Form	Number of respondents	Responses per year	Total responses	Hours per response	Total burden hours
Annual Plan Progress Report	54 54	1 1	54 54	40 16	2,160 864
Totals		2	108		3,024

Total Burden Cost (capital/startup): \$0.

Total Burden Cost (operating/ maintaining): \$0.

Description: ETA seeks approval of an annual plan narrative and one annual progress report as requirements for reemployment services allotments. In Program Year 2001 budget for Wagner-Peyser Act, State Employment Service Agencies (SESA) were allocated additional funds for re-employment services to Unemployment Insurance (UI) claimants. The annual plan and progress report will provide necessary information to assist the Secretary in determining if the proposed SESA reemployment services are acceptable and whether or not the purpose of the funds was achieved. Specific reporting is necessary to adequately track this activity separately from regular operations and record keeping. While this collection sets up new requirements, SESA staff can existing frameworks and systems to prepare the plan and collect any new information.

Ira Mills,

Departmental Clearance Officer. [FR Doc. 01–13177 Filed 5–24–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Office of the Secretary

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

May 18, 2001.

The Department of Labor (DOL) has submitted the following public information collection requests (ICRs) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–13, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). A copy of this ICR, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained by calling the Department of Labor. To obtain documentation contact Darrin King at (202) 693–4129 or E-Mail King-Darrin@dol.gov.

Comments should be sent to Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: OMB Desk Officer for ETA, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503 ((202) 395–7316), within 30 days from the date of this publication in the **Federal Register**.

The OMB is particularly interested in comments which:

• Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

• Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

• Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

• Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Agency: Employment and Training Administration (ETA).

Title: Benefit Rights and Experience Report.

OMB Number: 1205–0177.

Affected Public: State, Local, or Tribal Government.

Frequency: Quarterly. Number of Respondents: 53. Number of Annual Responses: 216. Estimated Time Per Response: 30

minutes.

Total Burden Hours: 108. Total Annualized Capital/Startup Costs: \$0.

Total Annual Costs (operating/ maintaining systems or purchasing services): \$0.

Description: The ETA Form 218 provides information used in solvency studies, in budgeting projections and for evaluation of adequacy of benefit formulas to analyze the effects of proposed changes in state law.

Ira L. Mills,

Departmental Clearance Officer. [FR Doc. 01–13286 Filed 5–24–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Notice of Determinations Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and NAFTA Transitional Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, the Department of Labor herein presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance for workers (TA–W) issued during the period of May, 2001.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made and a certification of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance to be issued, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Act must be met.

(1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, have become totally or partially separated,

(2) That sales or production, or both, of the firm of subdivision have decreased absolutely, and

(3) That increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by the firm or appropriate subdivision have contributed importantly to the separations, or threat thereof, and to the absolute decline in sales or production.

Negative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In each of the following cases the investigation revealed that criterion (3) has not been met. A survey of customers indicated that increased imports did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the firm.

- TA–W–39,070 & A; Eagle Knitting Mills, Shawano, WI & Kenosha, WI
- TA-W-38,788; Cabinet Works LLC, Distinctive Woodworks LLC, Jefferson City, TN
- TA–W–39,877; Standard Forged Products, Inc., Johnstown, PA

In the following cases, the investigation revealed that the criteria for eligibility have not been met for the reasons specified. Increased imports did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the firm.

- TA–W–38,921; Glenshaw Glass Co., Inc., Glenshaw, PA
- TA–W–38,865 ; I and H Engineered Systems, Inc., Gaylord, MI
- TA–Ŵ–39,151; Oxford Automotive, Inc., Alma, MI

The workers firm does not produce an article as required for certification under Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974.

- TA-W-39,080; Aur Resources (USA), Inc., Sparks, NV
- TA–W–38,708; AAA Action Roofing, Torrance, CA
- TA-W-38,853; Kasle Steel Dearborn Processing, Inc., Auto Press Product Div., Dearborn, MI

TA–W–38,878; Richard Leeds International, Scotland Neck, NC

The investigation revealed that criteria (2) has not been met. Sales or production did not decline during the relevant period as required for certification.

TA–W–39,148; Access Electronics, Inc., Gurnee, IL

Affirmative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

The following certifications have been issued; the date following the company name and location of each determination references the impact date for all workers of such determination.

