

For Fuerjia and the respondents which were not selected for individual examination in this administrative review and which qualified for a separate rate, we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties. For entries that were not reported in the U.S. sales databases submitted by Fuerjia, and for the companies that did not qualify for a separate rate in the administrative review, Commerce will instruct CBP to liquidate such entries at the China-wide rate (*i.e.*, 85.13 percent).¹⁵

Consistent with Commerce's assessment practice in non-market economy cases, for the companies which Commerce determined had no shipments of the subject merchandise, any suspended entries made under those exporters' case numbers (*i.e.*, at the exporters' rates) will be liquidated at the China-wide rate.¹⁶

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of these final results for shipments of the subject merchandise from China entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided by sections 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) for companies which were found eligible for a separate rate in this review, the cash deposit rate will be zero; (2) for previously investigated or reviewed Chinese and non-Chinese exporters that received a separate rate in a prior segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the existing exporter-specific rate; (3) for all Chinese exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be that for the China-wide entity; and (4) for all non-Chinese exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the Chinese exporter that supplied that non-Chinese exporter. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers Regarding the Reimbursement of Duties

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR

351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during the POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties, and/or an increase in the amount of antidumping duties by the amount of the countervailing duties.

Administrative Protective Orders

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305, which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This determination is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5).

Dated: June 23, 2023.

James Maeder,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

Appendix I

List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Changes to the *Preliminary Results*
- V. Discussion of the Issues
 - Comment 1: Whether To Apply Adverse Facts Available (AFA) to Fuerjia
 - Comment 2: Whether To Find Metropolitan Eligible for a Separate Rate
 - Comment 3: Whether To Select Malaysia or Romania as the Primary Surrogate Country
 - Comment 4: Whether To Revise the Surrogate Value (SV) for Plywood
 - Comment 5: Whether To Use Multiple Financial Statements in the Surrogate Financial Ratios
- VI. Recommendation

Appendix II

No Shipments

Anhui Longhua Bamboo Product Co., Ltd.
 Arte Mundi Group Co., Ltd. (successor-in-interest to Arte Mundi (Shanghai)
 Aesthetic Home Furnishings Co., Ltd.)
 Benxi Flooring Factory (General Partnership)
 Benxi Wood Company

Dalian Deerfu Wooden Product Co., Ltd.
 Dalian Jiahong Wood Industry Co., Ltd.
 Dalian Shengyu Science And Technology Development Co., Ltd.
 Dongtai Fuan Universal Dynamics, LLC
 Dun Hua Sen Tai Wood Co., Ltd.
 Dunhua City Dexin Wood Industry Co., Ltd.
 Dunhua City Hongyuan Wood Industry Co., Ltd.
 Dunhua Shengda Wood Industry Co., Ltd.
 HaiLin LinJing Wooden Products Co., Ltd.
 Hunchun Xingjia Wooden Flooring Inc.
 Huzhou Chenghang Wood Co., Ltd.
 Huzhou Sunergy World Trade Co., Ltd.
 Jiangsu Keri Wood Co., Ltd.
 Jiangsu Mingle Flooring Co., Ltd.
 Jiangsu Simba Flooring Co., Ltd.
 Jiangsu Yuhui International Trade Co., Ltd.
 Jiashan On-Line Lumber Co., Ltd.
 Kingman Wood Industry Co., Ltd.
 Linyi Anying Wood Co., Ltd.
 Linyi Youyou Wood Co., Ltd.
 Muchsee Wood (Chuzhou) Co., Ltd.
 Pinge Timber Manufacturing (Zhejiang) Co., Ltd.
 Power Dekor Group Co., Ltd.
 Sino-Maple (Jiangsu) Co., Ltd.
 Suzhou Dongda Wood Co., Ltd.
 Tongxiang Jisheng Import and Export Co., Ltd.
 Yekalon Industry Inc.
 Zhejiang Longsen Lumbering Co., Ltd.
 Zhejiang Shiyu Timber Co., Ltd.
 Zhejiang Shuimojiangnan New Material Technology Co., Ltd.

