

100C, 727–200, and 727–200F series airplanes, certificated in any category.

#### Subject

(d) Air Transport Association (ATA) of America Code 53: Fuselage.

#### Unsafe Condition

(e) This AD results from reports of cracks in the aft pressure bulkhead web. The Federal Aviation Administration is issuing this AD to prevent cracking in the aft pressure bulkhead web, which could adversely affect the structural integrity of the airplane, resulting in difficulty maintaining cabin pressurization or rapid decompression of the airplane.

#### Compliance

(f) You are responsible for having the actions required by this AD performed within the compliance times specified, unless the actions have already been done.

#### Repetitive Inspections and Corrective Actions

(g) At the applicable initial compliance time specified in Tables 1 and 2 of paragraph 1.E., “Compliance,” of Boeing Special Attention Service Bulletin 727–53–0232, dated September 23, 2009 (“the service bulletin”); except as provided by paragraph (j) of this AD: Perform a detailed inspection for cracking in the area around the hydraulic line support bracket on the aft side of the aft pressure bulkhead web between water line (WL) 217 to WL 230, and buttock line (BL) 48 left to BL 66 left. Do the inspection in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of the service bulletin.

(1) For Group 1, Configuration 1 airplanes, and Group 2 airplanes, as identified in the service bulletin: If no cracking is found during the inspection required by paragraph (g) of this AD, do the actions specified in paragraph (g)(1)(i) or (g)(1)(ii) of this AD in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of the service bulletin.

(i) Accomplish the preventative modification specified in PART 3 of the service bulletin before further flight.

(ii) Repeat the detailed inspection at the applicable interval specified in Tables 1 and 2 of paragraph 1.E., “Compliance,” of the service bulletin. Accomplishing the preventative modification specified in paragraph (g)(1)(i) of this AD terminates the repetitive inspections required by this paragraph.

(2) For Group 1, Configuration 2 airplanes, as identified in the service bulletin: If no cracking is found during the inspection required by paragraph (g) of this AD, repeat the detailed inspection at the applicable interval specified in Tables 1 and 2 of paragraph 1.E., “Compliance,” of the service bulletin.

**Note 1:** The damage tolerance inspections specified in Table 3 of paragraph 1.E., “Compliance,” of Boeing Special Attention Service Bulletin 727–53–0232, dated September 23, 2009, may be used in support of compliance with section 121.1109(c)(2) or 129.109(c)(2) of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 121.1109(c)(2) or 14 CFR 129.109(c)(2)).

(h) If any crack is found during any inspection required by paragraph (g) of this AD, before further flight, repair in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Special Attention Service Bulletin 727–53–0232, dated September 23, 2009; except as provided by paragraph (i) of this AD.

(i) If any cracking is found during any inspection required by this AD, and Boeing Special Attention Service Bulletin 727–53–0232, dated September 23, 2009, specifies to contact Boeing for appropriate action: Before further flight, repair the cracking using a method approved in accordance with the procedures specified in paragraph (k) of this AD.

(j) Where Boeing Special Attention Service Bulletin 727–53–0232, dated September 23, 2009, specifies a compliance time after the date on that service bulletin, this AD requires compliance within the specified compliance time after the effective date of this AD.

#### Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs)

(k)(1) The Manager, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19. Send information to ATTN: Berhane Alazar, Aerospace Engineer, Airframe Branch, ANM–120S, FAA, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98057–3356; telephone (425) 917–6577; fax (425) 917–6590. Information may be e-mailed to: [9-ANM-Seattle-ACO-AMOC-Requests@faa.gov](mailto:9-ANM-Seattle-ACO-AMOC-Requests@faa.gov).

(2) To request a different method of compliance or a different compliance time for this AD, follow the procedures in 14 CFR 39.19. Before using any approved AMOC on any airplane to which the AMOC applies, notify your principal maintenance inspector (PMI) or principal avionics inspector (PAI), as appropriate, or lacking a principal inspector, your local Flight Standards District Office. The AMOC approval letter must specifically reference this AD.

