## FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

## 12 CFR Part 220

[Regulation T]

# Credit by Brokers and Dealers; List of Foreign Margin Stocks

**AGENCY:** Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System. **ACTION:** Final rule; determination of applicability of regulations.

**SUMMARY:** The List of Foreign Margin Stocks (Foreign List) is composed of certain foreign equity securities that qualify as *margin securities* under Regulation T. The Foreign List is published twice a year by the Board. **EFFECTIVE DATE:** March 1, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Peggy Wolffrum, Financial Analyst, Division of Banking Supervision and Regulation, (202) 452–2837, or Scott Holz, Senior Counsel, Legal Division, (202) 452–2966, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, DC 20551.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Listed below is a complete edition of the Board's Foreign List. The Foreign List was last published on August 20, 2002 (67 FR 53875), and became effective September 1, 2002.

The Foreign List is composed of foreign equity securities that qualify as margin securities under Regulation T by meeting the requirements of § 220.11(c) and (d). Additional foreign securities qualify as margin securities if they are deemed by the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) to have a "ready market" under SEC Rule 15c3–1 (17 CFR 240.15c3–1) or a "no-action" position issued thereunder. This includes all foreign stocks in the FTSE World Index Series.

It is unlawful for any creditor to make, or cause to be made, any representation to the effect that the inclusion of a security on the Foreign List is evidence that the Board or the SEC has in any way passed upon the merits of, or given approval to, such security or any transactions therein. Any statement in an advertisement or other similar communication containing a reference to the Board in connection with the Foreign List or the stocks thereon shall be an unlawful representation.

There are no additions to the Foreign List. The following six stocks are being removed because they no longer substantially meet the provisions of § 220.11(d) of Regulation T:

Bandai Co., Ltd.

Clarion Co., Ltd. ¥ 50 par common Kyowa Exeo Corp. ¥ 50 par common Matsushita Seiko Co., Ltd. ¥ 50 par common Nippon Comsys Corp. ¥ 50 par common Takuma Co., Ltd. ¥ 50 par common

## Public Comment and Deferred Effective Date

The requirements of 5 U.S.C. 553 with respect to notice and public participation were not followed in connection with the issuance of this amendment due to the objective character of the criteria for inclusion and continued inclusion on the Foreign List specified in § 220.11(c) and (d). No additional useful information would be gained by public participation. The full requirements of 5 U.S.C. 553 with respect to deferred effective date have not been followed in connection with the issuance of this amendment because the Board finds that it is in the public interest to facilitate investment and credit decisions based in whole or in part upon the composition of the Foreign List as soon as possible. The Board has responded to a request by the public and allowed approximately a one-week delay before the Foreign List is effective.

#### List of Subjects in 12 CFR Part 220

Brokers, Credit, Margin, Margin requirements, Investments, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Securities.

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority of sections 7 and 23 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (15 U.S.C. 78g and 78w), and in accordance with 12 CFR 220.2 and 220.11, there is set forth below a complete edition of the Foreign List.

## Japan

Akita Bank, Ltd. ¥50 par common Aomori Bank, Ltd. ¥50 par common Asatsu-DK Inc. ¥50 par common Bank of Nagoya, Ltd. ¥50 par common Chudenko Corp. ¥ 50 par common Chugoku Bank, Ltd. ¥50 par common Daihatsu Motor Co., Ltd. ¥50 par common Dainippon Screen Mfg. Co., Ltd. ¥50 par common Denki Kagaku Kogyo ¥50 par common

Eighteenth Bank, Ltd. ¥ 50 par common Futaba Corp. ¥ 50 par common Futaba Industrial Co., Ltd. ¥50 par common Higo Bank, Ltd. ¥ 50 par common Hitachi Software Engneering Co., Ltd. ¥50 par common Hokkoku Bank, Ltd. ¥50 par common Hokuetsu Paper Mills, Ltd. ¥50 par common Iyo Bank, Ltd. ¥ 50 par common Japan Airport Terminal Co., Ltd. ¥50 par common Juroku Bank, Ltd. ¥50 par common Kagoshima Bank, Ltd. ¥ 50 par common Kamigumi Co., Ltd. ¥50 par common Katokichi Co., Ltd. ¥50 par common Keisei Electric Railway Co., Ltd. ¥ 50 par common Keiyo Bank, Ltd. ¥ 50 par common Komori Corp. ¥50 par common Konami Co., Ltd. ¥50 par common Michinoku Bank, Ltd. ¥ 50 par common Musashino Bank, Ltd. ¥500 par common Namco, Ltd. ¥50 par common Nichicon Corp. ¥ 50 par common Nihon Unisys, Ltd. ¥ 50 par common Nishi-Nippon Bank, Ltd. ¥50 par common Nishi-Nippon Railroad Co., Ltd. ¥ 50 par common Nissan Chemical Industries, Ltd. ¥50 par common Ogaki Kyoritsu Bank, Ltd. ¥50 par common Q.P. Corp. ¥50 par common Rinnai Corp. ¥ 50 par common Sagami Railway Co., Ltd. ¥ 50 par common Sakata Seed Corp. ¥ 50 par common Santen Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. ¥50 par common Shimadzu Corp. ¥50 par common Shimamura Co., Ltd. ¥50 par common Sumitomo RubbeR Industries, Ltd. ¥50 par common Taiyo Yuden Co., Ltd.

