did not rule on this project in this decision.

Decision Date: April 28, 2000. For Further Information Contact: Roxane Wren, Harrisburg Airports District Office, (717) 730–2830.

Public Agency: Huntsville-Madison County Airport Authority, Huntsville, Alabama.

Application Number: 00–09–C–00– HSV.

Application Type: Impose and use a PFC.

PFC Level: \$3.00.

Total PFC Revenue Approved in This Decision: \$557,969.

Earliest Charge Effective Date: January 1, 2009.

Estimated Charge Expiration Date: June 1, 2009.

Class of Air Carriers Not Required To Collect PFC's: (1) Any air taxi/ commercial operator having fewer than 500 annual enplanements; (2) certified air carriers having fewer than 500 annual enplanements; (3) certified route air carriers having fewer than 500 annual enplanements.

Determination: Approved. Based on information contained in the public agency's application, the FAA has determined that each proposed class accounts for less than 1 percent of the total annual enplanements at Huntsville International Airport.

Brief Description of Projects Approved for Collection and Use:

Air cargo expansion.

Replace airline counters/heating, ventilation, and air conditioning improvements.

Terminal renovations/baggage claim expansion design.

Year 2000 upgrades.

Security vehicle.

Access/security road.

Snozzle for crash vehicle.

Air carrier apron repair.

Two 7.5KV regulators.

Decision Date: June 6, 2000. For Further Information Contact: Roderick T. Nicholson, Jackson Airports District Office, (601) 664–9884.

Public Agency: Puerto Rico Ports

Authority, San Juan, Puerto Rico.

- Application Number: 00–04–C–00– SJU.
- *Application Type:* Impose and use a PFC.
- PFC Level: \$3.00.

Total PFC Revenue Approved in This Decision: \$98,663,704.

Earliest Charge Effective Date: November 1, 2002.

Estimated Charge Expiration Date: November 1, 2020.

Class of Air Carriers Not Required To Collect PFC's: None.

- Brief Description of Projects Approved for Collection at San Juan International Airport (SJU) and Use at SJU:
- Development of utilities master plan.

Preliminary engineering for

development of dual midfield cross taxiway system.

Environmental assessment for runway 26 safety area and extension of taxiway Sierra.

Computer controlled access system.

Acquire two runway sweepers.

Design and installation of terminal

signage and airfield signage.

Design and build an ARFF facility. Expansion of midfield taxiway.

Cargo access road.

Development of new south general aviation area (apron and taxiway).

Brief Description of Project Approved for Collection at SJU and Use at Fernando Ribas Dominici Airport: Develop an airport layout plan including property map.

Brief Description of Project Approved for Collection at SJU and Use at Humacao Regional Airport: Design and construct apron.

Brief Description of Projects Approved for Collection at SJU and Use at Rafael Hernandez Airport:

Installation of automatic weather observation system.

Relocation of taxiway A.

Preliminary engineering runway reconstruction.

Final design runway reconstruction. Reconstruct runway.

Brief Description of Project Partially Approved for Collection at SJU and Use at Rafael Hernandez Airport: Improvements to computer controlled access system.

Determination: Partially approved. The approved amount was reduced from that requested due to funding provided by an Airport Improvement Program (AIP) grant.

Brief Description of Project Partially Approved for Collection at SJU and Use at San Antonio Rivera Rodriguez Airport: Runway obstruction removal, threshold relocation, and associated taxiway work.

Determination: Partially approved. The approved amount was reduced from that requested due to funding provided by an AIP grant.

Brief Description of Projects Approved for Collection at SJU and Use at Mercedita Airport:

Install airport signage.

Acquire jaws of life and safety equipment.

Improvements to computer controlled access system.

Acquire runway sweeper.

Install loading bridges.

Reconstruct taxiway light system.

Improve runway 12 safety area.

Reconstruct terminal apron.

Reconstruct runway and taxiway connectors.

Brief Description of Project Approved for Collection at SJU and Use at Benjamin Noriega Airport: Widen runway and extend taxiway; construct

apron.

Brief Description of Projects Approved for Collection at SJU:

Construct standard safety area, runway 26.

Design extension, taxiway Sierra.

Decision Date: June 14, 2000. For Further Information Contact: Ilia Quinones, Orlando Airports District

Office, (407) 812–6331, ext. 30.

Public Agency: City of Lynchburg, Virginia.

Application Number: 00–02–C–00– LYH.

Application Type: Impose and use a PFC.

PFC Level: \$3.00.

Total PFC Revenue Approved in This Decision: \$832,756.

Earliest Charge Effective Date: September 1, 2000.

Estimated Charge Expiration Date: February 1, 2002.

Class of Air Carriers Not Required To Collect PFC's: Air taxi operators filing FAA Form 1800–31.

Determination: Approved. Based on information contained in the public agency's application, the FAA has

determined that the approved class accounts for less than 1 percent of the total annual enplanements at Lynchburg Regional Airport.

