

this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kevin G. Baer, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205-2221.

Authority: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2010).

Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on July 1, 2010, *ordered that*—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain caskets that infringe one or more of claims 1, 13, 27, and 44-53 of the '124 patent; claims 1, 6, 8, 9, 16, 17, 19, and 21 of the '291 patent; claims 1 and 2 of the '936 patent; claims 1, 2, 5-8, 11, and 12 of the '294 patent; and claims 1, 2, 4, and 5 of the '810 patent, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant is: Batesville Services, Inc., One Batesville Boulevard, Batesville, Indiana 47006.

(b) The respondent is the following entity alleged to be in violation of section 337, and is the party upon which the complaint is to be served: Ataudes Aguilares, S. de R.L. de C.v., Volcan Osorno 5829 C.P. 44250, Huentitan El Bajo, Guadalajara, Jal., Mexico.

(c) The Commission investigative attorney, party to this investigation, is Kevin G. Baer, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and

(3) For the investigation so instituted, the Honorable Paul J. Luckern, Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondent in accordance with section 210.13 of the

Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(d)-(e) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of the respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: July 2, 2010.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2010-16638 Filed 7-7-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-44 (Third Review)]

Sorbitol From France; Determination

On the basis of the record ¹ developed in the subject five-year review, the United States International Trade Commission (Commission) determines, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675d(c)) (the Act), that revocation of the antidumping duty order on sorbitol from France, would not be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

Background

The Commission instituted this review on July 1, 2009 (74 FR 31762, July 2, 2009) and determined on October 6, 2009 that it would conduct a full review. Notice of the scheduling of the Commission's review and of a public

¹ The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the **Federal Register** on December 17, 2009 (74 FR 66992). The hearing was held in Washington, DC, on May 11, 2010, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission transmitted its determination in this review to the Secretary of Commerce on July 1, 2010.² The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 4164 (June 2010), entitled *Sorbitol from France (Inv. No. 731-TA-44 (Third Review))*.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: July 1, 2010.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2010-16649 Filed 7-7-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-1070B (Review)]

Certain Tissue Paper Products From China

Determination

On the basis of the record ¹ developed in the subject five-year review, the United States International Trade Commission (Commission) determines, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)), that revocation of the antidumping duty order on certain tissue paper products from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.²

² The Commission determined to exercise its authority to extend the review period by up to 90 days pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1675 (c)(5) (B).

¹ The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

² Chairman Okun and Commissioner Pearson found two domestic like products—consumer tissue paper and bulk tissue paper. They determined that revocation of the antidumping duty order on bulk tissue paper would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time. They also determined that revocation of the antidumping duty order on consumer tissue paper would not be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

Background

The Commission instituted this review on February 1, 2010 (75 FR 5115) and determined on May 7, 2010 that it would conduct an expedited review (75 FR 28061, May 19, 2010).

The Commission transmitted its determination in this review to the Secretary of Commerce on July 1, 2010. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 4165 (July 2010), entitled *Certain Tissue Paper Products from China: Investigation No. 731-TA-1070B (Review)*.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: July 1, 2010.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2010-16650 Filed 7-7-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree; Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act ("CERCLA")

Notice is hereby given that on July 1, 2010, a proposed Consent Decree in the *United States v. CSX Transportation, Inc.*, Civil Action No. 2:10-cv-418-FtM-29SPC, was lodged with the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida, Ft. Myers Division.

In this action the United States sought judgment against defendant in favor of the United State for all previously unreimbursed costs incurred by the United States in response to the release or threatened release of hazardous substances at Nocatee Hull Creosote Superfund Site (the "Site"). The Site is comprised of three separate areas: A 38 acre former creosote wood treatment "Plant Area" located on the west side of Hull Avenue, a 35 acre portion of the adjacent "Peace River Flood Plain Area" to the west, and a 63 acre rural residential "Oak Creek Area" on the east side of Hull Avenue in Hull, Desoto County, FL.