- TA–W–38,931; I.C. Isaacs & Co., Inc., Baltimore, MD: March 16, 2000.
- TA–W–39,092; Fontaine International, Fontaine Fifth Wheel, Rocky Mount, NC: April 5, 2000.
- TA–W–38,810; Truform Rubber Products, Hudson, OH: February 28, 2000.
- TA–W–38,778; Capitol Manufacturing Co., Fayetteville, NC: February 19, 2000.
- TA–W–38,847; Racewear Designs, Inc., El Cajon, CA: March 4, 2000.
- TA–W–39,190 & A, B; Wright's LLC, Allentown, PA, Orwigsburg, PA and Auburn, PA: April 19, 2000.
- TA–W–39,010; Intel Puerto Rico, LTD, Las Piedras, PR: March 28, 2000.
- TA-W-39,169; Red Cap-VF Workwear, VF Imagewear, Mathiston, MS: April 23, 2000.
- TA-Ŵ-38,898; LTV Steel Mining Co., Hoyt Lakes, MN: March 5, 2000.
- TA–W–38,783; O–Z/Gedney, Pitston, PA: February 21, 2000.
- TA–W–38,946; Maxi Switch, Inc., Tucson, AZ: March 13, 2000.
- TA–W–38,930; Harvest Time, Inc., New York, NY: March 14, 2000.
- TA–W–38,802; Inman Mills, Inman, SC: February 23, 2000.

- TA-W-39,066; Scimed Life Systems, Inc., A Div. of Boston Scientific Corp., Maple Grove, MN: March 30, 2000.
- TA–W–39,064; Quadion Corp., Minnesota Rubber Div., Minneapolis, MN: April 5, 2000.
- TA–W–39,132; Nypro Alabama, Inc., Dothan, AL: April 10, 2000.
- TA–W–39,004 & A; AgriFrozen Foods, Grandview, WA and Walla Walla, WA: March 23, 2000.

Also, pursuant to Title V of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act (P.L. 103–182) concerning transitional adjustment assistance hereinafter called (NAFTA– TAA) and in accordance with Section 250(a), Subchapter D, Chapter 2, Title II, of the Trade Act as amended, the Department of Labor presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for NAFTA–TAA issued during the month of May, 2001.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made and a certification of eligibility to apply for NAFTA–TAA the following group eligibility requirements of Section 250 of the Trade Act must be met:

(1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, (including workers in any agricultural firm or appropriate subdivision thereof) have become totally or partially separated from employment and either—

(2) That sales or production, or both, of such firm of subdivision have decreased absolutely,

(3) That imports from Mexico or Canada of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by such firm or subdivision have increased, and that the increases imports contributed importantly to such workers' separations or threat or separation and to the decline in sales or production of such firm or subdivision; or

(4) That there has been a shift in production by such workers' firm or subdivision to Mexico or Canada of articles like or directly competitive with articles which are produced by the firm or subdivision.

Negative Determinations NAFTA-TAA

In each of the following cases the investigation revealed that criteria (3) and (4) were not met. Imports from Canada or Mexico did not contribute importantly to workers' separations. There was no shift in production from the subject firm to Canada or Mexico during the relevant period.

NAFTA–TAA–04840; Newport Steel Corp., Newport, KY

- NAFTA–TAA–04588; Capitol Manufacturing Co., Fayetteville, NC
- NAFTA–TAA–04581; & A; Eagle Knitting Mills, Inc., Shawano, WI and Kenosha, WI
- NAFTA–TAA–04841; Allied Textiles USA, LLC, Charlotte, NC
- NAFTA–TAA–04658; Racewear Designs, Inc., El Cajon, CA
- NAFTA–TAA–04723; Taylor Lumber and Treating, Sheridan, OR
- NAFTA–TAA–04794 & A, B; Wright's LLC, Allentown, PA, Orwigsburg, PA and Auburn, PA
- NAFTA-TAA-04517 & A; Mirro Co., Div. of Newell-Rubbermaid, Mirro/ Foley Plant 20, Chilton, WI and Mirro/Foley Plant 10, Manitowoc, WI
- NAFTA–TAA–04760; Oxford Automotive, Inc., Alma, MI

The investigation revealed that the criteria for eligibility have not been met for the reasons specified.

