such property in its capacity as a dealer will be treated as directly related to the business needs of the controlled foreign corporation under paragraph (g)(2)(ii)(A) of this section.

(2) Certain interest-bearing liabilities treated as dealer property—(i) In general. For purposes of this paragraph (g)(2)(ii)(C), an interest-bearing liability incurred by a controlled foreign corporation that is denominated in (or determined by reference to) a nonfunctional currency shall be treated as dealer property if the liability, by being denominated in such currency, reduces the controlled foreign corporation's currency risk with respect to dealer property, and the liability is identified on the controlled foreign corporation's records as a liability treated as dealer property before the close of the day on which the liability is incurred.

(*ii*) Failure to identify certain liabilities. If a controlled foreign corporation identifies certain interestbearing liabilities as liabilities treated as dealer property under the previous paragraph but fails to so identify other interest-bearing liabilities that manage its currency risk with respect to assets held that constitute dealer property, the Commissioner may treat such other liabilities as dealer property if the Commissioner determines that the failure to identify such other liabilities had as one of its principal purposes the avoidance of federal income tax.

(*iii*) *Effective date*. This paragraph (g)(2)(ii)(C)(2) applies only to gain or loss from an interest-bearing liability entered into by a controlled foreign corporation on or after the date § 1.954–2(g)(2)(ii)(C)(2) is published as a final regulation in the **Federal Register**.

\* \* \*

(iii) Special rule for foreign currency gain or loss from an interest-bearing liability. Except as provided in paragraph (g)(2)(ii)(C)(2) or (g)(5)(iv) of this section, foreign currency gain or loss arising from an interest-bearing liability is characterized as subpart F income and non-subpart F income in the same manner that interest expense associated with the liability would be allocated and apportioned between subpart F income and non-subpart F income under "1.861–9T and 1.861– 12T.

\* \* \* \* \*

#### Robert E. Wenzel,

Deputy Commissioner of Internal Revenue. [FR Doc. 02–11891 Filed 5–10–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4830–01–P

### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

## 40 CFR Part 52

[MN63-01-7288b; FRL-7165-8]

### Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Minnesota

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** We are proposing to approve a revision to the Minnesota State Implementation Plan (SIP) that updates Minnesota's performance test rule in the SIP. This plan was submitted by the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency on December 16, 1998, and sets out the procedures for facilities that are required to conduct performance tests to demonstrate compliance with their emission limits and/or operating requirements. The request is approvable because it satisfies the requirements of the Clean Air Act. Specifically, we are proposing to approve into the SIP Minnesota Rules 7017.2001 through 2060, and to amend in the SIP Minnesota Rules 7011.0010, 7011.0105, 7011.0510, 7011.0515, 7011.0610, 7011.0710, 7011.0805, 7011.1305, 7011.1405, and 7011.1410 as adopted by the state on July 13, 1998. In addition, we are proposing to remove from the SIP Minnesota Rule 7017.2000, since this rule was repealed by the state in 1993. In the final rules section of this Federal Register, we are approving the SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal, because we view this as a noncontroversial revision amendment and anticipate no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this proposed rule. If we receive adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. We will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

DATES: Written comments must be received on or before June 12, 2002. ADDRESSES: Written comments should be sent to: Carlton T. Nash, Chief, Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR–18J), EPA Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604–3590.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Christos Panos, Regulation Development

Section, Air Programs Branch (AR–18J), EPA Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 353–8328

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** For additional information, see the Direct Final notice which is located in the Rules section of this **Federal Register**. Copies of the request and the EPA's analysis are available for inspection at the above address. (Please telephone Christos Panos at (312) 353–8328 before visiting the Region 5 Office.)

Dated: January 17, 2002.

## David A. Ullrich,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 5. [FR Doc. 02–11735 Filed 5–10–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[CA 249-0349; FRL-7211-2]

### Revisions to the California State Implementation Plan, South Coast Air Quality Management District

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is proposing a conditional approval of revisions to the South Coast Air Quality Management District's portion of the California State Implementation Plan (SIP). These revisions concern oxides of nitrogen  $(NO_x)$  and oxides of sulfur  $(SO_x)$ emissions from facilities emitting 4 tons or more per year of NO<sub>X</sub> and/or SO<sub>X</sub> in the year 1990 or any subsequent year. We are proposing action on local rules that regulate these emission sources under the Clean Air Act as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act). These rules compose the South Coast Air Quality Management District's Regional Clean Air Incentives Market ("RECLAIM") program. We are taking comments on this proposal and plan to follow with a final action.

**DATES:** Any comments must arrive by July 12, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Mail comments to Andy Steckel, Rulemaking Office Chief (AIR– 4), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901.

You can inspect copies of the submitted SIP revisions and EPA's technical support document (TSD) at our Region IX office during normal business hours. You may also see copies of the submitted SIP revisions at the following locations: