

et seq.), and Secretary of Labor's Order No. 5-2007 (72 FR 31160).

Signed at Washington, DC, this 26th day of February 2010.

David Michaels,

Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health.

[FR Doc. 2010-4555 Filed 3-3-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-26-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Labor Surplus Area Classification Under Executive Orders 12073 and 10582

AGENCY: Employment and Training Administration, Labor.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The purpose of this notice is to update the 2010 Labor Surplus Areas annual list published in the **Federal Register**, Vol. 74, No. 209, Friday, October 30, 2009, pages 56217-56239.

DATES: *Effective Date:* The update of the annual list of labor surplus areas is effective immediately for all states, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Samuel Wright, Office of Workforce Investment, Employment and Training Administration, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Room S-4231, Washington, DC 20210. *Telephone:* (202) 693-2870 (This is not a toll-free number).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For supplementary, eligibility, classification procedures and petition for exceptional circumstances procedure information refer to the original 2010 Labor Surplus Area list at <http://edocket.access.gpo.gov/2009/pdf/E9-26165.pdf>.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 25th day of February 2010.

Jane Oates,

Assistant Secretary for Employment and Training Administration.

[FR Doc. 2010-4465 Filed 3-3-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FT-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-416; NRC-2010-0082]

Entergy Operations, Inc.; Grand Gulf Nuclear Station, Unit 1; Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is considering issuance of an exemption, pursuant to Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) section 73.5, "Specific exemptions," from the implementation date for certain new requirements of 10 CFR part 73, "Physical protection of plants and materials," for Facility Operating License No. DPR-46, issued to Entergy Operations, Inc. (Entergy, the licensee), for operation of the Grand Gulf Nuclear Station, Unit 1 (GGNS), located in Claiborne County, Mississippi. Therefore, as required by 10 CFR 51.21, the NRC performed an environmental assessment. Based on the results of the environmental assessment, the NRC is issuing a finding of no significant impact.

Environmental Assessment

Identification of the Proposed Action

The proposed action would exempt Entergy from the required implementation date of March 31, 2010, for several new requirements of 10 CFR part 73. Specifically, Entergy would be granted an exemption from being in full compliance with certain new requirements contained in 10 CFR 73.55 by the March 31, 2010, deadline. Entergy has proposed an alternate full compliance implementation date of March 31, 2011, 1 year beyond the date required by 10 CFR part 73. The proposed action, an extension of the schedule for completion of certain actions required by the revised 10 CFR part 73, does not involve any physical changes to the reactor, fuel, plant structures, support structures, water, or land at the Entergy site.

The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's application dated January 14, 2010, as supplemented by letters dated January 18 and February 4, 2010.

The Need for the Proposed Action

The proposed action is needed to provide the licensee with additional time to perform the required upgrades to the Entergy security system due to resource and logistical impacts of the spring 2010 refueling outage and other factors, such as limited vendor resources.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The NRC has completed its environmental assessment of the proposed exemption. The staff has concluded that the proposed action to extend the implementation deadline would not significantly affect plant safety and would not have a significant adverse effect on the probability of an accident occurring.

The proposed action would not result in an increased radiological hazard beyond those previously analyzed in the environmental assessment and finding of no significant impact made by the Commission in promulgating its revisions to 10 CFR part 73 as discussed in a **Federal Register** notice dated March 27, 2009 (74 FR 13926). There will be no change to radioactive effluents that affect radiation exposures to plant workers and members of the public. Therefore, no changes or different types of radiological impacts are expected as a result of the proposed exemption.

The proposed action does not result in changes to land use or water use, or result in changes to the quality or quantity of non-radiological effluents. No changes to the National Pollution Discharge Elimination System permit are needed. No effects on the aquatic or terrestrial habitat in the vicinity of the plant, or to threatened, endangered, or protected species under the Endangered Species Act, or impacts to essential fish habitat covered by the Magnuson-Steven's Act are expected. There are no impacts to the air or ambient air quality.

There are no impacts to historical and cultural resources. There would be no impact to socioeconomic resources. Therefore, no changes to or different types of non-radiological environmental impacts are expected as a result of the proposed exemption.

Accordingly, the NRC concludes that there are no significant environmental impacts associated with the proposed action. In addition, in promulgating its revisions to 10 CFR part 73, the Commission prepared an environmental assessment and published a finding of no significant impact [Part 73, Power Reactor Security Requirements, 74 FR 13926 (March 27, 2009)].

The NRC staff's safety evaluation will be provided in the exemption that will be issued as part of the letter to the licensee approving the exemption to the regulation, if granted.

Environmental Impacts of the Alternatives to the Proposed Action

As an alternative to the proposed actions, the NRC staff considered denial

of the proposed action (*i.e.*, the “no-action” alternative). Denial of the exemption request would result in no change in current environmental impacts. If the proposed action was denied, the licensee would have to comply with the March 31, 2010, implementation deadline. The environmental impacts of the proposed exemption and the “no-action” alternative are similar.

