

**DEPARTMENT OF LABOR****Employment Standards Administration****Proposed Collection; Comment Request****ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA95) (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)). This program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed. Currently, the Employment Standards Administration is soliciting comments concerning the proposed collection: (1) Comparability of Current Work to Coal Mine Employment; (2) Coal Mine Employment Affidavit; (3) Affidavit of Deceased Miner's Condition. A copy of the proposed information collection request can be obtained by contacting the office listed below in the addresses section of this notice.

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted to the office listed in the addresses section below on or before December 6, 2004.

**ADDRESSES:** Ms. Hazel M. Bell, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Ave., NW., Room S-3201, Washington,

DC 20210, telephone (202) 693-0418, fax (202) 693-1451, e-mail [bell.hazel@dol.gov](mailto:bell.hazel@dol.gov). Please use only one method of transmission for comments (mail, fax, or e-mail).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:****I. Background**

The Black Lung Benefits Act of 1977, as amended, 30 U.S.C. 901 *et seq.*, provides for the payment of benefits to coal miners who have contracted black lung disease as a result of coal mine employment, and their dependents and survivors. Once a miner has been identified as having performed non-coal mine work subsequent to coal mine employment, the miner or the miner's survivor is asked to complete a CM-913 to compare coal mine work to non-coal mine work. This employment information along with medical information is used to establish whether the miner is totally disabled due to black lung disease caused by coal mine employment. The CM-918 is an affidavit used to gather coal mine employment evidence only when primary evidence, such as pay stubs, W-2 forms, employer and union records, and Social Security records are unavailable or incomplete. The CM-1093 is an affidavit form for recording lay medical evidence, used in survivors' claims in which there is no medical evidence that addresses the miner's pulmonary or respiratory condition. This information collection is currently approved for use through April 30, 2005.

**II. Review Focus**

The Department of Labor is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

- Enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and

- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.*, permitting electronic submissions of responses.

**III. Current Actions**

The Department of Labor seeks the approval of the extension of this information collection in order to carry out its responsibility to determine eligibility for black lung benefits.

*Type of Review:* Extension.

*Agency:* Employment Standards Administration.

*Titles:* (1) Comparability of Current Work to Coal Mine Employment; (2) Coal Mine Employment Affidavit; (3) Affidavit of Deceased Miner's Condition.

*OMB Number:* 1215-0056.

*Agency Numbers:* CM-913, CM-918, CM-1093.

*Affected Public:* Individuals or households.

Forms	Total responses	Time per response (in minutes)	Burden hours
CM-913 .....	1,350	30	675
CM-918 .....	75	10	13
CM-1093 .....	75	20	25
Total .....	1,500	.....	713

*Total Respondents:* 1,500.

*Total Annual responses:* 1,500.

*Estimated Total Burden Hours:* 713.

*Frequency:* On occasion.

*Total Burden Cost (Capital/Startup):*

\$0.

*Total Burden Cost (Operating/Maintenance):* \$600.00.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval of the information collection request; they will also become a matter of public record.

Dated: September 28, 2004.

**Bruce Bohanon,**

Chief, Branch of Management Review and Internal Control, Division of Financial Management, Office of Management, Administration and Planning, Employment Standards Administration.

[FR Doc. 04-22329 Filed 10-4-04; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-CN-P**

**NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**

[Docket No. 72-1]

**General Electric Company, Morris Operation; Notice of Docketing of the Materials License SNM-2500 Amendment Application for the Morris Operation Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation**

