

By order of the Commission.

Issued: January 10, 2024.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2024-00729 Filed 1-16-24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[USITC SE-24-004]

Sunshine Act Meetings

AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING: United States International Trade Commission.

TIME AND DATE: January 25, 2024 at 11:00 a.m.

PLACE: Room 101, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, Telephone: (202) 205-2000.

STATUS: Open to the public.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

1. *Agendas for future meetings:* none.
2. Minutes.
3. Ratification List.
4. Commission vote on Inv. Nos. 701-TA-489 and 731-TA-1201 (Second Review) (Drawn Stainless Steel Sinks (DSSS) from China). The Commission currently is scheduled to complete and file its determinations and views of the Commission on February 1, 2024.
5. *Outstanding action jackets:* none.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: Sharon Bellamy, Supervisory Hearings and Information Officer, 202-205-2000.

The Commission is holding the meeting under the Government in the Sunshine Act, 5 U.S.C. 552(b). In accordance with Commission policy, subject matter listed above, not disposed of at the scheduled meeting, may be carried over to the agenda of the following meeting.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: January 12, 2024.

Sharon Bellamy,

Supervisory Hearings and Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2024-00909 Filed 1-12-24; 4:15 pm]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-344 (Fifth Review)]

Tapered Roller Bearings From China; Scheduling of an Expedited Five-Year Review

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice of the scheduling of an expedited review pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 (“the Act”) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty order on tapered roller bearings from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time.

DATES: December 5, 2023.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

(Stamen Borisson (202) 205-3125), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<https://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this proceeding may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background.—On December 5, 2023, the Commission determined that the domestic interested party group response to its notice of institution (88 FR 60489, September 1, 2023) of the subject five-year review was adequate and that the respondent interested party group response was inadequate. The Commission did not find any other circumstances that would warrant conducting a full review.¹ Accordingly, the Commission determined that it would conduct an expedited review pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(3)).²

For further information concerning the conduct of this review and rules of general application, consult the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A and B (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

Staff report.—A staff report containing information concerning the subject matter of the review has been placed in the nonpublic record, and will be made available to persons on the Administrative Protective Order service list for this review on February 8, 2024.

¹ A record of the Commissioners’ votes, the Commission’s statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner’s statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission’s website.

² Commissioner Amy A. Karpel not participating.

A public version will be issued thereafter, pursuant to § 207.62(d)(4) of the Commission’s rules.

Written submissions.—As provided in § 207.62(d) of the Commission’s rules, interested parties that are parties to the review and that have provided individually adequate responses to the notice of institution,³ and any party other than an interested party to the review may file written comments with the Secretary on what determination the Commission should reach in the review. Comments are due on or before February 15, 2024 and may not contain new factual information. Any person that is neither a party to the five-year review nor an interested party may submit a brief written statement (which shall not contain any new factual information) pertinent to the review by February 15, 2024. However, should the Department of Commerce (“Commerce”) extend the time limit for its completion of the final results of its review, the deadline for comments (which may not contain new factual information) on Commerce’s final results is three business days after the issuance of Commerce’s results. If comments contain business proprietary information (BPI), they must conform with the requirements of §§ 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission’s rules. The Commission’s *Handbook on Filing Procedures*, available on the Commission’s website at https://www.usitc.gov/documents/handbook_on_filing_procedures.pdf, elaborates upon the Commission’s procedures with respect to filings.

In accordance with §§ 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the rules, each document filed by a party to the review must be served on all other parties to the review (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

Determination.—The Commission has determined this review is extraordinarily complicated and therefore has determined to exercise its authority to extend the review period by up to 90 days pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)(B).

Authority.—This review is being conducted under authority of title VII of the Act; this notice is published pursuant to § 207.62 of the Commission’s rules.

By order of the Commission.

³ The Commission has found the responses submitted on behalf of The Timken Company and JTEKT Bearings North America LLC to be individually adequate. Comments from other interested parties will not be accepted (*see* 19 CFR 207.62(d)(2)).

Issued: January 10, 2024.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2024–00727 Filed 1–16–24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decree Under the Clean Air Act

On January 10, 2024, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed Consent Decree with the United States District Court for the District of Columbia in the case captioned *United States v. Cummins Inc.*, Case No. 1:24–cv–00088.

