

U.S.C. 1262(i)(2). One is that compliance with the standard is not likely to eliminate or adequately reduce the risk. 15 U.S.C. 1262(i)(2)(A)(i). Another is that it is unlikely that there will be substantial compliance with the voluntary standard. 15 U.S.C. 1262(i)(2)(A)(ii).

D. There Has Been a Significant Reduction in the Risk of Injury From Baby Walkers Since 1995

Based on data from the Commission's National Electronic Injury Surveillance System (NEISS), baby walker-related injuries have dropped 63 percent since 1995, from 20,100 emergency room treated injuries to 7,400.⁴ The number of U.S. live births has increased slightly, approximately 4%, since 1995. Comparing the estimated number of injuries over the same time period, the rate of injury per 1,000 live births has dropped 65% from 1995 to 2000.

CPSC received two reports of baby walker-related deaths in 2001, the first reports of baby walker deaths since 1997. The deaths were from head injuries incurred from falls down stairs. Investigations showed that both walkers were older-style walkers manufactured before the stair-fall improvements were incorporated into ASTM voluntary standard F977, Standard Consumer Safety Specification for Infant Walkers.

The Commission concludes that the consistent decrease in injuries would preclude a finding that currently available walkers present "an unreasonable risk of personal injury." 15 U.S.C. 1261(s).

E. ASTM Voluntary Standard F977-00

Beginning in 1994, after publication of the ANPR, CPSC staff worked with the ASTM Walker Subcommittee to add new performance requirements to the voluntary walker standard to address the stair fall hazard. The new performance requirements passed final ASTM balloting in August 1996, received final approval on October 10, 1996, and the revised F977 standard was published by ASTM in early 1997.

The revised standard incorporates a performance test methodology that simulates a child in a walker moving across the floor, through a doorway, and

to a stairway. A dummy represents a child in the walker. The walker is tested facing forward, backward, and sideways. If the walker passes through the 36-inch wide opening at the end of a test table and falls off the table, the walker fails to meet the performance requirements. If the walker stops at the edge of the test table and any part of the walker extends over the edge of the table, a tip-over test is performed. The walker fails to meet the requirements of the ASTM standard if it then falls off the table during the tip-over test.

The performance test parameters were selected to be representative of stringent conditions, including use of test dummy weights that reflect both ends of the weight range of children 6–15 months old expected to use walkers and maximum expected walker speeds, child strength capabilities, and tip-over conditions.

The CPSC staff conducted two 6-month special studies of walker-related incidents from November 1, 1999 through April 30, 2000 and November 1, 2000 through April 30, 2001 to identify the types of walkers involved in recent stair fall incidents. The results of those studies indicate that most of the recent stair fall incidents involve older walkers not meeting the revised F977 standard. In light of the results of this study, a Commission finding that compliance with ASTM standard F977 is not likely to eliminate or adequately reduce the risk could not be justified. 15 U.S.C. 1262(i)(2)(A)(i).

F. Compliance With ASTM Standard F977

According to information provided to CPSC staff by the Juvenile Products Manufacturers Association (JPMA), all five domestic walker manufacturers comply with the revised ASTM standard. CPSC staff estimates that more than 99 percent of all baby walkers sold in the U.S. between 1997 and 2001 were in compliance with the revised ASTM standard. The JPMA also indicates that 98 percent of the baby walkers currently available for sale in the U.S. comply with revised ASTM standard F977. Apparently, the remaining small percentage of non-complying walkers is imported by small firms. Thus the Commission could not at this time support a finding that it is unlikely that there will be substantial compliance with ASTM F977.

G. Conclusion

As a result of the foregoing analysis, the Commission has made a decision to terminate the baby walker stair fall rulemaking.

To avoid any potential misunderstanding, it is again reiterated that the Commission decision to terminate the baby walker stair fall proceeding has no effect on the FHSA baby walker mechanical injury prevention and labeling requirements at 16 CFR 1500.18(a)(6) and 1500.86(a)(4). These requirements remain in full force and effect.

Dated: May 2, 2002.

Todd A. Stevenson,
Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission.

[FR Doc. 02–11327 Filed 5–8–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6355–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

28 CFR Part 16

[AAG/A Order No. 266–2002]

Privacy Act of 1974; Implementation

AGENCY: Department of Justice.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Department of Justice, Bureau of Prisons, proposes to exempt a Privacy Act system of records from the following subsections of the Privacy Act: (e)(1) and (e)(5). This system of records is the "Inmate Central Records System, (JUSTICE/BOP-005)", as modified and described in today's notice section of the **Federal Register**. This system continues to be exempted from the subsections of the Privacy Act enumerated in 28 CFR 16.97(a) and (b), as previously promulgated.

The additional exemptions are necessary to preclude the compromise of institution security, to better ensure the safety of inmates, Bureau personnel and the public, to better protect third party privacy, to protect law enforcement and investigatory information, and/or to otherwise ensure the effective performance of the Bureau's law enforcement functions.

DATES: Submit any comments by July 8, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Address all comments to Mary Cahill, Management and Planning Staff, Justice Management Division, Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20530 (1400 National Place Building).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mary Cahill, (202) 307–1823.

This order relates to individuals rather than small business entities. Nevertheless, pursuant to the requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601–612, this order will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

submitted to it during the period specified in an ANPR. 15 U.S.C. 1262(g)(2). No such commitment and schedule were received in response to the 1994 ANPR.