The workers firm does not produce an article as required for certification under Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974.

- NAFTA–TAA–04558; Modus Media International, Freemont Div., Freemont, CA
- NAFTA–TAA–04659; Kasle Steel Dearborn Processing, Inc., Auto Press Products Div., Dearborn, MI
- Affirmative Determinations NAFTA– TAA
- NAFTA-TAA-04806; Nypro Alabama, Inc., Dothan, AL: April 26, 2000.
- NAFTA–TAA–04831; Avery Dennison, Spartan International Div., Holt, MI: March 2, 2000.
- NAFTA–TAA–04733; Scimed Life Systems, Inc., A Div. of Boston Scientific Corp., Maple Grove, MN: April 4, 2000.
- NAFTA–TAA–04719; Wolverine Roof Truss, Inc., Milan, MI: March 21, 2000.
- NAFTA–TAA–04807; Cooper Wiring Devices, Div. of Cooper Industries, a/k/a Eagle Electronic Manufacturing Co, Long Island City, NY: April 2, 2000.
- NAFTA–TAA–04519; Mallinckrodt, Inc., Plymouth, MN: January 22, 2000.

I hereby certify that the aforementioned determinations were issued during the month of May, 2001. Copies of these determinations are available for inspection in Room C– 5311, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210 during normal business hours or will be mailed to persons who write to the above address. Dated: May 18, 2001. Edward A. Tomchick, Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance. [FR Doc. 01–13227 Filed 5–24–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-38,768]

Loogootee Manufacturing, Loogootee, IN; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on March 5, 2001 in response to a petition filed on behalf of workers at Loogootee Manufacturing, Loogootee, Indiana.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC this 10th day of May, 2001.

Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance. [FR Doc. 01–13225 Filed 5–24–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-38,766]

SPEC Cast, Dyersville, IA; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on March 5, 2001, in response to a worker petition which was filed on behalf of workers at Spec Cast, Dyersville, Iowa.

An active certification covering the petitioning group of workers at the subject firm remains in effect (TA–W–38,714). Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC this 16th day of May, 2001.

Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 01–13222 Filed 5–24–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Benefits, Timeliness and Quality Data Collection System; Comment Request

ACTION: Notice; request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with a provision of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 at 44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A). This program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed. Currently, the Employment and Training Administration is soliciting comments concerning the proposed extension of the Benefits, Timeliness and Quality (BTQ) data collection system.

A copy of the proposed information collection request can be obtained by contacting the office listed below in the addressee section of this notice.

DATES: Written comments must be submitted to the office listed in the addressee section below on or before July 24, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Delores A. Mackall, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Room S–4231, Washington, DC 20210, 202–693–3183 (this is not a toll-free number). SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

The Secretary of Labor under the Social Security Act, Title III, Section 302 (42 U.S.C. 502), funds the necessary cost of proper and efficient administration of each State Unemployment Insurance (UI) law. The BTQ program collects information and analyses data to do this. The BTQ measures, which have been implemented, look at timeliness and quality of States' performance, various administrative actions and administrative decisions concerning UI benefit operations.

II. Review Focus

The Department of Labor is particularly interested in comments which:

• Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

• Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

• Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

• Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.

III. Current Actions

Continued collection of data under the BTQ system will provide for a comprehensive evaluation of the overall UI program. The BTQ program has been, and will continue to be, one of the primary means used by UI Regional and National Office staff to assess performance levels of individual States and, as a basis for oversight, to discharge the Secretary of Labor's responsibility for determining proper and efficient administration. The SESAs also use the BTQ measures for their internal program assessment.

Type of Review: Extension.

Agency: Employment and Training Administration.

Title: Benefits, Timeliness and Quality Review.

OMB Number: 1205–0359.

Affected Public: State Government.

Total Respondents: 53.

Frequency: Monthly and Quarterly.

Total Responses: 54,908.

Average Time per Response: 0.7 hours.

Estimated Total Burden Hours: 38,486 hours.

Total Burden Cost (operating/ maintaining): 0.

Dated: May 17, 2001.

Grace A. Kilbane,

Administrator, Office of Workforce Security. [FR Doc. 01–13221 Filed 5–24–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–P