

Authority: 5 U.S.C. 301; 28 U.S.C. 509, 510; 31 U.S.C. 3801–3812; Pub. L. 101–410, 104 Stat. 890, as amended by Pub. L. 104–134, 110 Stat. 1321.

34. In § 71.2, in the definition of “Reviewing Official”, redesignate paragraphs (e) and (f) as paragraphs (f) and (g), respectively, and add a new paragraph (e) to read as follows:

§ 71.2 Definitions.

* * * * *

(e) For the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms, and Explosives (ATF), the Chief Counsel, ATF;

* * * * *

PART 77—ETHICAL STANDARDS FOR ATTORNEYS FOR THE GOVERNMENT

35. The authority citation for part 77 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 28 U.S.C. 530B.

§ 77.2 [Amended]

36. In § 77.2, in paragraph (a), add the words “the Chief Counsel for ATF and any attorney employed in that office;” following the words “the Chief Counsel for the DEA and any attorney employed in that office;”.

Dated: January 23, 2003.

John Ashcroft,
Attorney General.

[FR Doc. 03–1896 Filed 1–29–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–19–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

28 CFR Part 16

[AAG/A Order No. 005–2003]

Privacy Act of 1974; Implementation

AGENCY: Department of Justice.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Department of Justice, Office of the Pardon Attorney (OPA), is exempting a Privacy Act system of records entitled “Executive Clemency Case Files/Executive Clemency Tracking System (JUSTICE/OPA–001)” from subsections (c)(3), (c)(4), (d)(1), (d)(2), (d)(3), (d)(4), and (e)(5) of the Privacy Act. Information in this system relates to the investigation and evaluation of applicants for executive clemency and case-related correspondence regarding such applicants and the clemency process. The exemptions are necessary to avoid interference with clemency investigations and decision-making, when such interference could impair the Department of Justice’s ability to provide candid recommendations to the President for his ultimate decisions on clemency matters, and to prevent

unwarranted invasions of the personal privacy of third parties.

EFFECTIVE DATE: This final rule is effective January 31, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mary Cahill, (202) 307–1823.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On October 31, 2002 (67 FR 66348), a proposed rule was published in the **Federal Register** with an invitation to comment. No comments were received.

This order relates to individuals rather than small business entities. Nevertheless, pursuant to the requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601–612, it is hereby stated that the order will not have “a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities.”

List of Subjects in Part 16

Administrative practices and procedures, Courts, Freedom of Information and Privacy.

Pursuant to the authority vested in the Attorney General by 5 U.S.C. 552a, and delegated to me by Attorney General Order No. 793–78, 28 CFR Part 16 is amended as follows:

PART 16—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for Part 16 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 5 U.S.C. 301, 552, 552a, 552b(g), 553; 18 U.S.C. 4203(a)(1); 28 U.S.C. 509, 510, 534; 31 U.S.C. 3717, 9701.

2. Section 16.79 is revised to read as follows:

§ 16.79 Exemption of Pardon Attorney System.

(a) The following system of records is exempt from 5 U.S.C. 552a, subsections (c)(3), (c)(4), (d)(1), (d)(2), (d)(3), (d)(4), and (e)(5): Executive Clemency Case Files/Executive Clemency Tracking System (JUSTICE/OPA–001). These exemptions apply only to the extent that information in this system of records is subject to exemption pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(j)(2).

(b) Exemption from the particular subsections is justified for the following reasons:

(1) From subsection (c)(3) because:

(i) The purpose of the creation and maintenance of the Executive Clemency Case Files/Executive Clemency Tracking System (JUSTICE/OPA–001) is to enable the Justice Department to prepare reports and recommendations to the President for his ultimate decisions on clemency matters, which are committed to exclusive discretion of the President pursuant to Article II, Section 2, Clause 1 of the Constitution.

(ii) Release of the disclosure accounting, for disclosures pursuant to

the routine uses published for this system, would permit the requester to obtain valuable information concerning the nature and scope of a clemency investigation, invade the right of candid and confidential communications among officials concerned with making recommendations to the President in clemency matters, and disclose the identity of persons who furnished information to the Government under an express or implied promise that their identities would be held in confidence.

(2) From subsection (c)(4) because the exemption from subsections (d)(1), (d)(2), (d)(3), and (d)(4) will make notification of disputes inapplicable.

(3) From subsections (d)(1), (d)(2), (d)(3), and (d)(4) is justified for the reasons stated in paragraph (b)(1) of this section.

(4) From subsection (e)(5) is justified for the reasons stated in paragraph (b)(1) of this section.

Dated: January 17, 2003.

Paul R. Corts,
Assistant Attorney General for Administration.

[FR Doc. 03–2252 Filed 1–30–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–29–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[CA 273–0370a; FRL–7441–5]

Revisions to the California State Implementation Plan, Imperial County Air Pollution Control District and Monterey Bay Unified Air Pollution Control District

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Direct final rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is taking direct final action to approve revisions to the Imperial County Air Pollution Control District (ICAPCD) and the Monterey Bay Unified Air Pollution Control District (MBUAPCD) portions of the California State Implementation Plan (SIP). The ICAPCD revision concerns the emission of particulate matter (PM–10) from agricultural burning. The MBUAPCD revision concerns the emission of PM–10 from incinerator burning. We are approving the local rules that regulate these emission sources under the Clean Air Act as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act).

DATES: This rule is effective on April 1, 2003 without further notice, unless EPA receives adverse comments by March 3, 2003. If we receive such comments, we