Appendix III

China-Wide Entity

Jiashan HuiJiaLe Decoration Material Co., Ltd.
 Jiaying Hengtong Wood Co., Ltd.
 Lauzon Distinctive Hardwood Flooring, Inc.
 Metropolitan Hardwood Floors, Inc.
 Yihua Lifestyle Technology Co., Ltd.
 (successor-in-interest to Guangdong Yihua Timber Industry Co., Ltd.)
 Yingyi-Nature (Kunshan) Wood Industry Co., Ltd.

[FR Doc. 2023-13955 Filed 6-29-23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-580-876]

Welded Line Pipe From the Republic of Korea: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2020–2021

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) finds that certain producers/exporters subject to this administrative review made sales of subject merchandise at less than normal value (NV) during the period of review (POR) December 1, 2020, through November 30, 2021.

¹⁵ See *Multilayered Wood Flooring from the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Final Determination of No Shipments; 2016–2017*, 84 FR 38002, 38003 (August 5, 2019).

¹⁶ For a full discussion of this practice, see *Assessment Notice*.

DATES: Applicable June 30, 2023.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Adam Simons, AD/CVD Operations, Office II, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-6172.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On December 29, 2022, Commerce published the *Preliminary Results* of the 2020–2021 administrative review of the antidumping duty order on welded line pipe (WLP) from the republic of Korea (Korea) and invited interested parties to comment.¹ This review covers 31 producers/exporters of the subject merchandise. Commerce selected two companies, NEXTEEL Co., Ltd. (NEXTEEL) and SeAH Steel Corporation (SeAH), for individual examination.² The producers/exporters not selected for individual examination are listed in Appendix II.

On February 6, 2023, we received case briefs from the Domestic Interested Parties,³ NEXTEEL, and SeAH.⁴ On February 14, 2023, we received rebuttal briefs from Domestic Interested Parties, NEXTEEL, and SeAH, as well as a letter in-lieu-of a rebuttal brief from Hyundai Steel Company.⁵ On April 6, 2023, we postponed the final results to no later than June 27, 2023.⁶ For a complete description of the events that occurred since the *Preliminary Results*, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.⁷

¹ See *Welded Line Pipe from the Republic of Korea: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Preliminary Determination of No Shipments; 2020–2021*, 87 FR 80156 (December 29, 2022) (*Preliminary Results*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum (PDM).

² See Memorandum, “Respondent Selection,” dated February 22, 2022.

³ The Domestic Interested Parties are American Cast Iron Pipe Company, Dura-Bond Industries, Stupp Corporation, Welspun Global Trade LLC, and Axis Pipe & Tube.

⁴ See Domestic Interested Parties’ Letter, “Case Brief,” dated February 6, 2023; NEXTEEL’s Letter, “NEXTEEL’s Case Brief,” dated February 6, 2023; and SeAH’s Letter, “Case Brief of SeAH Steel Corporation,” dated February 6, 2023.

⁵ See Domestic Interested Parties’ Letter, “Rebuttal Brief,” dated February 14, 2023 (Domestic Interested Parties’ Rebuttal Brief); NEXTEEL’s Letter, “NEXTEEL’s Rebuttal Brief,” dated February 14, 2023 (NEXTEEL’s Rebuttal Brief); SeAH’s Letter, “Rebuttal Brief of SeAH Steel Corporation,” dated February 14, 2023 (SeAH’s Rebuttal Brief); and Hyundai Steel Company’s Letter, “Letter in Support of Mandatory Respondents’ Case and Rebuttal Briefs,” dated February 14, 2023.

⁶ See Memorandum, “Extension of Deadline for Final Results of 2020–2021 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review,” dated April 6, 2023.