Under the terms of the Consent Decree, CSX will undertake the remedial action selected by the United States Environmental Agency for the Site. Further, the terms of the Consent Decree require CSX to reimburse the United States for past costs, all future oversight costs, plus interest, incurred or to be incurred in the future by the government in connection with the remedial action at the Site.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication comments relating to the Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and either e-mailed to pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov or mailed to P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611, and should refer to *United States v. CSX Transportation, Inc.*, D.J. Ref. 90-11-3-09690.

The Consent Decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, Middle District of Florida, 2110 First Street, Suite 3-137, Ft. Myers, Florida 33901, and at the U.S. EPA Region 4, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303. During the public comment period, the Consent Decree may also be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site, http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent_Decrees.html. A copy of the Consent Decree may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611 or by faxing or e-mailing a request to Tonia Fleetwood (tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov), fax no. (202) 514-0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514-1547. In requesting a copy from the Consent Decree Library, please enclose a check in the amount of \$13.25 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) for a copy of the Consent Decree without appendices, or \$65.75 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) for a copy of the Consent Decree including appendices, payable to the U.S. Treasury or, if by e-mail or fax, forward a check in that amount to the Consent Decree Library at the stated address.

Maureen Katz,

Assistant Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 2010-16679 Filed 7-7-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Settlement Agreement Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act, the Clean Air Act, and Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code

Notice is hereby given that on July 1, 2010, a proposed Settlement Agreement ("Agreement") in *In re Quebecor World (USA) Inc., et al.*, Case No. 08-10152(JMP) (Bankr. S.D.N.Y.), was lodged with the United States

Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York. The Agreement was entered into by the United States, on behalf of the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"), Quebecor World (USA) Inc. (known as World Color (USA) Corp. since confirmation of the Plan of Reorganization and acquired by Quad/Graphics Inc. on or about July 2, 2010), and certain of its direct and indirect subsidiaries (the "Debtors"), the State of Illinois, the Lenz PRP RD/RA Work Group, a group of potentially responsible parties ("PRPs") at the Lenz Oil Services Site in Lamont, Illinois, the Keystone Site Original Generator Defendants, a group of PRPs at the Keystone Landfill Site in Union Township, Pennsylvania, and Ringier, A.G., an indemnitor of certain of the Debtors. The Agreement relates to liabilities of the Debtors under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980, 42 U.S.C. 9601 *et seq.* ("CERCLA") and under the Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

The Agreement provides that EPA will have allowed general unsecured claims in the following amounts with respect to the following four Liquidated Sites: (1) \$195,500 in connection with the Peterson/Puritan, Inc. Superfund Site in Lincoln and Cumberland, Rhode Island, (2) \$175,412.76 in connection with the Solvent Recovery Service of New England Superfund Site in Southington, Connecticut, (3) \$1,000 in connection with the LWD, Inc. Superfund Site in Calvert City, Kentucky, and (4) \$2,701.12 in connection with the Lake Calumet Cluster Superfund Site located in Chicago, Illinois. In addition, Ringier, A.G. has agreed to make a cash payment to EPA, in the amount of \$38,617.58, in connection with the Lake Calumet Cluster Superfund Site. Under the Agreement, EPA has agreed not to bring an action, under Sections 106 and 107 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9606 and 9607, and 7003 of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. 6973, against the Debtors with respect to the Liquidated Sites, or against Ringier, A.G., in its capacity as the indemnitor of one or more of the Debtors, with respect to the Lake Calumet Cluster Site or the Lenz Oil Services Site, with respect to conduct of the Debtors occurring after the date of lodging of the Agreement.

The Agreement also has provisions related to the liability of the Debtors in connection with two Consent Decree Sites—the Keystone Landfill Site and the Lenz Oil Services Site—where certain of the Debtors, as well as other PRPs, have entered into consent decrees