 $<sup>{\</sup>rm \ensuremath{\,\$}}\,50$  par common

¥ 50 par common Takara Standard Co., Ltd. ¥ 50 par common Toho Bank, Ltd. ¥ 50 par common Toho Gas Co., Ltd. ¥ 50 par common Uni-Charm Corp. ¥ 50 par common Ushio, Inc. ¥ 50 par common Yamaha Motor Co., Ltd. ¥ 50 par common

By order of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, acting by its Director of the Division of Banking Supervision and Regulation pursuant to delegated authority (12 CFR 265.7(f)(10)), February 21, 2003.

## Jennifer J. Johnson,

Secretary of the Board. [FR Doc. 03–4619 Filed 2–26–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6210–01–P

#### DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

## 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 2003–SW–06–AD; Amendment 39–13064; AD 2003–04–15]

#### RIN 2120-AA64

## Airworthiness Directives; Sikorsky Aircraft Corporation Model S–76A, B, and C Helicopters

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT. **ACTION:** Final rule; request for comments.

SUMMARY: This amendment adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD) for the specified Sikorsky Aircraft Corporation (Sikorsky) model helicopters. This action requires determining the manufacturer of a certain part-numbered rotor brake disc (RBD) and if the manufacturer is Parker Hannifin Corporation (PHC), re-identifying the RBD as appropriate. This action also requires before the first flight of the next day following any day in which a certain RBD was used, visually inspecting the RBD for a crack. If a crack is found, this AD also requires replacing the RBD with an airworthy RBD or deactivating it as applicable depending on the nature of the crack. This amendment is prompted by the discovery that certain RBDs manufactured by PHC were improperly heat treated resulting in "soft" RBDs that have an increased wear rate compared to those heat treated in

accordance with the type design requirement. Further investigation reveals that "soft" RBDs develop cracks more frequently than previously manufactured RBDs. The actions specified in this AD are intended to prevent failure of the RBD, damage to the rotor blades and nearby hydraulic and fuel lines, and subsequent loss of control of the helicopter.

**DATES:** Effective February 27, 2003. Comments for inclusion in the Rules Docket must be received on or before April 28, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Office of the Regional Counsel, Southwest Region, Attention: Rules Docket No. 2003–SW– 06–AD, 2601 Meacham Blvd., Room 663, Fort Worth, Texas 76137. You may also send comments electronically to the Rules Docket at the following address: 9-asw-adcomments@faa.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Terry Fahr, Aviation Safety Engineer, Boston Aircraft Certification Office, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA 01803, telephone (781) 238–7155, fax (781) 238–7199.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This amendment adopts a new AD for the specified Sikorsky model helicopters. This amendment is prompted by the discovery that a certain part-numbered RBD manufactured by PHC was improperly heat treated resulting in "soft" RBDs that have an increased wear rate compared to those heat treated in accordance with the type design requirement. Further investigation reveals that "soft" RBDs develop cracks more frequently than previously manufactured RBDs. The actions specified in this AD are intended to prevent failure of the RBD, damage to the rotor blades and nearby hydraulic and fuel lines, and subsequent loss of control of the helicopter.

The FAA has reviewed Sikorsky Alert Service Bulletin (ASB) Nos. 76–66–36, dated November 12, 2002, and 76–66– 37, dated January 31, 2003. ASB No. 76– 66–36 describes a one-time visual inspection of the RBD to determine the manufacturer and to reidentify the RBD if necessary. ASB No. 76–66–36 also specifies an initial and recurring inspection for certain reidentified RBDs. ASB No. 76–66–37 specifies removing certain RBDs from service and provides interim instructions for operating the helicopter until a replacement RBD is installed.

This unsafe condition is likely to exist or develop on other helicopters of these same type designs. Therefore, this AD is being issued to prevent failure of the RBD, damage to the rotor blades and nearby hydraulic and fuel lines, and subsequent loss of control of the helicopter. This AD requires the following:

• Before further flight, determine the manufacturer of each RBD by examining the part number (P/N) markings. This AD requires no further action if the manufacturer is BF Goodrich or Goodyear.

• If the RBD is manufactured by PHC, reidentify it with a P/N 76363–09103–104 or –105, as applicable, depending on the serial number of the RBD. If you cannot determine the PHC RBD serial number or the manufacturer, reidentify the RBD with P/N 76363–09103–104.

• Before the first flight of the next day following any day in which the RBD was used, visually inspect each RBD, P/N 76363–09103–104, for a crack.

• If you find a crack through the entire RBD thickness or two or more surface cracks between adjacent boltholes, replace the RBD with an airworthy RBD before further flight. If you find a surface crack or surface cracks separated by the boltholes, replace the RBD with an airworthy RBD or deactivate it before further flight.

• Replace PHC RBD, P/N 76363– 09103–104, with an airworthy RBD on or before May 31, 2003, or within 60 days after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs later.

• Replacement RBD, P/N 76363–09103–104, is not airworthy.

The short compliance time involved is required because the previously described critical unsafe condition can adversely affect the controllability of the helicopter. Therefore determining the manufacturer, reidentifying the RBD as specified, inspecting the RBD for a crack, and either replacing the RBD or deactivating it is required before further flight and this AD must be issued immediately.

Since a situation exists that requires the immediate adoption of this regulation, it is found that notice and opportunity for prior public comment hereon are impracticable, and that good cause exists for making this amendment effective in less than 30 days.

The FAA estimates that 165 helicopters will be affected by this AD, that it will take approximately 2 work hours to determine the manufacturer of the RBD, 1 hour to reidentify the RBD, 2 hours to inspect the RBD, and 6 hours to replace the RBD. The average labor rate is \$60 per work hour. Required parts will cost approximately \$1,250 per helicopter. Based on these figures, the total cost impact of the AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$315,150 per helicopter, assuming all RBDs were