

allowance for shattered grapes en route or at destination for grapes in consumer containers.

The requested change of the petitioners for a 10 percent allowance for shattered berries in addition to the 12% tolerance for total defects could potentially allow for 22 percent defects in a lot and still grade U.S. No. 1 Table. We believe that such a possible percentage is too high and would not appropriately reflect what is expected by industry and consumers in a U.S. No. 1 Table grade. Accordingly, AMS is not proposing this requested 10 percent allowance because AMS believes it would weaken the standard and reduce consumer confidence in the grade. However, AMS recognizes that a change in packaging and marketing has occurred in the Table Grape (European or Vinifera Type) industry. Additionally, AMS believes that due to improvements in packaging, marketing, and shipping that a revision to the current U.S. Standards would be beneficial to both the industry and consumers.

Therefore, AMS is proposing a 5 percent allowance for shattered grapes be added to the United States Standards for Grades of Table Grapes (European or Vinifera Type). The proposed allowance is specific to table grapes en route or at destination in consumer sized packages.

The standards currently provide in section 51.886, Table II Tolerances En Route or at Destination, a 12 percent total tolerance for bunches and berries failing to meet the requirements of grade for en route or at destination. Revising section 51.886, Table II, by adding a 5 percent allowance for shattered berries would mean that shattered berries would not be scored as a defect against the 12 percent total tolerance until the amount of shattered berries exceeds the 5 percent allowance. For example, if a lot has 17 percent shattered berries, 12 percent would be reported as a defect and the lot would meet the requirements of the U.S. No. 1 Table grade provided no other defects were present; however, if a lot of berries has 18 percent shattered berries 13 percent would be reported as a defect, which would cause the lot to fail to meet the requirements of the U.S. No. 1 Table grade by one percentage point.

To enable utilization of this revision in the 2008 season, this action provides a 30-day comment period for interested parties to comment on the proposed revision. Also, AMS is particularly interested in comments and factual data that would demonstrate that this proposed revision would either positively or negatively impact financially interested parties. Specifically, data that shows expected

financial losses due to adjustments made by shippers or conversely, expected additional expenses that would be incurred by receivers due to shattered berries in amounts of five percent or greater.

Accordingly, AMS proposes to amend the United States Standards for Grades of Table Grapes (European or Vinifera Type) as follows:

**List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 51**

Agricultural commodities, Food grades and standards, Fruits, Nuts, Reporting and record keeping requirements, Trees, Vegetables.

For reasons set forth in the preamble, 7 CFR part 51 is proposed to be amended as follows:

**PART 51—[AMENDED]**

1. The authority citation for Part 51 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 7 U.S.C. 1621—1627.

2. In (51.886, paragraph (b), Table II is revised to read as follows:

**Subpart—United States Standards for Grades of Table Grapes (European or Vinifera Type)**

**§ 51.886 Tolerances.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(A) For bunches failing to meet color requirements .....	10	10	10
(B) For bunches failing to meet requirements for minimum diameter of berries .....	10	10	10
(C) For bunches failing to meet stem color requirements .....	10	.....	.....
(D) For offsize bunches and for bunches and berries failing to meet the remaining requirements for the grade .....	12	12	12
(a) For shattered berries in consumer size packages an allowance of 5 percent is provided. Any percent of shattered berries exceeding the allowance of 5 percent shall be scored as berries failing to meet the requirements of the grade.			
Including in (D):			
(b) For permanent defects .....	8	8	8
(c) For serious damage .....	4	4	4
And, including in (c):			
(i) For serious damage by permanent defects .....	2	2	2
(ii) For decay .....	1	1	1

\* \* \* \* \*  
 Dated: February 19, 2008.  
**Lloyd C. Day,**  
*Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service.*  
 [FR Doc. 08–848 Filed 2–21–08; 12:25 pm]  
**BILLING CODE 3410-02-P**

**NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**10 CFR Parts 60, 63, 73, and 74**

**RIN 3150–AI06**

**Geologic Repository Operations Area Security and Material Control and Accounting Requirements; Extension of Comment Period**

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule: Extension of comment period.

**SUMMARY:** On December 20, 2007 (72 FR 72522), the Nuclear Regulatory

Commission (NRC) published for public comment a proposed rule on Geologic Repository Operations Area Security and Material Control and Accounting Requirements. The public comment period for this proposed rule was to have expired on March 4, 2008. The Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI) has requested an extension to May 5, 2008. Due to the complex nature of the proposed rule, the NRC has decided to extend the comment period until May 5, 2008. In a letter dated January 22, 2008, NEI requested the additional time to fully capture the relevant industry experience with the type of post September 11, 2001 security

enhancements discussed in the proposal.