⁷ See Memorandum, “Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the 2020–2021 Administrative Review of the Antidumping

Scope of the Order⁸

The merchandise subject to the *Order* is welded line pipe.⁹ The product is currently classified under the following Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) item numbers: 7305.11.1030, 7305.11.1060, 7305.11.5000, 7305.12.1030, 7305.12.1060, 7305.12.5000, 7305.19.1030, 7305.19.5000, 7306.19.1010, 7306.19.1050, 7306.19.5110, and 7306.19.5150. Although the HTSUS numbers are provided for convenience and for customs purposes, the written product description remains dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs are listed in Appendix I to this notice and addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. Interested parties can find a complete discussion of these issues and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum, which is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx>.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on a review of the record and comments received from interested parties regarding our *Preliminary Results*, we made certain changes to the calculation of the preliminary weighted-average dumping margins for NEXTEEL and SeAH.¹⁰

Determination of No Shipments

As noted in the *Preliminary Results*, we received a no shipment claim from HiSteel Co., Ltd. (HiSteel) and preliminarily determined that HiSteel had no shipments during the POR.¹¹ We received no comments from interested parties with respect to this claim. Therefore, because the record indicates that HiSteel had no entries of subject merchandise to the United States during

Duty Order on Welded Line Pipe from Korea,” dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

⁸ See *Welded Line Pipe from the Republic of Korea and the Republic of Turkey: Antidumping Duty Orders*, 80 FR 75056, 75057 (December 1, 2015) (*Order*).

⁹ For a complete description of the scope of the order, see *Preliminary Results* PDM at 3.

¹⁰ For a full description of changes, see Issues and Decision Memorandum.

¹¹ See *Preliminary Results*.

the POR, we continue to find that HiSteel had no shipments during the POR.

Rates for Companies Not Selected for Individual Examination

Generally, Commerce looks to section 735(c)(5) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), which provides instructions for calculating the all-others rate in an investigation, for guidance for calculating the rate for companies which were not selected for individual examination in an administrative review. Under section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act, the all-others rate is normally “an amount equal to the weighted-average of the estimated weighted-average dumping margins established for exporters and producers individually investigated, excluding any zero or *de minimis* margins, and any margins determined entirely {on the basis of facts available}.”

In this review, we calculated a weighted-average dumping margin of 2.38 percent for NEXTEEL and a weighted-average dumping margin of 4.23 percent for SeAH. With two respondents under individual examination, Commerce normally calculates: (A) a weighted-average of the estimated dumping rates calculated for the examined respondents; (B) a simple average of the estimated dumping rates calculated for the examined respondents; and (C) a weighted-average of the estimated dumping rates calculated for the examined respondents using each company’s publicly-ranged U.S. sale values for the merchandise under consideration. Commerce then compares (B) and (C) to (A) and selects the rate closest to (A) as the most appropriate rate for all other producers and exporters.¹²

Consistent with our practice, we have determined that 3.27 percent, which is the weighted average of NEXTEEL and SeAH’s margins based on publicly ranged data, will be assigned to the non-examined companies under section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act.¹³ These companies are listed in Appendix II.

Final Results of the Review

As a result of this review, we determine the following weighted-average dumping margins for the period

¹² See, e.g., *Ball Bearings and Parts Thereof from France, Germany, Italy, Japan, and the United Kingdom: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews, Final Results of Changed-Circumstances Review, and Revocation of an Order in Part*, 75 FR 53661, 53663 (September 1, 2010).

¹³ For a complete analysis of the data, see Memorandum, “Calculation of the Cash Deposit Rate for Non-Selected Companies,” dated concurrently with this notice.

December 1, 2020, through November 30, 2021:

Producer or exporter	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
NEXTEEL Co., Ltd	2.38
SeAH Steel Corporation	4.23
Companies Not Selected for Individual Review ¹⁴	3.27

Disclosure of Calculations

We intend to disclose the calculations performed for NEXTEEL and SeAH in connection with these final results to interested parties within five days of the date of publication of this notice, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), Commerce has determined, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the final results of this review.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), NEXTEEL reported the entered value of its U.S. sales such that we calculated importer-specific ad valorem duty assessment rates based on the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the examined sales to the total entered value of the sales for which entered value was reported. SeAH did not report the actual entered value for all of its U.S. sales; in such instances, we calculated importer-specific per-unit duty assessment rates by aggregating the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for the examined sales and dividing this amount by the total quantity of those sales. Where either the respondent's weighted-average dumping margin is zero or *de minimis* within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), or an importer-specific assessment rate is zero or *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.