The United States filed a Complaint in this lawsuit seeking civil penalties and injunctive relief from Defendant Cummins Inc. (“Cummins”) for alleged violations of title II of the Clean Air Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 7521–7590, and the regulations promulgated thereunder, which aim to protect human health and the environment by reducing emissions of nitrogen oxides (“NO_x”) and other pollutants from mobile sources of air pollution, including new motor vehicles. The State of California has filed a separate Complaint alleging corresponding claims for civil penalties and injunctive relief against Cummins under the Clean Air Act’s citizen suit provisions, 42 U.S.C. 7404(a)(1), and California laws and regulations.

The United States’ Complaint alleges that Cummins violated the Clean Air Act through the company’s production and sale of diesel motor vehicle engines—along with associated engine control and emission control systems—that were installed in nearly one million pickup trucks sold in the United States under the RAM 2500 and RAM 3500 model names. The United States alleges that Cummins’ applications to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency for Certificates of Conformity for those trucks did not disclose multiple software-based features that affect their emission control systems. In addition, the United States alleges that some of these undisclosed software features qualify as illegal “defeat devices” that bypass, defeat and/or render inoperative emission control systems in more than 630,000 model year 2013–2019 RAM 2500 and RAM 3500 trucks, causing those vehicles to emit substantially higher levels of NO_x during certain normal real world driving conditions, as compared to the vehicles’ NO_x emissions levels during federal emission tests.

When the United States’ Complaint was filed, the United States also lodged

a proposed Consent Decree among the United States (on behalf of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency), the State of California (on behalf of the California Air Resources Board), and Cummins (the “Joint Consent Decree”). If approved by the Court, the Joint Consent Decree would resolve the claims against Cummins in the United States’ Complaint on agreed terms and conditions. The Joint Consent Decree also would partially resolve the claims against Cummins in the California Complaint. A separate proposed Consent Decree between Cummins and California (the “California Partial Consent Decree”) was lodged concurrently with the proposed Joint Consent Decree. The California Partial Consent Decree would resolve the remaining claims in the California Complaint, including claims brought by the California Attorney General.

The Joint Consent Decree would require Cummins to: (i) pay the United States a \$1.478 billion civil penalty; (ii) pay the California Air Resources Board a \$164 million penalty; and (iii) take various steps to remedy the alleged violations, including conducting vehicle recall campaigns to replace the software in model year 2013–2019 RAM trucks and satisfying mitigation requirements to offset the excess NO_x emissions from those trucks.

The California Partial Consent Decree would require Cummins to pay \$33 million in civil penalties to the California Attorney General and make an additional payment to fund actions or projects that reduce NO_x emissions through mitigation programs administered by the California Air Resources Board.

Taken together, the Joint Consent Decree and the California Partial Consent Decree would require Cummins to pay more than \$2 billion to resolve the violations alleged by the United States and California, including \$1.675 billion in civil penalties.

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the United States’ proposed Joint Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to *United States v. Cummins Inc.*, DJ Ref. No. 90–5–2–1–12300. All comments must be submitted no later than thirty (30) days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

To submit comments:	Send them to:
By email	pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov
By mail	Assistant Attorney General, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044–7611.

During the public comment period, the proposed Joint Consent Decree may be examined and downloaded at this Justice Department website: <https://www.justice.gov/enrd/consent-decrees>.

We will provide a paper copy of the proposed Joint Consent Decree upon written request and payment of reproduction costs. Please mail your request and payment to: Consent Decree Library, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044–7611.

Please enclose a check or money order for \$45.75 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the United States Treasury.

Patricia A. McKenna,

Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 2024–00705 Filed 1–16–24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–15–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decrees Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act, Clean Water Act, and Oil Pollution Act

On January 8, 2024, the Department of Justice lodged five proposed consent decrees with the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio in the lawsuit entitled *United States v. Ohio Refining Co., et al.*, Civil Action No. 3:24–cv–00039.

The United States filed a Complaint alleging claims against Ohio Refining Co., LLC, Chevron U.S.A. Inc., Energy Transfer (R&M), LLC, Pilkington North America, Inc., and Chemtrade Logistics, Inc., under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (“CERCLA”), the Clean Water Act, and the Oil Pollution Act for recovery of damages for injury to, loss of, or destruction of natural resources resulting from the release of hazardous substances and oil at the Duck & Otter Creeks NRDA Site located near Toledo, Ohio. Each Defendant or its predecessor historically owned and/or operated an industrial facility within the Site which discharged polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbon (“PAH”) compounds,