SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[File No. 500-1]

Order of Suspension of Trading; In The Matter of American Realty Funds Corporation

November 15, 2012.

It appears to the Securities and Exchange Commission that there is a lack of current and accurate information concerning the securities of American Realty Funds Corporation ("American Realty") because of questions concerning the accuracy of publicly disseminated information in the company's public filings and financial statements. American Realty is a Tennessee corporation based in Bay City, Michigan. Its stock is quoted on the OTCBB under the symbol ANFDE.

The Commission is of the opinion that the public interest and the protection of investors require a suspension of trading in the securities of the above-listed

company.

Therefore, it is ordered, pursuant to Section 12(k) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, that trading in the securities of the above-listed company is suspended for the period from 9:30 a.m. EST, on November 15, 2012 through 11:59 p.m. EST, on November 29, 2012.

By the Commission.

Kevin M. O'Neill,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2012–28147 Filed 11–15–12; 11:15 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

[Public Notice 8089]

30-Day Notice of Proposed Information Collection: Humphrey Evaluation Survey

ACTION: Notice of request for public comment and submission to OMB of proposed collection of information.

SUMMARY: The Department of State has submitted the information collection described below to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for approval. In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 we are requesting comments on this collection from all interested individuals and organizations. The purpose of this Notice is to allow 30 days for public comment.

DATES: Submit comments directly to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) up to December 19, 2012.

ADDRESSES: Direct comments to the Department of State Desk Officer in the

Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs at the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). You may submit comments by the following methods:

• Email:
oira_submission@omb.eop.gov. You
must include the DS form number,
information collection title, and the
OMB control number in the subject line
of your message.

• *Fax*: 202–395–5806. Attention: Desk Officer for Department of State.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Direct requests for additional information regarding the collection listed in this notice, including requests for copies of the proposed collection instrument and supporting documents, to Michelle Hale who may be reached on 202–632–6312 or at halemj2@state.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

- *Title of Information Collection:* Humphrey Evaluation Survey.
 - OMB Control Number: None.
 - Type of Request: New Collection.
- Originating Office: Bureau of Educational and Cultural Affairs, Office of Policy and Evaluation, Evaluation Division (ECA/P/V).
 - Form Number: SV2012-0003.
- Respondents: Foreign Humphrey participants between 1979 and 2009.
- Estimated Number of Respondents: 1,200.
- Estimated Number of Responses: 648.
- Average Time per Response: 30 minutes per response.
- Total Estimated Burden Time: 324 hours.
 - Frequency: One time.
- Obligation to Respond: Voluntary. We are soliciting public comments to permit the Department to:
- Evaluate whether the proposed information collection is necessary for the proper functions of the Department.
- Evaluate the accuracy of our estimate of the time and cost burden for this proposed collection, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used.
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected.
- Minimize the reporting burden on those who are to respond, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Please note that comments submitted in response to this Notice are public record. Before including any detailed personal information, you should be aware that your comments as submitted, including your personal information, will be available for public review.

Abstract of proposed collection: This request for a new information collection will allow ECA/P/V to conduct a descriptive survey of the exchange participants in the Hubert H. Humphrey Fellowship Program between 1979 and 2009. This study is authorized by the Mutual Educational and Cultural Exchange Act of 1961, as amended (also known as the Fulbright-Hays Act) (22 U.S.C. 2451 et seq.). Collecting this data will help ECA/P/V examine what Fellows have been doing post-program, their roles in critical areas of change at work, and in their fields of study, and how the Program affected their work. Data collections efforts will be conducted via electronic survey.

Methodology: All data will be collected electronically via SurveyGizmo, an on-line surveying tool.

Dated: November 9, 2012.

Matt Lussenhop,

Director of the Office of Policy and Evaluation, Bureau of Educational and Cultural Affairs, Department of State.

[FR Doc. 2012–28059 Filed 11–16–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4710-05-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. NHTSA-2012-0151, Notice 1]

Notice of Receipt of Petition for Decision That Nonconforming 2007 Chevrolet Corvette Passenger Cars Are Eligible for Importation

AGENCY: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of receipt of petition.