⁴ Memorandum from Debra Sweet, Division of Hazard Analysis, Directorate for Epidemiology, to Barbara Jacobson, Project Manager for Baby Walkers, Directorate for Health Sciences, Baby Walker-Related Deaths and Injuries, March 13, 2002. This and other materials relevant to this proceeding are available on the CPSC website at www.cpsc.gov

List of Subjects in Part 16

Administrative practices and procedure, Freedom of Information Act, Government in the Sunshine Act, and Privacy Act.

Pursuant to the authority vested in the Attorney General by 5 U.S.C. 552a and delegated to me by Attorney General Order No. 793-78, it is proposed to amend 28 CFR part 16 as follows:

1. The authority for part 16 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 5 U.S.C. 301, 552, 552a, 552b(g) and 553; 18 U.S.C. 4203(a)(1); 28 U.S.C. 509, 510, 534; 31 U.S.C. 3717 and 9701.

2. It is proposed to amend § 16.97 by adding paragraphs (j) and (k) to read as follows:

§ 16.97 Exemption of Federal Bureau of Prisons Systems—limited access.

* * * * *

(j) The following system of records is exempted pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(j) from subsections (e)(1) and (e)(5): Bureau of Prisons Inmate Central Records System, (JUSTICE/BOP-005).

(k) These exemptions apply only to the extent that information in this system is subject to exemption pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(j). Where compliance would not appear to interfere with or adversely affect the law enforcement process, and/or where it may be appropriate to permit individuals to contest the accuracy of the information collected, e.g. public source materials, or those supplied by third parties, the applicable exemption may be waived, either partially or totally, by the Bureau. Exemptions from the particular subsections are justified for the following reasons:

(1) From subsection (e)(1) to the extent that the Bureau may collect information that may be relevant to the law enforcement operations of other agencies. In the interests of overall, effective law enforcement, such information should be retained and made available to those agencies with relevant responsibilities.

(2) From subsection (e)(5) because in the collection and maintenance of information for law enforcement purposes, it is impossible to determine in advance what information is accurate, relevant, timely and complete. Data which may seem unrelated, irrelevant or incomplete when collected may take on added meaning or significance during the course of an investigation or with the passage of time, and could be relevant to future law enforcement decisions. In addition, because many of these records come from the courts and other state and local criminal justice agencies, it is

administratively impossible for them and the Bureau to ensure compliance with this provision. The restrictions of subsection (e)(5) would restrict and delay trained correctional managers from timely exercising their judgment in managing the inmate population and providing for the safety and security of the prisons and the public.

* * * * *

Dated: April 26, 2002.

Robert F. Diegelman,

Acting Assistant Attorney General for Administration.

[FR Doc. 02-11579 Filed 5-8-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-05-P

POSTAL SERVICE**39 CFR Part 265****Release of Information**

AGENCY: Postal Service.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: This proposed rule changes the procedures for the release of information about holders of postage meter licenses. The procedures are necessary to ensure individual privacy while providing for the release of information needed for customer protection.

DATES: The Postal Service must receive your comments on or before June 10, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Mail or deliver written comments to the manager, Postage Technology Management, 1735 N Lynn Street, Room 5011, Arlington, Virginia 22209-6050. You can view and copy all written comments at the same address between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Wayne Wilkerson, 703-292-3782, or by fax, 703-292-4050.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The current regulation that provides for the release of the name and address of a holder of a postage meter permit or license was adopted for consumer protection reasons at a time when postage meters were used almost exclusively by businesses or firms. Circumstances have changed, however, and individuals now hold meter licenses as well. The new procedures for releasing the name and address of a particular holder of a postage meter license will ensure that legitimate expectations of individual privacy are met, while providing for the release of information needed for consumer protection. The new procedures remove

the processing of requests for information about meter license holders from field locations and enables Postage Technology Management at Postal Service Headquarters to ensure that information is released appropriately. The current regulation refers to information on a postage meter "permit." There is no "permit" to use a postage meter issued by the Postal Service. The Postal Service issues postage meter licenses to postage meter users. The amendment revises the terminology to reflect correct usage. Since the possession of leased postage meters can change over time, the Postal Service is requesting that the original or a photocopy of the envelope or wrapper bearing the relevant postage meter indicium be submitted with the request for information to validate the accuracy of the request and to ensure that the correct meter license holder is identified. The Postal Service is requesting that a copy or description of the contents of the mailpiece also be submitted to support that the sender is a business or firm and not an individual.

List of Subjects in 39 CFR Part 265

Administrative practice and procedure, Postal Service.

The Amendment

For the reasons set out in this document, the Postal Service is amending 39 CFR part 265 as follows:

PART 265—RELEASE OF INFORMATION

1. The authority citation for 39 CFR part 265 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 5 U.S.C. 552; 5 U.S.C. App. 3, 39 U.S.C. 401, 403, 410, 1001, 2601.

2. Amend § 265.6 by revising paragraphs (d) introductory text and (d)(2); by redesignating paragraphs (d)(3) through (d)(8) as paragraphs (d)(4) through (d)(9), respectively; and by adding a new paragraph (d)(3) to read as follows:

§ 265.6 Availability of Records.

* * * * *

(d) *Disclosure of names and addresses of customers.* Upon request, the names and addresses of specifically identified postal customers will be made available only as follows:

* * * * *

(2) *Name and address of permit holder.* The name and address of the holder of a particular bulk mail permit, permit imprint or similar permit (but not including postage meter licenses), and the name of any person applying for a permit in behalf of a holder, will be