For the companies not selected for individual review, we used an assessment rate based on the weighted average of the cash deposit rates calculated for NEXTEEL and SeAH. The final results of this review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by the final results of this review and for the future deposits of estimated duties where applicable.¹⁵

Commerce's "automatic assessment" practice will apply to entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by NEXTEEL or SeAH for which the reviewed companies did not know that the merchandise they sold to the intermediary (e.g., a reseller, trading company, or exporter) was destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.

Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of the final results of this review in the **Federal Register**. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (i.e., within 90 days of publication).

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rate for the companies covered in this review will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margin that is established in the final results of this review, except if the rate is less than 0.50 percent and, therefore, *de minimis* within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), in which case the cash deposit rate will be zero; (2) for previously investigated or reviewed companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding in which the company participated; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, or the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the cash deposit rate established for the most recently completed segment for the producer of the subject merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers or exporters will continue to be 4.38 percent, the all-others rate established in the LTFV investigation.¹⁶ These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Administrative Protective Order

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

Notification to Interested Parties

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: June 26, 2023.

James Maeder,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

Appendix I

List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Margin Calculations
- IV. Discussion of the Issues
 - Comment 1: Treatment of NEXTEEL's Non-Prime Products
 - Comment 2: NEXTEEL's Quarterly Cost
 - Comment 3: NEXTEEL's Suspended Production Lines
 - Comment 4: NEXTEEL's Obsolete Hot Rolled Steel Coil
 - Comment 5: Treatment of Cost of Goods Sold Assigned to SeAH's Headquarters
 - Comment 6: SeAH's Scrap Offset
 - Comment 7: SeAH's General and Administrative Expenses
 - Comment 8: SeAH's Interest Expense Ratio
 - Comment 9: Reconciliation of State Pipe & Supply, Inc.'s Cost of Further Manufacturing
 - Comment 10: State Pipe's COGS Accounts
 - Comment 11: State Pipe's G&A Expenses
 - Comment 12: State Pipe's Loan Forgiveness
 - Comment 13: Whether SeAH's Reported Movement Expenses Should Be Deducted From its Home Market Price

¹⁴ See Appendix II for a full list of these companies.

¹⁵ See section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act.

¹⁶ See Order.

Comment 14: Whether Commerce Should Use SeAH's Reported Surrogate Costs
 Comment 15: SeAH's Constructed Export Price Offset
 Comment 16: Differential Pricing Methodology
 Comment 17: SeAH's Assessment Rates
 V. Recommendation

Appendix II

List of Companies Not Selected for Individual Review Receiving the Review-Specific Rate

1. AJU BESTEEL Co., Ltd.
2. BDP International, Inc.
3. Daewoo International Corporation
4. Dong Yang Steel Pipe
5. Dongbu Incheon Steel Co.
6. Dongbu Steel Co., Ltd.
7. Dongkuk Steel Mill
8. EEW Korea Co., Ltd.
9. Husteel Co., Ltd.
10. Hyundai RB Co. Ltd.
11. Hyundai Steel Company/Hyundai HYSCO
12. Kelly Pipe Co., LLC
13. Keonwoo Metals Co., Ltd.
14. Kolon Global Corp.
15. Korea Cast Iron Pipe Ind. Co., Ltd.
16. Kurvers Piping Italy S.R.L.
17. Miju Steel MFG Co., Ltd.
18. MSTEEL Co., Ltd.
19. Poongsan Valinox (Valtimet Division)
20. POSCO
21. POSCO Daewoo
22. R&R Trading Co. Ltd.
23. Sam Kang M&T Co., Ltd.
24. Sin Sung Metal Co., Ltd.
25. SK Networks
26. Soon-Hong Trading Company
27. Steel Flower Co., Ltd.
28. TGS Pipe
29. Tokyo Engineering Korea Ltd.