Alternative Use of Resources

The action does not involve the use of any different resources than those considered in the Final Environmental Statement for GGNS dated September 1981.

Agencies and Persons Consulted

In accordance with its stated policy, on January 19, 2010, the NRC staff consulted with the Mississippi State official, Mr. B. Smith of the Division of Radiological Health, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

Finding of No Significant Impact

On the basis of the environmental assessment, the NRC concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the NRC has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee’s letter dated January 14, 2010, as supplemented by letters dated January 18 and February 4, 2010. Portions of the January 14 and February 4, 2010, documents contain security-related information and, accordingly, are not available to the public. A redacted version of the licensee’s January 14, 2010, exemption request is provided in the licensee’s letter dated January 18, 2010. Other parts of the document may be examined, and/or copied for a fee, at the NRC’s Public Document Room (PDR), located at One White Flint North, Public File Area O–1F21, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland 20852. Publicly available records will be accessible electronically from the Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Public Electronic Reading Room on the Internet at the NRC Web site, <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. Persons who do not have access to ADAMS or who encounter problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS should contact the NRC PDR Reference staff by telephone

at 1–800–397–4209 or 301–415–4737, or send an e-mail to pdr.resource@nrc.gov.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 25th day of February 2010.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Balwant K. Singal,

Senior Project Manager, Plant Licensing Branch IV, Division of Operating Reactor Licensing, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 2010–4524 Filed 3–3–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50–272, 50–311 and 50–354; NRC–2010–0043]

PSEG Nuclear LLC, Hope Creek Generating Station and Salem Nuclear Generating Station, Unit Nos. 1 and 2; Exemption

1.0 Background

PSEG Nuclear LLC (PSEG or the licensee) is the holder of Facility Operating License Nos. DPR–70, DPR–75, and NPF–57, which authorize operation of the Salem Nuclear Generating Station, Unit Nos. 1 and 2 (Salem), and Hope Creek Generating Station (HCGS). The licenses provide, among other things, that the facility is subject to all rules, regulations, and orders of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC, the Commission) now or hereafter in effect.

The facilities consist of two pressurized-water reactors, Salem Unit Nos. 1 and 2, and a boiling-water reactor, HCGS, located in Salem County, New Jersey.

2.0 Request/Action

Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) part 73, “Physical protection of plants and materials,” section 73.55, “Requirements for physical protection of licensed activities in nuclear power reactors against radiological sabotage,” published as part of a final rule in the **Federal Register** on March 27, 2009 (74 FR 13926), requires licensees to protect, with high assurance, against radiological sabotage by designing and implementing comprehensive site security programs. The final rule became effective on May 26, 2009, and compliance with the final rule is required by March 31, 2010.

The amendments to 10 CFR 73.55 published on March 27, 2009, establish and update generically applicable security requirements similar to those previously imposed by Commission orders issued after the terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001, and

implemented by licensees. In addition, the amendments to 10 CFR 73.55 include additional requirements to further enhance site security based upon insights gained from implementation of the post September 11, 2001, security orders. It is from three of these new requirements that PSEG now seeks an exemption from the March 31, 2010, implementation date for HCGS and Salem. All other physical security requirements established by this recent rulemaking have already been or will be implemented by the licensee by March 31, 2010. Specifically, by two letters dated November 3, 2009, PSEG requested an exemption in accordance with 10 CFR 73.5, “Specific exemptions.” Due to the significant number of engineering design packages, procurement needs, and installation activities associated with the required security system upgrades, the licensee has requested an exemption from the March 31, 2010, implementation date specified in the new rule for three requirements in the rule. The items subject to the request for exemption are proposed to be implemented by December 17, 2010. The first letter, PSEG letter number LR–N09–0248 (Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Accession No. ML093100223), contains one enclosure that was designated by the licensee as containing safeguards information and, accordingly, the enclosure is not available to the public. The second letter, PSEG letter number LR–N09–0249 (ADAMS Accession No. ML093100222), including its two enclosures, is publicly available. The first enclosure is a redacted version of the safeguards enclosure in letter number LR–N09–0248 and the second enclosure is an environmental impact statement.

Based on a discussion with the NRC staff, as documented in an e-mail dated November 12, 2009 (ADAMS Accession No. ML093200070), PSEG submitted a letter dated November 20, 2009, to clarify the exemption request. The November 20, 2009, letter contains safeguards information and, accordingly, is not publicly available.

On December 15, 2009, the NRC staff held a closed meeting with PSEG to discuss the proposed exemption. A summary of the meeting was issued by the NRC staff on December 28, 2009 (ADAMS Accession No. ML093500644). As follow-up to the meeting, PSEG submitted two letters, dated December 22, 2009, that superseded the November 3, and November 20, 2009, submittals, with the exception of the environmental impact statement. The first letter, PSEG letter number LR–N09–0313, contains