

- Type of probation exit
- Supervision status prior to probation exit
- Location of probation community supervision exit or probation office

BJS uses the information gathered in NCRP in published reports and statistics. The reports will be made available to the U.S. Congress, Executive Office of the President, practitioners, researchers, students, the media, others interested in criminal justice statistics, and the general public via the BJS Web site.

(5) *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond:* BJS anticipates 57 respondents to NCRP for report year 2015: 50 state respondents and seven separate state parole boards. Each respondent currently submitting NCRP prison and post-custody community supervision data will require an estimated 27 hours of time to supply the information for their annual caseload and an additional 3 hours documenting or explaining the data for a total of 1,317 hours. For the one state which has not submitted prison data since 2004, and the 19 states that do not currently submit post-custody community supervision data, the total first year's burden estimate is 510 hours, which includes the time required for developing or modifying computer programs to extract the data, performing and checking the extracted data, and submitting it electronically to BJS' data collection agency via SFTP. The total burden for all 57 NCRP data providers, including the pilot probation data, is 1,827 hours for report year 2015. In report year 2017, 5 states will be asked to pilot test the provision of probation data during report year 2015. BJS estimates that this new extraction of data will take 24 hours per state, or 120 hours total. The total burden estimate for report year 2017 including the collection of probation data from 5 states is 1,628 hours. All states submit data via a secure file transfer protocol (SFTP) electronic upload.

(6) *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* There are an estimated 1,827 total burden hours associated with this collection for report year 2015.

If additional information is required contact: Jerri Murray, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Two Constitution Square, 145 N Street NE., 3E.405B, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: June 3, 2015.

Jerri Murray,

Department Clearance Officer for PRA, U.S. Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 2015-13968 Filed 6-8-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-18-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Amended Notice of Lodging of Proposed First Amendment To Consent Decree Under the Clean Water Act ("CWA")

On May 19, 2015, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed First Amendment to Consent Decree with the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, in the lawsuit entitled *United States of America v. District of Columbia Water and Sewer Authority, et al., and the District of Columbia*, Civil Action No. 1:00-cv-00183 (TFH).

The proposed First Amendment to Consent Decree, if approved, will amend and supersede the 2005 Clean Water Act Consent Decree in the same action. Under the 2005 Consent Decree, DC Water was required to implement its Long Term Control Plan (LTCP) which primarily consisted of the construction of a system of pumps and three underground storage tunnels to store excess flows pending treatment. The proposed Amendment provides for the incorporation of Green Infrastructure (GI) in the Potomac River and Rock Creek sewersheds, reduction of the size of the tunnel in the Potomac River, and construction of facilities at the Blue Plains wastewater treatment plant including a Tunnel Dewatering Pumping Station and an Enhanced Clarification Facility. Construction of the Anacostia tunnel has begun according to schedule and will not be affected by this proposed Amendment. The final compliance date of 2025 imposed in the 2005 Consent Decree would be extended to 2030.

On Tuesday, May 26, 2015, the United States published a notice in the **Federal Register** (80 FR 30094), opening a 30-day period for public comment on the proposed First Amendment to Consent Decree. By this notice, the United States is extending that public comment period for an additional 30-days, for a total of 60-days from the original May 26, 2015 publication. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to *United States of America v. District of Columbia Water and Sewer Authority, et al., and the District of Columbia*, Civil

Action No. 1:00-cv-00183 (TFH), D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-1-1-07137. All comments must be submitted no later than sixty (60) days after May 26, 2015. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

<i>To submit comments:</i>	<i>Send them to:</i>
By e-mail	<i>pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov.</i>
By mail	Assistant Attorney General, U.S. DOJ-ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, D.C. 20044-7611.

During the public comment period, the proposed First Amendment to Consent Decree may be examined and downloaded at this Justice Department Web site: <http://www.justice.gov/enrd/consent-decrees>. We will provide a paper copy of the proposed First Amendment to Consent Decree upon written request and payment of reproduction costs. Please mail your request and payment to: Consent Decree Library, U.S. DOJ-ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044-7611.

Please enclose a check or money order for \$180.00 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the United States Treasury. For a paper copy without the exhibits and signature pages, the cost is \$13.00.

Robert Brook,

Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 2015-14074 Filed 6-8-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-CW-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Extension of Public Comment Period for Proposed Consent Decree Under the Clean Air Act

On May 19, 2015, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed Consent Decree with the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan in the lawsuit entitled *United States and Michigan Department of Environmental Quality v. AK Steel Corporation*, Civil Action No. 15-11804.