[FR Doc. 2023-13965 Filed 6-29-23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-533-884]

Glycine From India: Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review and Rescission, in Part; 2021

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily finds that countervailable subsidies are being provided to producers and exporters of glycine from India during the period of review, January 1, 2021, through December 31, 2021. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

DATES: Applicable June 30, 2023.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Scarlet Jaldin or Harrison Tanchuck,

AD/CVD Operations, Office VI, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4275 or (202) 482-7421, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On June 21, 2019, Commerce published the countervailing duty order on glycine from India.¹ On June 3, 2022, Commerce published in the **Federal Register** a notice of initiation of an administrative review of the *Order*.² Between June 27 and 30, 2022, Commerce received timely requests for administrative reviews of several companies from various interested parties, in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act) and 19 CFR 351.213(b)(1).³ On August 9, 2022, based on these timely requests for review, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i), Commerce published in the **Federal Register** a notice of initiation of the *Order* covering five producers/exporters.⁴ On September 23, 2022, Paras withdrew its request for review of itself.⁵ On November 7, 2022, Avid timely withdrew its request for review of itself⁶ and the petitioner timely withdrew its request for an administrative review of Avid.⁷ On November 9, 2022, Commerce notified interested parties of its intent to rescind the review, in part, with respect to Avid.⁸ On February 27, 2023, Commerce extended the time period for issuing

these preliminary results by 119 days, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, to no later than June 29, 2023.⁹

For a complete description of the events that followed the initiation of this review, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.¹⁰ A list of topics discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is included as an appendix to this notice. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx>.

Rescission of Administrative Review, in Part

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), Commerce will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if the parties that requested a review withdraw the request within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation. Commerce received timely-filed letters withdrawing the requests from Avid, Paras, and the petitioner, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1).¹¹ Because the withdrawal letters were timely filed, and no other party requested a review of the companies listed in the withdrawal letters, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), Commerce is rescinding this review of the *Order* with respect to Avid and Paras.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by the *Order* is glycine from India. For a complete description of the scope of the *Order*, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Methodology

Commerce is conducting this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(A) of the Act. For each of the subsidy programs found to be countervailable, we preliminarily find that there is a subsidy, *i.e.*, a financial contribution

⁹ See Memorandum, "Extension of Deadline for Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review," dated February 27, 2023.

¹⁰ See Memorandum, "Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of the Administrative Review of the Countervailing Duty Order on Glycine from India; 2021," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

¹¹ See Avid Withdrawal of Review Request; see also Petitioner Withdrawal of Review Request; and Paras Withdrawal of Review Request.

¹ See *Glycine from India and the People's Republic of China: Countervailing Duty Orders*, 84 FR 29173 (June 21, 2019) (*Order*).

² See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 87 FR 48459, 48463 (August 9, 2022) (*Initiation Notice*).

³ See Kumar Industries, India's (Kumar) Letter, "Request for Administrative Review of Countervailing Duty," dated June 27, 2022; see also Paras Intermediates Private Limited's (Paras) Letter, "Request for Countervailing Duty Administrative Review," dated June 28, 2022; Avid Organics Private Limited's (Avid) Letter, "Request for Countervailing Duty Administrative Review," dated June 28, 2022; and GEO Specialty Chemicals, Inc.'s (the petitioner) Letter, "Request for Administrative Review," dated June 30, 2022.

⁴ See *Initiation Notice*, 87 FR at 48463.

⁵ See Paras' Letter, "Withdrawal of Review Request for Countervailing Duty Administrative Review," dated September 23, 2022 (Paras Withdrawal of Review Request).

⁶ See Avid's Letter, "Withdrawal of Review Request for Countervailing Duty Administrative Review," dated November 7, 2022 (Avid Withdrawal of Review Request).

⁷ See Petitioner's Letter, "Partial Withdrawal of Request for Administrative Review," dated November 7, 2022 (Petitioner Withdrawal of Review Request).

⁸ See Memorandum, "Notice of Intent to Rescind Review, in Part," dated November 9, 2022.