Brief Description of Projects Approved for Collection and Use:

PFC formulation and annual

administrative costs.

Construct airport service road.

Overlay runway 3/21. Acquire land runway 21 runway

protection zone.

Overlay general aviation apron. Brief Description of Project

Disapproved for Collection and Use: Relocate State Route 758 to recover full extended runway safety area to runway 21 (design only).

Determination: Disapproved. Two parcels of land must be acquired by the public agency in order to successfully accomplish the relocation of the highway. One of the necessary parcels included a life-estate clause in the purchase agreement. Therefore, the FAA has no reasonable expectation that the public agency will be able to acquire the parcel and, thus, begin the road relocation within the 2-year timeframe required by § 158.33(a)(1) and has disapproved the project.

Decision Date: June 16, 2000. For Further Information Contact: Arthur Winder, Washington Airports District Office, (703) 661–1363.

Public Agency: Birmingham Airport Authority, Birmingham, Alabama.

Application Number: 00–03–C–00– BHM.

Application Type: Impose and use a PFC.

PFC Level: \$3.00.

Total PFC Revenue Approved in This Decision: \$8,000,000.

Earliest Charge Effective Date:

October 1, 2000.

Estimated Charge Expiration Date: November 1, 2002.

Class of Air Carriers Not Required To Collect PFC's: Air taxi/commercial operators filing FAA Form 1800–31.

Determination: Approved. Based on information contained in the public agency's application, the FAA has determined that the approved class accounts for less than 1 percent of the total annual enplanements at Birmingham International Airport.

Brief Description of Project Approved for Collection and Use: Rehabilitate air carrier apron.

Decision Date: June 21, 2000.

For Further Information Contact: Keafur Grimes, Jackson Airports District Office, (601) 664–9886.

Public Agency: City of Pendleton, Oregon.

Application Number: 00–02–C–00– PDT. *Application Type:* Impose and use a PFC.

PFC Level \$3.00.

Total PFC Revenue Approved in This Decision: \$303,739.

Earliest Charge Effective Date: December 1, 2002.

Estimated Charge Expiration Date: January 1, 2012.

Class of Air Carriers Not Required To Collect PFC's: Air taxi/commercial operators who conduct operations in air commerce carrying persons for compensation or hire.

Determination: Approved. Based on information contained in the public agency's application, the FAA has determined that the approved class accounts for less than 1 percent of the total annual enplanements at Eastern Oregon Regional Airport.

Brief Description of Projects Approved for Collection and Use:

Replace ARFF vehicle.

Rehabilitate pavement on west general aviation apron A.

Rehabilitate pavement of taxiway D.

Install precision approach path

indicator on runway 25. Rehabilitate runway 11/29.

Rehabilitate terminal apron.

Decision Date: June 23, 2000.

For Further Information Contact: Suzanne Lee-Pang, Seattle Airports

District Office, (425) 227–2654.

Public Agency: City of Kansas City— Aviation Department, Kansas City, Missouri.

Application Number: 00–03–C–00– MCI.

Application Type: Impose and use a PFC.

PFC Level: \$3.00.

Total PFC Revenue Approved in This Decision: \$99,645,586.

Earliest Charge Effective Date: August 1, 2009.

Estimated Charge Expiration Date: May 1, 2013.

Class of Air Carriers Not Required To Collect PFC's: Air taxi/commercial operators filing FAA Form 1800–31.

Determination: Approved. Based on information contained in the public agency's application, the FAA has determined that the approved class accounts for less than 1 percent of the total annual enplanements at Kansas City International Airport (MCI).

Brief Description of Project Approved for Collection at MCI and Use at MCI: Terminal equipment.

Airfield lighting generator.

Brief Description of Projects Approved for Collection at MCI and Use at Kansas City Downtown Airport (MKC): Relocate airfield generator. Brief Description of Project Approved for Collection at MCI: Overlay runway 1/19–MKC. Decision Date: June 29, 2000.

For Further Information Contact: Mark Schenkelberg, Central Region Airports Division, (816) 329–2645.