(3) An AMOC that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used for any repair required by this AD if it is approved by the Boeing Commercial Airplanes Organization Designation Authorization (ODA) that has been authorized by the Manager, Seattle ACO to make those findings. For a repair method to be approved, the repair must meet the certification basis of the airplane, and the approval must specifically refer to this AD.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on June 25, 2010.

**Ali Bahrami,**

*Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.*

[FR Doc. 2010–15989 Filed 6–30–10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910–13–P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Parts 122, 123, 403, 501 and 503

[FRL–9169–8]

#### Public Meeting With Interested Stakeholders for National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Electronic Reporting Rule

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency.

**ACTION:** Notice of public meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) gives notice of a meeting to discuss the NPDES Electronic Reporting Rule. With this rulemaking, EPA is utilizing 21st Century modern technologies to improve management and performance of the NPDES program by requiring electronic reporting of NPDES information from regulated facilities. This will reduce the burden for facilities to report to regulatory agencies and for states to report to EPA. Expected benefits include lower processing costs for facilities and states, improved data quality and accuracy, greater data accessibility and transparency for the public, and an increased ability to target and address noncompliance that will improve and protect water quality. This meeting will be a session in which EPA will discuss electronic reporting alternatives for submission of NPDES information directly to states and/or EPA from permittees. Topics include the feasibility of requiring electronic reporting in areas such as electronic discharge monitoring reports (eDMRs), electronic notice of intent (eNOI), and electronic program reports. The purpose of this meeting is to give interested parties the opportunity to discuss the proposed rule and to provide EPA feedback on the presented options.

**DATES:** The meeting will be held on Tuesday, July 13, 2010 from 1 p.m. till 3 p.m.

**ADDRESSES:** The meeting location is Room 1117A EPA East, 1201 Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Anuj Vaidya 202–564–3459, [vaidya.anuj@epa.gov](mailto:vaidya.anuj@epa.gov) or Sharon Gonder 202–564–5256, [gonder.sharon@epa.gov](mailto:gonder.sharon@epa.gov). If you are interested in attending this meeting, please contact Mr. Anuj Vaidya or Ms. Sharon Gonder to register for this meeting no later than Wednesday, July 7, 2010.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This meeting will be open to all stakeholders

interested in the rule EPA is developing to collect NPDES program management information via electronic reporting from permittees to states and/or EPA. After considerable dialogue with NPDES authorized states, EPA decided to develop a proposed rulemaking to require electronic reporting for the NPDES program ensuring that the site specific information essential for the protection of public health and the environment is available on a nationally consistent, timely, accurate and complete basis.

EPA believes this rulemaking will improve the ability of EPA and states to protect and preserve water quality by mandating electronic reporting directly from facilities in order to increase the volume and quality of data available to identify and address environmental problems within available resources. EPA also believes this rulemaking will improve overall management and oversight of the NPDES program and improve compliance by individual facilities. These efficiencies should provide significant benefits, including reduced costs of processing paper forms, improved quality and accuracy of the data available to regulatory agencies, more timely and expanded use of the data to identify, target, and address problems, quicker availability of the data for use, and increased accessibility and transparency of the data to the public. These efficiencies should allow states to shift precious resources from data management activities to those more targeted to protect the environment.

For this meeting, EPA plans to seek comment from stakeholders regarding the feasibility of electronic reporting requirements, which existing reporting requirements for NPDES subprograms (e.g., pretreatment, or biosolids) could be adapted into electronic reporting, costs and benefits to the states, permittees, EPA and the public, and the timing of the rule implementation schedule. EPA believes that such electronic reporting requirements will improve the timeliness, accuracy, and completeness of the NPDES data and improve the transparency of the NPDES program to the public.

Dated: June 24, 2010.