SUMMARY: This document announces receipt by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) of a petition for a decision that nonconforming 2007 Chevrolet Corvette passenger cars that were not originally manufactured to comply with all applicable Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standards (FMVSS), are eligible for importation into the United States because they are substantially similar to vehicles that were originally manufactured for sale in the United States and that were certified by their manufacturer as complying with the safety standards (the U.S.-certified version of the 2007 Chevrolet Corvette passenger cars) and they are capable of being readily altered to conform to the standards.

DATES: The closing date for comments on the petition is December 19, 2012.

ADDRESSES: Comments should refer to the docket and notice numbers above and be submitted by any of the following methods:

- Federal eRulemaking Portal: Go to http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- Mail: Docket Management Facility: U.S. Department of Transportation, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., West Building Ground Floor, Room W12-140, Washington, DC 20590-0001.
- Hand Delivery or Courier: West Building Ground Floor, Room W12-140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m. ET, Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.
 - Fax: 202-493-2251.

Instructions: Comments must be written in the English language, and be no greater than 15 pages in length, although there is no limit to the length of necessary attachments to the comments. If comments are submitted in hard copy form, please ensure that two copies are provided. If you wish to receive confirmation that your comments were received, please enclose a stamped, self-addressed postcard with the comments. Note that all comments received will be posted without change to http://www.regulations.gov, including any personal information provided. Please see the Privacy Act heading

Privacy Act: Anyone is able to search the electronic form of all comments received into any of our dockets by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or signing the comment, if submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review DOT's complete Privacy Act Statement in the Federal Register published on April 11, 2000 (65 FR 19477-78).

How to Read Comments submitted to the Docket: You may read the comments received by Docket Management at the address and times given above. You may also view the documents from the Internet at http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for accessing the dockets. The docket ID number and title of this notice are shown at the heading of this document notice. Please note that even after the comment closing date, we will continue to file relevant information in the Docket as it becomes available. Further, some people may submit late comments. Accordingly, we recommend that you periodically search the Docket for new material.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

George Stevens, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance, NHTSA (202-366-5308).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Under 49 U.S.C. 30141(a)(1)(A), a motor vehicle that was not originally manufactured to conform to all applicable FMVSS shall be refused admission into the United States unless NHTSA has decided that the motor vehicle is substantially similar to a motor vehicle originally manufactured for importation into and sale in the United States, certified under 49 U.S.C. 30115, and of the same model year as the model of the motor vehicle to be compared, and is capable of being readily altered to conform to all applicable FMVSS

Petitions for eligibility decisions may be submitted by either manufacturers or importers who have registered with NHTSA pursuant to 49 CFR part 592. As specified in 49 CFR 593.7, NHTSA publishes notice in the **Federal Register** of each petition that it receives, and affords interested persons an opportunity to comment on the petition. At the close of the comment period, NHTSA decides, on the basis of the petition and any comments that it has received, whether the vehicle is eligible for importation. The agency then publishes this decision in the Federal

Register.

Ğ&K Automotive Conversion, Inc. (G&K), of Santa Ana, California (Registered Importer 90-007) has petitioned NHTSA to decide whether nonconforming 2007 Chevrolet Corvette passenger cars are eligible for importation into the United States. The vehicles which G&K believes are substantially similar are 2007 Chevrolet Corvette passenger cars that were manufactured for sale in the United States and certified by their manufacturer as conforming to all applicable FMVSS.

The petitioner claims that it compared non-U.S. certified nonconforming 2007 Chevrolet Corvette passenger cars to their U.S.-certified counterparts, and found the vehicles to be substantially similar with respect to compliance with

most FMVSS.

G&K submitted information with its petition intended to demonstrate that non-U.S. certified 2007 Chevrolet Corvette passenger cars, as originally manufactured, conform to many FMVSS in the same manner as their U.S.certified counterparts, or are capable of being readily altered to conform to those standards.