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** License amendment.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Christopher M. Regan, Senior Project Manager, Spent Fuel Project Office, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555. Telephone: (301) 415-1179; fax number: (301) 415-1179; e-mail: [cmr1@nrc.gov](mailto:cmr1@nrc.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** By letter dated July 30, 2004, as supplemented August 13, 2004, General Electric Company submitted an application to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or the Commission), in accordance with 10 CFR part 72, requesting the amendment of the General Electric—Morris Operation (GEMO) independent spent fuel storage installation (ISFSI) Materials License SNM-2500 and the associated Technical Specifications (TS) for the GEMO ISFSI located in Grundy County, Illinois. The amendment application requests changes to the GEMO Consolidated Safety Analysis Report to reflect the current condition of the fuel stored and only that equipment necessary for its safe storage. The major changes proposed include revisions to information regarding the spent fuel inventory, deletion of the requirement for ventilation exhaust vacuum, deletion of the requirement to have certain instrumentation operative for equipment that is no longer in service, a change in the methods to verify pool water quality, revision to the description of the company organization, and removal of “receipt” from the license which effectively will not permit the GEMO facility to accept shipment of any additional spent fuel. Commensurate changes to the Technical Specifications to reflect these revisions are also proposed. This application supersedes in its entirety, General Electric’s amendment 10 application dated April 30, 1998, and amendment 11 application dated August 13, 2001. Amendment requests 10 and 11 were withdrawn by GEMO by letter dated March 1, 2004. This application was docketed under 10 CFR part 72; the GEMO ISFSI Docket No. 72-1. The amendment of an ISFSI license is subject to the Commission’s approval. The Director, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, or his designee, will determine if the amendment presents a genuine issue as to whether public health and safety will be significantly affected and may issue either a notice of a hearing or a notice of proposed action and opportunity for a hearing in accordance with 10 CFR 72.46(b)(1) or take immediate action on

the amendment in accordance with 10 CFR 72.46(b)(2).

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.390 of NRC’s “Rules of Practice,” final NRC records and documents regarding this proposed action, including the application for license amendment dated July 30, 2004, as supplemented August 13, 2004, and supporting documentation, are publicly available in the records component of NRC’s Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS). These documents may be inspected at NRC’s Public Electronic Reading Room at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html> under Accession Nos. ML042180412 and ML042250233. These documents may also be viewed electronically on the public computers located at the NRC’s Public Document Room (PDR), O1F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD 20852. The PDR reproduction contractor will copy documents for a fee. Persons who do not have access to ADAMS or who encounter problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, should contact the NRC PDR Reference staff by telephone at 1-800-397-4209 or 301-415-4737, or by e-mail to [pdr@nrc.gov](mailto:pdr@nrc.gov).

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 28 day of September 2004.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.  
**Christopher M. Regan,**  
*Senior Project Manager, Spent Fuel Project Office, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.*

[FR Doc. 04-22313 Filed 10-4-04; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590-01-P**

## **NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**

### **Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards; Procedures for Meetings**

#### **Background**

This notice describes procedures to be followed with respect to meetings conducted by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission’s (NRC’s) Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards (ACRS) pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA). These procedures are set forth so that they may be incorporated by reference in future notices for individual meetings.

The ACRS is a statutory group established by Congress to review and report on nuclear safety matters and applications for the licensing of nuclear facilities. The Committee’s reports become a part of the public record.

The ACRS meetings are conducted in accordance with FACA; they are normally open to the public and provide

opportunities for oral or written statements from members of the public to be considered as part of the Committee’s information gathering process. ACRS reviews do not normally encompass matters pertaining to environmental impacts other than those related to radiological safety.

The ACRS meetings are not adjudicatory hearings such as those conducted by the NRC’s Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel as part of the Commission’s licensing process.

### **General Rules Regarding ACRS Full Committee Meetings**

An agenda will be published in the **Federal Register** for each full Committee meeting. There may be a need to make changes to the agenda to facilitate the conduct of the meeting. The Chairman of the Committee is empowered to conduct the meeting in a manner that, in his/her judgment, will facilitate the orderly conduct of business, including making provisions to continue the discussion of matters not completed on the scheduled day on another meeting day. Persons planning to attend the meeting may contact the Designated Federal Official (DFO) specified in the **Federal Register** Notice prior to the meeting to be advised of any changes to the agenda that may have occurred.

The following requirements shall apply to public participation in ACRS full Committee meetings:

(a) Persons who plan to make oral statements and/or submit written comments at the meeting should provide 35 copies to the DFO at the beginning of the meeting. Persons who cannot attend the meeting but wishing to submit written comments regarding the agenda items may do so by sending a readily reproducible copy addressed to the DFO specified in the **Federal Register** Notice, care of the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001. Comments should be limited to items being considered by the Committee. Comments should be in the possession of the DFO five days prior to the meeting to allow time for reproduction and distribution.

(b) Persons desiring to make oral statements at the meeting should make a request to do so to the DFO. If possible, the request should be made five days before the meeting, identifying the topic(s) to be discussed and the amount of time needed for presentation so that orderly arrangements can be made. The Committee will hear oral statements on topics being reviewed at