Community	Community map repository address
City of Houston City of Jacinto City City of La Porte City of League City City of Morgan's Point City of Nassau Bay City of Pasadena City of Seabrook City of Shoreacres City of South Houston City of Taylor Lake Village City of Webster Unincorporated Areas of Harris County	Houston, TX 77002. Jacinto City City Hall, 1301 Mercury Drive, Houston, TX 77029. City Hall, 604 West Fairmont Parkway, La Porte, TX 77571. Building Department, 600 West Walker Street, League City, TX 77573. City Hall, 1415 East Main Street, Morgan's Point, TX 77571. City Hall, 18100 Upper Bay Road, Nassau Bay, TX 77058. Municipal Services Building, 1114 Davis Street, Pasadena, TX 77506. City Hall, 1700 1st Street, Seabrook, TX 77586. City Hall, 601 Shoreacres Boulevard, Shoreacres, TX 77571. City Hall, 1018 Dallas Street, South Houston, TX 77587. City Hall, 500 Kirby Boulevard, Taylor Lake Village, TX 77586. City Hall, 101 Pennsylvania Avenue, Webster, TX 77598.

Maps Available for Inspection	n Online at: http://www.fema	.gov/preliminaryfloodhazarddata

Town of White Stone	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
---------------------	---

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No. 97.022, "Flood Insurance.")

Dated: August 30, 2013.

Roy E. Wright,

Deputy Associate Administrator for Mitigation, Department of Homeland Security, Federal Emergency Management Agency.

[FR Doc. 2013–22832 Filed 9–18–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110-12-P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

U.S. Customs and Border Protection

Notice of Issuance of Final Determination Concerning Video Teleconferencing Server

AGENCY: U.S. Customs and Border Protection, Department of Homeland Security.

ACTION: Notice of final determination.

SUMMARY: This document provides notice that U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") has issued a final determination concerning the country of origin of video teleconferencing server Prescient T7–FW. Based upon the facts presented, CBP has concluded in the final determination that China is the country of origin of the video teleconferencing server for purposes of U.S. Government procurement.

DATES: The final determination was issued on September 11, 2013. A copy of the final determination is attached. Any party-at-interest, as defined in 19 CFR 177.22(d), may seek judicial review

of this final determination on or before October 21, 2013.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Karen S. Greene, Valuation and Special Programs Branch: (202) 325–0041.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Notice is hereby given that on September 11, 2013, pursuant to subpart B of Part 177, **Customs and Border Protection** Regulations (19 CFR part 177, subpart B), CBP issued a final determination concerning the country of origin of video teleconferencing server Prescient T7-FW, which may be offered to the U.S. Government under an undesignated government procurement contract. This final determination, in HQ H218360, was issued at the request of CyberPoint International Inc., under procedures set forth at 19 CFR part 177, subpart B, which implements Title III of the Trade Agreements Act of 1979, as amended (19 U.S.C. 2511-18). In the final determination CBP concluded that, based upon the facts presented, since the Chinese-origin Video Board and the Filter Board, impart the essential character to the video teleconferencing server, that China is the country of origin of the video teleconferencing server for purposes of U.S. Government procurement.

Section 177.29, CBP Regulations (19 CFR 177.29), provides that a notice of final determination shall be published in the **Federal Register** within 60 days of the date the final determination is issued. Section 177.30, CBP Regulations (19 CFR 177.30), provides that any party-at-interest, as defined in 19 CFR 177.22(d), may seek judicial review of a

final determination within 30 days of publication of such determination in the **Federal Register**.

Dated: September 11, 2013.

Sandra L. Bell,

Executive Director, Regulations and Rulings, Office of International Trade.

Attachment

HQ H218360

September 11, 2013

MAR–2 OTF:CTF:VS H218360 KSG

Vanessa P. Sciarra

Holland & Knight

2099 Pennsylvania Ave. NW

Suite 100

Washington, DC 20006

RE: Final determination; country of origin of video teleconferencing server; substantial transformation

Dear Ms. Sciarra:

This is in response to your letter, submitted May 2, 2012, supplemental submission dated October 22, 2012, and emails on July 22, and August 14, 2013, requesting a final determination on behalf of CyberPoint International Inc., pursuant to subpart B of part 177 of the U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") Regulations (19 CFR Part 177). Under these regulations, which implement Title III of the Trade Agreements Act of 1979 ("TAA"), as amended (19 U.S.C. 2511 et seq.). CBP issues country of origin advisory rulings and final determinations as to whether an article is or would be a product of a designated country or instrumentality for the purposes of granting waivers of certain "Buy American" restrictions in U.S. law or practice for products offered for sale to the U.S. Government.