Specifically, the petitioner claims that non-U.S. certified 2007 Chevrolet Corvette passenger cars are identical to their U.S.-certified counterparts with respect to compliance with Standard

Nos. 102 Transmission Shift Lever Sequence, Starter Interlock, and Transmission Braking Effect, 103 Windshield Defrosting and Defogging Systems, 104 Windshield Wiping and Washing Systems, 106 Brake Hoses, 108 Lamps, Reflective Devices and Associated Equipment, 109 New Pneumatic Tires, 113 Hood Latch System, 114 Theft Protection, 116 Motor Vehicle Brake Fluids, 118 Power-Operated Window, Partition, and Roof Panel Systems, 124 Accelerator Control Systems, 135 Light Vehicle Brake Systems, 138 Tire Pressure Monitoring Systems, 201 Occupant Protection in Interior Impact, 202 Head Restraints, 204 Steering Control Rearward Displacement, 205 Glazing Materials, 206 Door Locks and Door Retention Components, 207 Seating Systems, 209 Seat Belt Assemblies, 210 Seat Belt Assembly Anchorages, 212 Windshield Mounting, 214 Side Impact Protection, 216 Roof Crush Resistance, 219 Windshield Zone Intrusion, 225 Child Restraint Anchorage Systems, and 302 Flammability of Interior Materials.

With regard to Standard No. 108 Lamps, Reflective Devices and Associated Equipment, the petition asserts: "All lamps, reflective devices and associated equipment are identical to those found in the U.S. Companion Model and therefore comply with the requirements of FMVSS 108." Because the headlamp requirements in European and other foreign markets differ from those in the U.S., NHTSA is concerned that the headlamps on the vehicles that are the subject of the petition may not, in fact, have been originally manufactured to comply with all requirements of Standard No. 108. As a consequence, the agency is soliciting specific comments with respect to this

The petitioner also contends that the vehicles are capable of being readily altered to meet the following standards, in the manner indicated:

Standard No. 101—Controls Telltales, and Indicators: (a) Inscription of the word "brake" on the brake failure indicator lamp in place of the international ECE warning symbol; and (b) replacement of the speedometer with a unit reading in miles per hour, or modification of the existing speedometer so that it reads in miles per

Standard No. 110-Tire Selection and Rims for Motor Vehicles with a GVWR of 4,536 kilograms (10,000 pounds) or Less: Installation of a tire information placard.

Standard No. 111—Rearview Mirrors: Installation of a U.S.-model passenger side rearview mirror, or inscription of

the required warning statement on the face of the existing mirror.

Standard No. 208-Occupant Crash Protection: Petitioner claims that the passive restraint system hardware in the nonconforming 2007 Chevrolet Corvette is identical to that found on the U.S.certified 2007 Chevrolet Corvette, and has included a comparison of the advanced air bag component part numbers in its petition as proof. The petitioner also states that the software and firmware associated with the occupant protection system must be verified and updated with U.S.-version software as necessary to ensure that the system conforms to the standard. This may require the replacement of system components.

The petitioner additionally states that it will provide any owner's manual inserts that are required by this standard but not present in the vehicle.

Standard No. 301—Fuel System Integrity: Inspection of all vehicles and replacement of any non U.S.-model fuel system components with U.S.-model components.

The petitioner additionally states that a vehicle identification plate must be affixed to the vehicles near the left windshield post to meet the requirements of 49 CFR Part 565.

All comments received before the close of business on the closing date indicated above will be considered, and will be available for examination in the docket at the above addresses both before and after that date. To the extent possible, comments filed after the closing date will also be considered. Notice of final action on the petition will be published in the Federal Register pursuant to the authority indicated below.

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 30141(a)(1)(A), (a)(1)(B), and (b)(1); 49 CFR 593.7; delegation of authority at 49 CFR 1.95 and 501.8.

Issued on: November 8, 2012.