AMENDMENTS TO PFC APPROVALS

Amendment No., city, state	Amendment approved date	Original ap- proved net PFC revenue	Amended ap- proved net PFC revenue	Original esti- mated charge exp. date	Amended esti- mated charge exp. date
97–03–CO–01–TYS, Knoxville, TN	05/10/00	\$1,617,216	\$1,497,864	07/01/21	07/01/21
97–01–C–02–COD, Cody, WY	06/06/00	123,662	123,441	07/01/02	07/01/02
92-01-C-02-PDX, Portland, OR	06/06/00	22,000,000	22,000,000	05/01/16	05/01/16
95–01–C–01–PDT, Pendleton, OR	06/07/00	153,381	182,801	01/01/02	12/01/02
96–03–C–01–MQT, Marquette, MI	06/12/00	32,500	29,799	11/01/02	11/01/02
00-03-C-01-AOO, Altoona, PA	06/15/00	206,335	223,500	11/01/02	03/01/03
95–02–C–02–COS, Colorado Springs, CO	06/21/00	11,864,672	11,333,785	04/01/05	04/01/05
96–02–C–01–CPR, Casper, WY	06/21/00	427,704	490,749	05/01/04	05/01/04
97–04–C–01–COS, Colorado Springs, CO	06/26/00	15,050,000	0	05/01/04	01/01/01
98–05–C–01–COS, Colorado Springs, CO	06/26/00	9,029,906	10,353,578	05/01/04	01/01/01
95–01–C–03–MCI, Kansas City, MO	06/29/00	145,661,106	215,381,098	01/01/02	01/01/08
99–02–C–01–MCI, Kansas City, MO	06/29/00	28,723,139	23,844,977	05/01/06	08/01/09
97-01-C-01-TUS, Tucson, AZ	06/30/00	26,717,799	101,234,420	12/01/02	05/01/15

Issued in Washington, DC, on July 11, 2000.

Eric Gabler,

Manager, Passenger Facility Charge Branch. [FR Doc. 00–18135 Filed 7–17–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Highway Administration and Federal Transit Administration

Environmental Impact Statement; King County, Washington

AGENCIES: Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and Federal Transit Administration (FTA), Department of Transportation. **ACTION:** Notice of intent.

SUMMARY: The FHWA and FTA, in cooperation with Sound Transit (ST) and Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT) are issuing this notice to advise the public, affected Indian tribes and agencies that an environmental impact statement (EIS) will be prepared for improvements within the SR 520 corridor from Seattle to Redmond in King County, Washington. High capacity transit (HCT) alternatives across Lake Washington, including the SR 520 and I–90 corridors, will also be considered at a programmatic level.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

James A. Leonard, Federal Highway Administration, 711 South Capitol Way, Suite 501, Olympia, Washington 98501– 1284, Telephone: (360) 753–9408; Jennifer Bowman, Federal Transit Administration, 915 2nd Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98174, Telephone: (206) 220–7954; Rob Fellows, Washington State Department of Transportation, Office of Urban Mobility, 401 Second Avenue South, Suite 301, Seattle, Washington 98104– 2887, Telephone (206) 464–6234; Barb Gilliland, Sound Transit, 401 S. Jackson Street, Seattle, Washington 98104–2826, Telephone (206) 398–5051; or see website at http://www.wsdot.wa.gov/ translake

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The purpose of the proposed action is to improve mobility for people and goods across Lake Washington within the SR 520 corridor from Seattle to Redmond to address the following needs in the corridor. Land uses and transportation systems are not integrated in their planning and implementation; the transportation system suffers from extensive congestion; reliability and safety of the system are impaired; and neighborhoods, business centers and the environment are negatively impacted by high traffic volumes.

Alternatives under initial consideration include: (1) No action; (2) maintain SR 520 as four lanes, but improve access, operation, and safety; (3) add one HOV lane in each direction; (4) add one HOV lane and high-capacity transit in each direction; (5) add one HOV lane and one general purpose lane in each direction; and (6) add one HOV lane, high-capacity transit, and one general purpose lane in each direction. Ĕach ''build'' alternative will also include Transportation System Management (TSM) measures, Transportation Demand Management (TDM) measures, bicycle and pedestrian facilities, and environmental and neighborhood mitigation and enhancement measures. HCT alternatives across Lake Washington,

including the SR 520 and I–90 corridors, will also be considered at a programmatic level.

Letters describing the proposed action and soliciting comments on the scope of the EIS will be sent to appropriate Federal, State, and local agencies, affected Indian tribes, and to organizations and citizens who have previously expressed or are known to have interest in this proposal. A public hearing will be held and notice will be given of the time and place of the hearing. A series of agency and public scoping meetings are scheduled to be held during July 2000. (See below for details.)

Each public scoping meeting will consist of an informal open house setting from 5–7 p.m. and a formal meeting format beginning at 7 p.m. The formal meeting will begin with a short presentation, after which, comments will be received in the group forum. A court reporter will be available to record oral comments in an informal one-onone setting, for the open house, and will also record the formal meeting and comments from individuals in the group setting.

Comments may be made at one of the following public scoping meetings.

• Tuesday, July 18, 2000 at the Museum of History and Industry, 2700 24th Ave. East, Seattle, WA

• Wednesday, July 19, 2000 at Medina Elementary School, 8001 NE 8th Street, Medina, WA

• Thursday, July 20, 2000 at North Bellevue Community/Senior Center, 4063 148th Avenue NE, Bellevue, WA

In addition, a scoping meeting for governmental agencies and tribes will be held on July 12, 2000 at 9 a.m. at the Museum of History and Industry, 2700 24th Ave. East, Seattle, WA. A second