**Lisa Lund,**

*Director, Office of Compliance.*

[FR Doc. 2010-15885 Filed 7-1-10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Fish and Wildlife Service

#### 50 CFR Part 16

[Docket No. FWS-R9-FHC-2008-0015; 94140-1342-0000-N3]

RIN 1018-AV68

#### Injurious Wildlife Species; Listing the Boa Constrictor, Four Python Species, and Four Anaconda Species as Injurious Reptiles

**AGENCY:** Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule; reopening of comment period.

**SUMMARY:** We, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service), announce the reopening of the comment period on the proposed rule to amend our regulations to add Indian python (*Python molurus*, including Burmese python *Python molurus bivittatus*), reticulated python (*Broghammerus reticulatus* or *Python reticulatus*), Northern African python (*Python sebae*), Southern African python (*Python natalensis*), boa constrictor (*Boa constrictor*), yellow anaconda (*Eunectes notaeus*), DeSchauensee's anaconda (*Eunectes deschauenseei*), green anaconda (*Eunectes murinus*), and Beni anaconda (*Eunectes beniensis*) to the list of injurious reptiles under the Lacey Act. If you have previously submitted comments, please do not resubmit them because we have already incorporated them in the public record and will fully consider them in our final decision.

**DATES:** We will consider comments received or postmarked on or before August 2, 2010. Any comments that are received after the closing date may not be considered in the final decision on this action.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments by one of the following methods:

- **Federal eRulemaking Portal:** <http://www.regulations.gov>. In the box that reads "Enter Keyword or ID," enter the docket number for the proposed rule, which is FWS-R9-FHC-2008-0015. Check the box that reads "Open for Comment/Submission," and then click the Search button. You should then see an icon that reads "Submit a Comment." Please ensure that you have found the correct rulemaking before submitting your comment.

- **U.S. mail or hand-delivery:** Public Comments Processing, Attn: FWS-R9-FHC-2008-0015; Division of Policy and Directives Management; U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service; 4401 N. Fairfax Drive, Suite 222; Arlington, VA 22203.

We will not accept e-mail or faxes. We will post all comments on <http://www.regulations.gov>. This generally means that we will post any personal information you provide us (see the **Public Comments** section below for more information).

Information regarding this notice is available in alternative formats upon request.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Supervisor, South Florida Ecological Services Office, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 1339 20th Street, Vero Beach, FL 32960-3559; telephone 772-562-3909 ext. 256. If you use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD), please call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 800-877-8339.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On March 12, 2010, we published a proposed rule (75 FR 11808) to list the Indian python (*Python molurus*, including Burmese python *Python molurus bivittatus*), reticulated python (*Broghammerus reticulatus* or *Python reticulatus*), Northern African python (*Python sebae*), Southern African python (*Python natalensis*), boa constrictor (*Boa constrictor*), yellow anaconda (*Eunectes notaeus*), DeSchauensee's anaconda (*Eunectes deschauenseei*), green anaconda (*Eunectes murinus*), and Beni anaconda (*Eunectes beniensis*) as injurious reptiles under the Lacey Act (18 U.S.C. 42). This proposed rule established a 60-day comment period, ending May 11, 2010, and announced the availability of the draft economic analysis and the draft environmental assessment of the proposed rule. At the request of the public, we are reopening the comment period for an additional 30 days.

The proposed rule (75 FR 11808; March 12, 2010), draft economic analysis, draft environmental assessment, and U.S. Geological Survey's "Giant Constrictors: Biological and Management Profiles and an Establishment Risk Assessment for Nine Large Species of Pythons, Anacondas, and the Boa Constrictor" (Reed and Rodda 2009), are available for review at <http://www.regulations.gov> under Docket No. FWS-R9-FHC-2008-0015, or on the South Florida Ecological Services Office Web site at <http://www.fws.gov/verobeach/index.cfm?method=activityhighlights&id=11>, or at South Florida Ecological Services Office (see **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section).

#### Public Comments

We intend that any final action resulting from the proposed rule will be