

enter into a covered nonprocurement transaction.

**§ 2000.30 What policies and procedures must I follow?**

(a) The NRC policies and procedures that you must follow are the policies and procedures specified in each applicable section of the OMB guidance in Subparts A through I of 2 CFR part 180, and those in this part. The NRC has closely tracked OMB's numbering scheme. For example, the contracts under a nonprocurement transaction that are covered transactions that are in section 220 of the OMB guidance (*i.e.*, 2 CFR 180.220) are found in § 2000.220.

(b) For any section of OMB guidance in subparts A through I of 2 CFR part 180 that has no corresponding section in this part, NRC requirements are those in the OMB guidance at 2 CFR part 180.

**§ 2000.135 Who in the Nuclear Regulatory Commission may grant an exception to let an excluded person participate in a covered transaction?**

The Director, Office of Administration or another official designated by the Director, has the authority to grant a written exception to let an excluded person participate in a covered transaction, as provided in guidance at 2 CFR 180.135. The Director or other official designated by the Director shall explain the reason(s) for deviating from the governmentwide policy.

**Subpart B—Covered Transactions**

**§ 2000.220 What contracts and subcontracts, in addition to those listed in 2 CFR 180.220, are covered transactions?**

The NRC nonprocurement suspension and debarment requirements apply only to first-tier procurement contracts under a covered nonprocurement transaction.

**Subpart C—Responsibilities of Participants Regarding Transactions**

**§ 2000.330 What method must be used to pass requirements down to participants at lower tiers?**

A participant in a covered transaction must include a term or condition in any lower-tier covered transaction to require the participant of that transaction to—

(a) Comply with subpart C of the OMB guidance in 2 CFR part 180; and

(b) Include a similar term or condition in any covered transaction into which it enters at the next lower tier.

**Subpart E–H [Reserved]**

**Subpart I—Definitions**

**§ 2000.930 Debarring official.**

The Debarring Official for the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission is the Director, Office of Administration.

**§ 2000.1010 Suspending official.**

The suspending official for the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission is the Director, Office of Administration.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 30th day of April 2010.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**R.W. Borchardt,**

*Executive Director for Operations.*

[FR Doc. 2010–11844 Filed 5–18–10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590–01–P**

**DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**

**Food Safety and Inspection Service**

**9 CFR Part 381**

**[Docket No. FSIS–2007–0045]**

**Use of Turkey Shackle in Bar-Type Cut Operations; Correcting Amendment**

**AGENCY:** Food Safety and Inspection Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Correcting amendment.

**SUMMARY:** The Food Safety and Inspection Service (FSIS) is amending the Federal poultry products inspection regulations to correct an inadvertent error in the required shackle width for Bar-type cut turkey operations that use J-type cut maximum line speeds.

**DATES:** This amendment is effective May 19, 2010.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Patrick Burke, Risk and Innovations Management Division, Office of Policy and Program Development, FSIS, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Room 2–2118 George Washington Carver Center, 5601 Sunnyside Avenue, Beltsville, MD 20705, (301) 504–0843.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On September 8, 2008, FSIS published a final rule that provides that turkey slaughter establishments that open turkey carcasses with Bar-type cuts may operate at the maximum line speeds established for J-type cuts if they use a shackle with a 4-inch by 4-inch selector (or knockout), a 45 degree bend of the lower 2 inches, an extended central loop portion of the shackle that lowers the abdominal cavity opening of the carcasses to an angle of 30 degrees from the vertical in direct alignment with the inspector's view, and a width of 10.5

inches (73 FR 51899). The specified shackle width of 10.5 inches is a typographical error, and the correct width is 10 inches. This notice corrects the error and amends § 381.68 to specify the correct 10-inch shackle width for Bar-type cut turkey operations that use J-type cut maximum line speeds.

**Additional Public Notification**

Public awareness of all segments of rulemaking and policy development is important. Consequently, in an effort to ensure that minorities, women, and persons with disabilities are aware of this proposed rule, FSIS will announce it online through the FSIS Web page located at [http://www.fsis.usda.gov/Regulations\\_&Policies/2010\\_Notices\\_Index/index.asp](http://www.fsis.usda.gov/Regulations_&Policies/2010_Notices_Index/index.asp). FSIS will also make copies of this **Federal Register** publication available through the FSIS Constituent Update, which is used to provide information regarding FSIS policies, procedures, regulations, **Federal Register** notices, FSIS public meetings, and other types of information that could affect or would be of interest to constituents and stakeholders. The Update is communicated via Listserv, a free electronic mail subscription service for industry, trade groups, consumer interest groups, health professionals, and other individuals who have asked to be included. The Update is also available on the FSIS Web page. Through the Listserv and Web page, FSIS is able to provide information to a much broader and more diverse audience. In addition, FSIS offers an e-mail subscription service which provides automatic and customized access to selected food safety news and information. This service is available at [http://www.fsis.usda.gov/news\\_and\\_events/email\\_subscription/](http://www.fsis.usda.gov/news_and_events/email_subscription/). Options range from recalls to export information to regulations, directives and notices. Customers can add or delete subscriptions themselves, and have the option to password protect their accounts.

**List of Subjects in 9 CFR Part 381**

Poultry product inspection, Post-mortem.