Claude H. Harris,

Director, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance. [FR Doc. 2012–28069 Filed 11–16–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-59-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. NHTSA-2012-0167]

Technical Report Evaluating the Effectiveness of Tire Pressure Monitoring Systems (TPMS) in Proper Tire Pressure Maintenance

AGENCY: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), Department of Transportation.

ACTION: Request for comments on technical report.

SUMMARY: This notice announces NHTSA's publication of a Technical Report reviewing and evaluating its existing Safety Standard 138, *Tire Pressure Monitoring Systems*. The report's title is: *Evaluation of the Effectiveness of TPMS in Proper Tire Pressure Maintenance*.

DATES: Comments must be received no later than March 19, 2013.

ADDRESSES: Report: The technical report is available on the Internet for viewing in PDF format at http://www-nrd.nhtsa.dot.gov/Pubs/811681.pdf.
You may obtain a copy of the report free of charge by sending a self-addressed mailing label to Charles J. Kahane (NVS-431), National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, Room W53-312, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590.

Comments: You may submit comments [identified by Docket Number NHTSA-2012-0167] by any of the following methods:

- Federal eRulemaking Portal: Go to http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
 - Fax: 1-202-493-2251.
- *Mail:* Docket Management Facility, M–30, U.S. Department of Transportation, West Building, Ground Floor, Rm. W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590.
- Hand Delivery: West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m. Eastern Time, Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

You may call Docket Management at 202–366–9826.

Instructions: For detailed instructions on submitting comments, see the Procedural Matters section of this document. Note that all comments received will be posted without change to http://www.regulations.gov, including any personal information provided.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Bob Sivinski, Mathematical Statistician, Mathematical Analysis Division, NVS–421, National Center for Statistics and Analysis, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, Room W55–212, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590. Telephone: 202–366–2740. Email: robert.sivinski@dot.gov.

For information about NHTSA's evaluations of the effectiveness of existing regulations and programs: You may see a list of published evaluation reports at http://www-nrd.nhtsa.dot.gov/cats/listpublications.aspx?Id=226&

ShowBy=Category and if you click on any report you will be able to view it in PDF format.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This report is an analysis of the data collected through the Tire Pressure Monitoring System-Special Study as it pertains to the effectiveness of TPMS in promoting proper tire inflation. The study was conducted in 2011, using a nationally representative sampling structure, based on the primary sampling units (PSUs) of the National Automotive Sampling System. NASS personnel collected 6,103 complete vehicle observations including tire pressure of all four tires. This survey found that 23.1 percent of the MY 2004-2007 vehicles without TPMS had at least one severely underinflated tire as defined by FMVSS No. 138 (25% or more below the vehicle manufacturer's recommended cold tire pressure), but only 11.8 percent of the MY 2004-2007 vehicles equipped with TPMS had a severely underinflated tire. Based on these results, the presence of TPMS on a vehicle of model years 2004 to 2007 is estimated to result in a 55.6-percent reduction in the likelihood that the vehicle will have one or more severely underinflated tires as defined by FMVSS No. 138. It is also estimated to result in a 30.7-percent reduction in the likelihood that the vehicle will have one or more tires that are overinflated by 25 percent or more above the manufacturer's recommended cold tire pressure. During the first eight years of operation TPMS is estimated to save a typical passenger car 9.32 gallons of fuel and a typical LTV 27.89 gallons of fuel. During 2011 TPMS is estimated to have saved \$511 million across the vehicle fleet through reduced fuel consumption. NHTSA plans to conduct further research to determine the effect of TPMS on the incidence of tire-related crashes and injuries.

Procedural Matters

How can I influence NHTSA's thinking on this subject?

NHTSA welcomes public review of the technical report. NHTSA will submit to the Docket a response to the comments and, if appropriate, will supplement or revise the report.

How do I prepare and submit comments?

Your comments must be written and in English. To ensure that your comments are correctly filed in the Docket, please include the Docket number of this document (NHTSA–2012–0167) in your comments.