This final determination concerns the country of origin of the video teleconferencing server Prescient T7–FW

("the Server"). As a U.S. manufacturer and wholesaler, CyberPoint International LLC. is a party-at-interest within the meaning of 19 CFR 177.22(d)(1), and is entitled to request this final determination.

FACTS

This case involves the Server which is designed to communicate in a secure environment. The basic functionality of the product is to capture motion picture images and sound and send them digitally (via Ethernet) to a similar unit at a different location, where the digital data is reconstructed into motion picture and sound. In addition, the Server ensures that digital data (motion picture and sound) is sent securely between the two units, making the ability to infiltrate the unit via eavesdropping or malware through the network connection more difficult. You state that the security feature adds approximately 40 percent of the unit's value.

The Server is comprised of a video processing electronic circuit board ("Video Board") which includes the codec; a network filter electronic circuit board ("Filter Board"); a housing case; a power supply circuit board; minor components, which include a heat sink, standoff hardware and screws, network cables and wire harnesses; and CyberPoint's proprietary software known as the CyberPoint Linux Firewall ("Linux software"). The Linux software allows the Filter Board to inspect each Ethernet packet of information as it enters the LAN port of the Video Board, and to accept only those packets needed to perform the video teleconferencing functionality. You state that the Linux software is designed, developed and installed in the United States at great expense and with many man hours in its engineering, development and design by cyber-security professionals with years of experience in creating defensive solutions.

The Server can be used with video cameras, microphones and video display; however, these are optional attachments and are not part of the product under consideration.

The key hardware components are the Video Board, which converts image and sound into digital data, and the Filter Board, programmed with Linux software, which transmits the digital data via a LAN connector over the Ethernet and protects the connection from malware infiltration. The Video Board, including the codec, is manufactured in China, and has connections for various video input and output formats, two USB connections, and two Ethernet connections. One of the Ethernet connections interfaces with a microphone to capture sound, and the other interfaces with a LAN.

Two scenarios are presented. In the first scenario, the Video Board lacks the LAN connection when imported, meaning that it cannot transmit data. In the second scenario, the Video Board is fully functional as imported. Once imported into the U.S., the LAN connection is removed, the hole for this connection in the rear sheet metal of the unit is covered, a modification is made to the rear sheet metal to provide for a new connection point, and CyberPoint installs another cable that connects from the Filter Board to the

new connection point. The LAN connector hardware is produced in the U.S. and developed by CyberPoint at its facilities in the U.S. CyberPoint states that the purposes of its installation of the LAN connection is to wipe the device clean from any malware residing in the original equipment.

The Filter Board is a circuit board that provides the necessary LAN connection of the Server and the secure connection that ensures no malware infiltrates the system during a videoconferencing session or during off hours. The Filter Board is made from a DreamPlug unit manufactured in China, a mini generic computer housed in a plug that contains a blank non-functional circuit board. In the U.S., the DreamPlug is disassembled; and the circuit board is removed, mounted on an aluminium heat sink, wired and programmed with Linux software, and configured, reinstalled and mounted on the Server's metal case. The programming of the Filter Board with Linux software inputs the connectivity functionality, so that digital data can be transmitted securely from one unit to another.

The power supply and metal case for the server are produced in China. The heat sink is produced in the U.S.

The assembly of the various components in the U.S. involves the following:

- As stated above, holes are drilled in the metal case so the Filter Board and LAN connector hardware can be mounted;
- The DreamPlug is disassembled and the blank circuit board is removed, the Linux software is downloaded, and the card is then re-installed. This process takes approximately 4.5 hours;
- The Video Board is removed from the case and it is connected to the LAN connector with a network cable. Under the second scenario, the existing LAN connection has to be removed as well;
- A wire harness is installed to route the cables, and the Filter Board is installed to the heat sink. The LAN network connector is installed through the rear of the metal case. This takes approximately 2.5 hours;
- The finished Server is tested, labeled and packaged.