■ For the reasons set forth in the preamble, 9 CFR part 381 is corrected by making the following correcting amendment:

**PART 381—POULTRY PRODUCTS INSPECTION REGULATIONS**

■ 1. The authority citation for part 381 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 21 U.S.C. 451 *et seq.*

**§ 381.68 [Corrected]**

■ 2. In § 381.68, the second sentence of paragraph (a) is amended by removing “10.5” and adding in its place “10”.

Done at Washington, DC, on May 13, 2010.

**Alfred V. Almanza,**  
*Administrator.*

[FR Doc. 2010-11996 Filed 5-18-10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410-DM-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY****10 CFR Part 430**

[Docket No. EERE-2010-BT-NOA-0016]

**Notice of Availability of Interpretive Rule on the Applicability of Current Water Conservation Standards for Showerheads; Request for Comments**

**AGENCY:** Department of Energy.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability and request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) or (the Department) is providing notice of an interpretive rule that sets out the Department's views on the definition of “showerhead” in the DOE's regulations related to the energy conservation program for consumer products. The draft interpretive rule represents the Department's interpretation of its existing regulations and is exempt from the notice and comment requirements of the Administrative Procedure Act. *See* 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(A). Nevertheless, given that the Department has not previously expressed its views on this definition, we are interested in receiving feedback from the public on the interpretation. At the end of the comment period, this draft interpretive rule may be adopted, revised or withdrawn.

**DATES:** Comments regarding this draft interpretive rule must be received on or before June 18, 2010.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments may be submitted to DOE using the following method:

- E-mail: *Showerhead\_Guidance\_Comments@hq.doe.gov*. Include the docket number in the subject line of the message. Comments and suggestions should be provided in WordPerfect, Microsoft Word, PDF, or text file format.

The full text of the interpretive rule is available at [http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance\\_standards/residential/pdfs/showerhead\\_guidance.pdf](http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/residential/pdfs/showerhead_guidance.pdf).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Requests for additional information should be directed to Ms. Laura Barhydt [laura.barhydt@hq.doe.gov](mailto:laura.barhydt@hq.doe.gov) or by phone at 202-287-5772.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) or (the Department) is providing notice of an interpretive rule that sets out the Department's views on the definition of “showerhead” in 10 CFR 430.2. The draft interpretive rule represents the Department's interpretation of its existing regulations and is exempt from the notice and comment requirements of the Administrative Procedure Act. *See* 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(A). Nevertheless, given that the Department has not previously expressed its views on this definition, we are interested in receiving feedback from the public on the interpretation. At the end of the comment period, this draft interpretive rule may be adopted, revised or withdrawn. The full text of the interpretive rule is available at [http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance\\_standards/residential/pdfs/showerhead\\_guidance.pdf](http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/residential/pdfs/showerhead_guidance.pdf).

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 6291 and 42 U.S.C. 6298.

Issued in Washington, DC, on May 10, 2010.

**Scott Blake Harris,**  
*General Counsel.*

[FR Doc. 2010-11572 Filed 5-18-10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6450-01-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION****Federal Aviation Administration****14 CFR Part 25**

[Docket No. NM420; Special Conditions No. 25-406-SC]

**Special Conditions: Dassault Aviation Falcon Model 2000EX; Autobraking System**

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Final special conditions.

**SUMMARY:** These special conditions are issued for the Dassault Aviation Falcon Model 2000EX airplane. This airplane will have a novel or unusual design feature(s) associated with the autobraking system for use during landing. The applicable airworthiness regulations do not contain adequate or appropriate safety standards for this design feature. These special conditions contain the additional safety standards that the Administrator considers necessary to establish a level of safety equivalent to that established by the existing airworthiness standards.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* June 18, 2010.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Todd Martin, FAA, Airframe/Cabin Safety, ANM-115, Transport Airplane

Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington, 98057-3356; telephone (425) 227-1178; facsimile (425) 227-1149.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

On July 1, 2008, Dassault Aviation applied for a change to Type Certificate (TC) No. A50NM to install an automatic braking system on the Falcon Model 2000EX airplane. This is a pilot-selectable function that allows earlier maximum braking at landing without pilot pedal input. When the autobrake system is armed before landing, it automatically commands maximum braking at main wheels touchdown. Normal procedures remain unchanged and call for manual braking after nose wheel touchdown.

The current Federal Aviation Regulations do not contain adequate requirements to address the potentially higher structural loads that could result from this type of automatic braking system. Title 14, Code of Federal Regulations (14 CFR) 25.471 through 25.511 address ground handling loads, but do not contain a specific “pitchover” requirement addressing the loading on the nose gear, the nose gear surrounding structure, and the forward fuselage. The Dassault autobraking system, which applies maximum braking at the main wheels before the nose gear touches down, will cause a high nose gear sink rate, and potentially higher gear and airframe loads. Therefore, the FAA has determined that a special condition is needed. The special condition requires that the airplane be designed to withstand the loads resulting from maximum braking, taking into account the effects of the automatic braking system.

**Type Certification Basis**

Under the provisions of 14 CFR 21.101, Dassault Aviation must show that the Falcon Model 2000EX, as changed, continues to meet the applicable provisions of the regulations incorporated by reference in TC No. A50NM or the applicable regulations in effect on the date of application for the change. The regulations incorporated by reference in the type certificate are commonly referred to as the “original type certification basis.” The regulations incorporated by reference in TC No. A50NM are as follows: Part 25 of 14 CFR as amended by Amendments 25-1 through 25-69. In addition, Dassault Aviation has elected to comply with the following amendments:

- Amendment 25-71 for § 25.365(e).