**DATES:** The comment period has been extended and now expires on May 5, 2008. Comments received after this date will be considered if it is practical to do so, but the NRC is able to assure consideration only for comments received on or before this date.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments by any one of the following methods. Please include the following number RIN 3150-AI06 in the subject line of your comments. Comments on rulemakings submitted in writing or in electronic form will be made available to the public in their entirety in NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS). Personal information, such as your name, address, telephone number, e-mail address, etc., will not be removed from your submission.

*Mail comments to:* Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, ATTN: Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff.

*E-mail comments to:* [Rulemaking.Comments@nrc.gov](mailto:Rulemaking.Comments@nrc.gov). If you do not receive a reply e-mail confirming that we have received your comments, contact us directly at 301-415-1677. Comments can also be submitted via the Federal eRulemaking Portal <http://www.regulations.gov>.

*Hand deliver comments to:* 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852, between 7:30 a.m. and 4:15 p.m. Federal workdays. (Telephone 301-415-1677).

*Fax comments to:* Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission at 301-415-1101.

Publicly available documents related to this rulemaking, including comments, may be viewed electronically on the public computers located at the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR), O1 F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland. The PDR reproduction contractor will copy documents for a fee.

Publicly available documents created or received at the NRC after November 1, 1999, are available electronically at the NRC's Electronic Reading Room at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. From this site, the public can gain entry into ADAMS, which provides text and image files of NRC's public documents. If you do not have access to ADAMS or if there are problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, contact the PDR Reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737 or by e-mail to [pdr@nrc.gov](mailto:pdr@nrc.gov).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Merri Horn, telephone (301) 415-8126,

e-mail, [mlh1@nrc.gov](mailto:mlh1@nrc.gov) of the Office of Federal and State Materials and Environmental Management Programs, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 20th day of February 2008.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Annette Vietti-Cook,**

*Secretary of the Commission.*

[FR Doc. E8-3597 Filed 2-25-08; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA-2008-0216; Directorate Identifier 2008-CE-004-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

#### **Airworthiness Directives; Przedsiębiorstwo Doswiadczalno-Produkcyjne Szybownictwa "PZL-Bielsko" Model SZD-50-3 "Puchacz" Gliders**

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

**SUMMARY:** We propose to adopt a new airworthiness directive (AD) for the products listed above that would supersede an existing AD. This proposed AD results from mandatory continuing airworthiness information (MCAI) originated by an aviation authority of another country to identify and correct an unsafe condition on an aviation product. The MCAI describes the unsafe condition as:

On the pre-flight check of a SZD-50-3 glider, the Right Hand (RH) wing airbrake was found impossible to retract. Investigation revealed that the occurrence was caused by a loose bolt of the "V" shape airbrake bellcrank, named hereafter intermediate control lever. The Left Hand (LH) wing lever also presented, to a lesser extent, a loose bolt.

The proposed AD would require actions that are intended to address the unsafe condition described in the MCAI.

**DATES:** We must receive comments on this proposed AD by March 27, 2008.

**ADDRESSES:** You may send comments by any of the following methods:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* Go to <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.
- *Fax:* (202) 493-2251.
- *Mail:* U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M-

30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12-140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590.

• *Hand Delivery:* U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M-30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12-140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

#### **Examining the AD Docket**

You may examine the AD docket on the Internet at <http://www.regulations.gov>; or in person at the Docket Management Facility between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The AD docket contains this proposed AD, the regulatory evaluation, any comments received, and other information. The street address for the Docket Office (telephone (800) 647-5527) is in the **ADDRESSES** section. Comments will be available in the AD docket shortly after receipt.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Greg Davison, Aerospace Engineer, FAA, Small Airplane Directorate, 901 Locust, Room 301, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; telephone: (816) 329-4130; fax: (816) 329-4090.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Comments Invited**

We invite you to send any written relevant data, views, or arguments about this proposed AD. Send your comments to an address listed under the **ADDRESSES** section. Include "Docket No. FAA-2008-0216; Directorate Identifier 2008-CE-004-AD" at the beginning of your comments. We specifically invite comments on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of this proposed AD. We will consider all comments received by the closing date and may amend this proposed AD because of those comments.

We will post all comments we receive, without change, to <http://www.regulations.gov>, including any personal information you provide. We will also post a report summarizing each substantive verbal contact we receive about this proposed AD.

##### **Discussion**

On January 14, 2008, we issued AD 2008-02-09, Amendment 39-15339 (73 FR 3623, January 22, 2008). That AD required actions intended to address an unsafe condition on the products listed above.

AD 2008-02-09 was issued as an interim action in order to address the need for the immediate inspection for loose attachment bolts in the left-hand