Counsel states that the overall assembly process in the U.S. takes approximately 20 hours to complete each unit.

ISSUE

What is the country of origin of the Server?

LAW AND ANALYSIS:

Pursuant to subpart B of part 177, 19 CFR 177.21 et seq., which implements Title III of the Trade Agreements Act of 1979, as amended (19 U.S.C. 2511 et seq.) ("TAA"), CBP issues country of origin advisory rulings and final determinations as to whether an article is or would be a product of a designated country or instrumentality for the purposes of granting waivers of certain "Buy American" restrictions in U.S. law or practice for products offered for sale to the U.S. government. Under the rule of origin set forth under 19 U.S.C. 2518(4)(B), an article is a product of a country or instrumentality only if (i) it is wholly the growth, product, or manufacture of that country or

instrumentality, or (ii) in the case of an article which consists in whole or in part of materials from another country or instrumentality, it has been substantially transformed into a new and different article of commerce with a name, character, or use distinct from that of the article or articles from which it was so transformed. *See also* 19 CFR 177.22(a).

In rendering advisory rulings and final determinations for purposes of U.S. government procurement, CBP applies the provisions of subpart B of part 177 consistent with the Federal Acquisition Regulations. See 19 CFR 177.21. In this regard, CBP recognizes that the Federal Acquisition Regulations restrict the U.S. Government's purchase of products to U.S.-made or designated country end products for acquisitions subject to the TAA. See 48 CFR 25.403(c)(1). The Federal Acquisitions Regulations define "U.S.-made end product" as:

. . . an article that is mined, produced, or manufactured in the United States or that is substantially transformed in the United States into a new and different article of commerce with a name, character, or use distinct from that of the article or articles from which it was transformed.

In Data General v. United States, 4 CIT 182 (1982), the court determined that for purposes of determining eligibility under item 807.00, Tariff Schedule of the United States (predecessor to subheading 9802.00.80, Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States), the programming of a foreign Programmable Read Only Memory Chip ("PROM") in the United States substantially transformed the PROM into a U.S. article.

In programming the imported PROM's, the U.S. engineers systematically caused various distinct electronic interconnections to be formed within each integrated circuit.

The court noted that it was undisputed that programming altered the character of a PROM and that in that case, the essence of the article, its interconnections or stored memory, was established by programming.

In this case, we find that the essence of the imported good is its use as a video conferencing server. The Video Board and the Filter Board, which is a configuration of the DreamPlug unit, are the hardware components that impart the ability of the product to capture sound and image and to transmit that digital data so they impart the essential character to the finished good. While the addition of the U.S. developed software may add 40 percent to the unit's value, the software only adds a characteristic to the Server, but does not change its main function which is to send images and sound. Since the hardware components that impart the essential character to the finished product are of Chinese origin, we find that the country of origin of the Server for government procurement purposes is China.

HOLDING:

Based on the facts provided, the Server is considered a product of China for government procurement purposes.

Notice of this final determination will be given in the **Federal Register**, as required by

19 CFR 177.29. Any party-at-interest other than the party which requested this final determination may request, pursuant to 19 CFR 177.31, that CBP reexamine the matter anew and issue a new final determination. Pursuant to 19 CFR 177.30, any party-at-interest may, within 30 days of publication of the **Federal Register** Notice referenced above, seek judicial review of this final determination before the Court of International Trade.

Sincerely,

Sandra L. Bell

Executive Director, Regulations and Rulings Office of International Trade

[FR Doc. 2013-22765 Filed 9-18-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9111-14-P

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FR-5700-FA-11]

Announcement of Funding Awards for the Self-Help Homeownership Opportunity Program (SHOP) for Fiscal Year 2013

AGENCY: Office of Community Planning and Development, HUD.

ACTION: Announcement of funding awards.