The United States filed this lawsuit under the Clean Air Act (CAA), naming AK Steel Corporation as the defendant. The complaint seeks injunctive relief and civil penalties for violations of the environmental regulations that govern iron and steel mills and the emission of particulate matter from certain sources at defendant's iron and steel mill in Dearborn, Wayne County, Michigan. The Michigan Department of

Environmental Quality (MDEQ) joined the complaint as a co-plaintiff asserting the same claims under equivalent state laws and regulations. Under the proposed consent decree, AK Steel agrees to implement procedures to improve future compliance with the CAA and State regulations, and pay a total of \$1,353,126 in civil penalties, to be divided equally between the United States and MDEQ. Under the proposed consent decree, AK Steel also agrees to fund the installation of air filtration systems at nearby public schools. In return, the United States and MDEQ agree not to sue the defendant under section 113 of the CAA related to its past violations.

In a **Federal Register** Notice published on May 26, 2015, the Department of Justice announced its intention to receive comments relating to the proposed Consent Decree for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of that publication. 80 FR 30,094 (May 26, 2015). In response to a request, the Department of Justice is extending that public comment period for 15 days until July 10, 2015. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to *United States and MDEQ v. AK Steel Corp.*, D.J. Ref. No. 90–5–2–1–10702. All comments must be submitted by no later than July 10, 2015. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

<i>To submit comments:</i>	<i>Send them to:</i>
By email	<i>pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov.</i>
By mail	Assistant Attorney General, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044–7611.

During the public comment period, the proposed Consent Decree may be examined and downloaded at this Justice Department Web site: <http://www.justice.gov/enrd/consent-decrees>. We will provide a paper copy of the proposed Consent Decree upon written request and payment of reproduction costs. Please mail your request and payment to: Consent Decree Library, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044–7611.

Please enclose a check or money order for \$14.00 (25 cents per page

reproduction cost) payable to the United States Treasury.

Randall M. Stone,

*Acting Assistant Section Chief,
Environmental Enforcement Section,
Environment and Natural Resources Division.*

[FR Doc. 2015–13976 Filed 6–8–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–15–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[OMB Number 1121–0317]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed eCollection eComments Requested; Reinstatement, With Change, of a Previously Approved Collection for Which Approval has Expired; 2016/2018 Identity Theft Supplement (ITS)

AGENCY: Bureau of Justice Statistics, Department of Justice.

ACTION: 60-day notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Justice (DOJ), Office of Justice Programs, Bureau of Justice Statistics, will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for 60 days until August 10, 2015.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have additional comments especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact Erika Harrell, Statistician, Bureau of Justice Statistics, 810 Seventh Street NW., Washington, DC 20531 (email: Erika.Harrell@usdoj.gov; telephone: 202–307–0758).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Bureau of Justice Statistics, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

- Evaluate whether and if so how the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected can be enhanced; and

- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of this information collection

(1) *Type of Information Collection:* Reinstatement of the Identity Theft Supplement, with changes, a previously approved collection for which approval has expired.

(2) *The Title of the Form/Collection:* 2016/2018 Identity Theft Supplement

(3) *The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection:* The form number for the questionnaire is ITS–1. The applicable component within the Department of Justice is the Bureau of Justice Statistics, in the Office of Justice Programs.

(4) *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:* Respondents will be persons 16 years or older living in households located throughout the United States sampled for the National Crime Victimization Survey (NCVS). The ITS will be conducted as a supplement to the NCVS in all sample households for a six (6) month period. The ITS is primarily an effort to measure the prevalence of identity theft among persons, the characteristics of identity theft victims, and patterns of reporting to the police, credit bureaus, and other authorities. The ITS was also designed to collect important characteristics of identity theft such as how the victim's personal information was obtained; the physical, emotional and financial impact on victims; offender information; and the measures people take to avoid or minimize their risk of becoming an identity theft victim. BJS plans to publish this information in reports and reference it when responding to queries from the U.S. Congress, Executive Office of the President, the U.S. Supreme Court, state officials, international organizations, researchers, students, the media, and others interested in criminal justices statistics.

(5) *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond:* An estimate of the total number of respondents is 113,000. About 93% of respondents (101,090) will have no identity theft and will