

acquired corporation that accumulated before the non-inclusion exchange. \* \* \*

#### § 1.367(b)–5 [Corrected]

**Par. 7.** Section 1.367(b)–5 is amended as follows:

1. Paragraph (a)(1) is amended by revising the first sentence.
  2. Paragraph (f) is revised.
  3. Paragraph (g), *Example 1*(ii)(B), the second sentence is amended by removing the language “\$60 and \$0” and by adding “\$0 and \$60” in its place.
  4. Revising the fourth sentence of paragraph (g), *Example 1*(ii)(C) by removing the language “from FC”.
  5. Adding two new sentences after the fourth sentence of paragraph (g), *Example 1*(ii)(C).
  6. Adding a new sentence at the end of paragraph (g), *Example 2*(ii)(C).
- The additions and revisions read as follows:

#### § 1.367(b)–5 Distributions of stock described in section 355.

(a) \* \* \* (1) *Scope.* This section provides rules relating to a distribution described in section 355 (or so much of section 356 as relates to section 355) and to which section 367(b) applies. \* \* \*

(f) *Exclusion of deemed dividend from foreign personal holding company income.* In the event an amount is included in income as a deemed dividend by a foreign corporation under paragraph (c) or (d) of this section (including amounts received as an intermediate owner under the rule of § 1.367(b)–2(e)(2)), such deemed dividend shall not be included as foreign personal holding company income under section 954(c).

(g) \* \* \*

*Example 1.* \* \* \*

(ii) \* \* \*

(C) \* \* \* Under § 1.367(b)–2(e)(2), the \$20 deemed dividend is considered as having been paid by FC to FD, and by FD to USS, immediately prior to the distribution. Under paragraph (f) of this section, the deemed dividend is not included by FD as foreign personal holding company income under section 954(c). \* \* \*

*Example 2.* \* \* \*

(ii) \* \* \*

(C) \* \* \* Under paragraph (f) of this section, the deemed dividend is not included by FD as foreign personal holding company income under section 954(c).

**Dale D. Goode,**

*Federal Register Liaison, Office of Special Counsel (Modernization and Strategic Planning).*

[FR Doc. 00–28433 Filed 11–3–00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4830–01–U**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### United States Patent and Trademark Office

#### 37 CFR Part 1

**RIN 0651–AB05**

#### Changes To Implement Eighteen-Month Publication of Patent Applications; Correction

**AGENCY:** United States Patent and Trademark Office, Commerce.

**ACTION:** Final rule; correction.

**SUMMARY:** The United States Patent and Trademark Office (Office) published a final rule in the **Federal Register** of September 20, 2000, revising the rules of practice in patent cases to implement the eighteen-month publication provisions of the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999. This document corrects two errors in that final rule.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** November 29, 2000.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

*Concerning this rule:* Robert W. Bahr by telephone at (703) 308–6906, or by mail addressed to: Box Comments—Patents, Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231, or by facsimile to (703) 872–9411, marked to the attention of Robert W. Bahr.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Office published a final rule in the **Federal Register** of September 20, 2000 (65 FR 57023), entitled “Changes to Implement Eighteen-Month Publication of Patent Applications.” This document corrects errors in § 1.55 and § 1.99 as discussed below.

Section 1.55(a) should refer to “35 U.S.C. 119(a) through (d) and (f), 172, and 365(a) and (b)” rather than “35 U.S.C. 119(a) through (d), 172, and 365(a)” (references to 35 U.S.C. 119(f) and 365(b) were inadvertently omitted). Section 1.55(c) should refer to “35 U.S.C. 119(a) through (d) and (f), and 365(a)” rather than “35 U.S.C. 119(a) through (d) and 365(a)” (a reference to 35 U.S.C. 119(f) was inadvertently omitted).

Section 1.99(f) should not include its last sentence (“[N]o further submission on behalf of the member of the public will be considered, unless such submission raises new issues which could not have been earlier presented.”).

In rule FR Doc. 00–23822, published on September 20, 2000 (65 FR 57023), make the following corrections:

#### § 1.55 [Corrected]

1. On page 57053, in the third column, in § 1.55, in paragraph (a)

introductory text, in lines 5 and 6, correct “119(a) through (d), 172, and 365(a)” to read “119(a) through (d) and (f), 172, and 365(a) and (b);” and on page 57054, in the first column, in § 1.55, in paragraph (c) introductory text, in each of lines 4, 9, and 19, correct “119(a)–(d) or 365(a)” to read “119(a) through (d) and (f), or 365(a)”.

#### § 1.99 [Corrected]

2. On page 57056, in the second column, in § 1.99, in paragraph (f), in lines 14 through 19, remove the sentence “No further submission on behalf of the member of the public will be considered, unless such submission raises new issues which could not have been earlier presented.”

Dated: October 30, 2000.

**Albin F. Drost,**

*Acting General Counsel.*

[FR Doc. 00–28315 Filed 11–3–00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510–16–P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 132

**[FRL–6896–9]**

**RIN 2040–AD66**

#### Identification of Approved and Disapproved Elements of the Great Lakes Guidance Submission From the State of Wisconsin, and Final Rule

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA published the final Water Quality Guidance for the Great Lakes System (the Guidance) on March 23, 1995. Section 118(c) of the Clean Water Act (CWA) requires the Great Lakes States of Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Minnesota, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania and Wisconsin to adopt within two years of publication of the final Guidance (*i.e.*, March 23, 1997) minimum water quality standards, antidegradation policies and implementation procedures that are consistent with the Guidance, and to submit them to EPA for review and approval. Each of the Great Lakes States made those submissions.

Today, EPA is taking final action on the Guidance submission of the State of Wisconsin. EPA’s final action consists of approving those elements of the State’s submission that are consistent with the Guidance, disapproving those elements that are not consistent with the Guidance, and specifying in a final rule the elements of the Guidance that apply