SUMMARY: In accordance with Section 102(a)(4)(C) of the Department of Housing and Urban Development Reform Act of 1989, this announcement notifies the public of the Department's funding decisions with respect to the Fiscal Year 2013 (FY2013) Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA) for the Self-Help Homeownership Opportunity Program (SHOP) that was posted on the Grants.gov Web site. This announcement contains the names and addresses of the recipients of the FY2013 SHOP grant awards.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Ginger Macomber, SHOP Program Manager, Office of Affordable Housing Programs, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 Seventh Street SW., Washington, DC 20410–4500, telephone (202) 402–4605. Hearing or speech-impaired individuals may access this number via TTY by calling the toll-free Federal Information Service at (800) 877–8339.

supplementary information: The SHOP program provides federal grants on a competitive basis to national and regional nonprofit organizations and consortia to undertake self-help homeownership housing programs. Grantees may carry out SHOP activities directly and/or distribute SHOP funds to local nonprofit affiliate organizations. SHOP Grant funds must be used for land acquisition, infrastructure

improvements, and for reasonable and necessary planning, administration and management costs (not to exceed 20 percent). The average SHOP Grant expenditure for the combined costs of land acquisition and infrastructure improvements must not exceed \$15,000 per SHOP unit. The construction or rehabilitation costs of each SHOP unit must be funded with other leveraged public and private funds.

Low-income homebuyers must contribute a significant amount of sweat equity towards the development of the SHOP units. Sweat equity involves participation in the construction of the housing, which can include, but is not limited to, assisting in the painting, carpentry, trim work, drywall, roofing, and siding for the housing. Reasonable accommodations must be made for homebuyers with disabilities. Labor is also contributed by community volunteers. The SHOP funds together with the homebuyer's sweat equity and volunteer labor contributions significantly reduce the cost of the housing for the low-income homebuvers.

SHOP units must be decent, safe, and sanitary non-luxury dwellings that comply with state and local codes, ordinances, and zoning requirements, and with the SHOP requirements (including requirements for energy-efficiency and water conservation). The SHOP units must be sold to homebuyers at prices below the prevailing market price. A homebuyer's sweat equity contribution must not be mortgaged or otherwise restricted upon future sale of the SHOP unit.

HUD awarded FY2013 SHOP grants to the following self-help housing organizations in accordance with the competitive criteria set forth in the FY2013 SHOP NOFA.

Community Frameworks, 409 Pacific Avenue Suite 105,	Φ4 E70 E00
Bremerton, WA 98337 Habitat for Humanity Inter- national, 270 Peachtree	\$1,579,500
Street NW., Atlanta, GA	7 700 007
30303 Housing Assistance Council,	7,700,637
1025 Vermont Avenue	
NW., Washington, DC 20005	2,846,803
Tierra del Sol Housing Corporation (lead entity),	
Western States Housing	
Consortium, 210 East	
Idaho Avenue, 880 An-	
thony Drive, Las Cruces,	
NM 88005	666,929.
Total	12,793,869

These organizations propose to distribute their SHOP grant funds to

over a hundred local affiliates and consortium members that will acquire and prepare land for development, provide homebuyer counseling, select homebuyers, coordinate the homebuyer sweat equity and volunteer labor efforts, and assist in arranging interim and permanent financing. At least 718 units of self-help homeownership housing will be completed and conveyed to lowincome homebuyers.

Dated: September 11, 2013.

Mark Johnston,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Special Needs. [FR Doc. 2013–22817 Filed 9–18–13; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4210–67–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

[FWS-R8-ES-2013-N240; FXES11130800000-134-FF08E00000]

Endangered Species Recovery Permit Applications

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of receipt of permit applications; request for comment.

SUMMARY: We, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, invite the public to comment on the following applications to conduct certain activities with endangered species. With some exceptions, the Endangered Species Act (Act) prohibits activities with endangered and threatened species unless a Federal permit allows such activity. The Act also requires that we invite public comment before issuing these permits.

DATES: Comments on these permit applications must be received on or before October 21, 2013.

ADDRESSES: Written data or comments should be submitted to the Endangered Species Program Manager, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Region 8, 2800 Cottage Way, Room W–2606, Sacramento, CA 95825 (telephone: 916–414–6464; fax: 916–414–6486). Please refer to the respective permit number for each application when submitting comments.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Daniel Marquez, Fish and Wildlife Biologist; see **ADDRESSES** (telephone: 760–431–9440; fax: 760–431–9624).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The following applicants have applied for scientific research permits to conduct certain activities with endangered species under section 10(a)(1)(A) of the Act (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*). We seek review and comment from local, State,