

California Desert District Office. Interested persons may also review the SSFP Final EIS and Proposed CDCA Plan Amendment on the Internet at <http://www.blm.gov/ca/st/en/fo/cdd.html>. All protests must be in writing and mailed to one of the following addresses:

Regular Mail: BLM Director (210),
Attention: Brenda Williams, P.O. Box
71383, Washington, DC 20024-1382.
Overnight Delivery: BLM Director (210),
Attention: Brenda Williams, 20 M
Street SE., Room 2134LM,
Washington, DC 20003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Jeffery Childers, Project Manager;
telephone 951-697-5308; address BLM
California Desert District Office, 22835
Calle San Juan de Los Lagos, Moreno
Valley, California 92553-9046; email
jchilders@blm.gov. Persons who use a
telecommunications device for the deaf
(TDD) may call the Federal Information
Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339
to contact the above individual during
normal business hours. The FIRS is
available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week,
to leave a message or question with the
above individual. You will receive a
reply during normal business hours.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: First Solar
Development, Inc. (First Solar) has
requested a right-of-way (ROW)
authorization to construct, operate,
maintain and decommission the 300-
megawatt (MW) photovoltaic SSFP from
the BLM and a well permit from the
County of San Bernardino. The BLM is
responding to the ROW application as
required by FLPMA. The proposed
project located on BLM-administered
lands would include access roads,
photovoltaic arrays, electrical
substation, meteorological station,
monitoring and maintenance facility,
water wells, and a 2.3 mile generation
tie-line on up to 2,143 acres. The project
location is in San Bernardino County
approximately 2 miles south of the
Nevada-California border and 0.5 miles
west of Interstate 15.

The BLM's purpose and need for the
SSFP is to respond to First Solar's
application for a ROW grant to
construct, operate, maintain, and
decommission a photovoltaic solar
energy facility on public lands in
compliance with FLPMA, BLM ROW
regulations, and other applicable
Federal laws. The BLM will decide
whether to grant, grant with
modification, or deny a ROW to First
Solar for the proposed SSFP. The CDCA
Plan (1980, as amended), while
recognizing the potential compatibility
of solar energy generation facilities with
other uses on public lands, requires that

all sites proposed for power generation
or transmission not already identified in
the plan be considered through the plan
amendment process. The BLM is
proposing to amend the CDCA Plan by
designating the project area as either
suitable or unsuitable for solar energy
projects. In addition to the proposed
action, which is analyzed as Alternative
1: 300 MWs on 2,143 acres, the BLM is
analyzing three other project
alternatives: Alternative 2: 300 MW on
2,385 acres; Revised Alternative 3: 300
MW on 1,685 acres; and, Alternative 4:
232 MW generated on 1,766 acres. All
project alternatives also analyze an
expansion of the Ivanpah Desert
Wildlife Management Area (DWMA).
The management prescriptions for the
Ivanpah DWMA are defined in
Appendix A, Section A.2, of the
Northern and Eastern Mojave Desert
Management Plan Amendment to the
California Desert Conservation Area
Plan (July 2002). If the DWMA is
expanded, these management
prescriptions will be applied to the
expansion.

The Proposed Plan Amendment and
Final EIS/EIR also analyzes three No
Project alternatives: Alternative 5: No
Action; Alternative 6: No Project,
Amend the CDCA Plan to find the
Project area unsuitable for solar
development; and Alternative 7: No
Project, Amend the CDCA Plan to find
the Project area suitable for solar
development. The Final EIS/EIR and
CDCA Plan Amendment evaluates the
potential impacts of the proposed SSFP
on air quality and greenhouse gas
emissions; biological resources; cultural
resources; special status species;
geology and soils; hazards and
hazardous materials; hydrology and
water quality; land use; noise;
recreation; traffic; visual resources;
lands with wilderness characteristics;
cumulative effects and areas with high
potential for renewable energy
development.

Comments on the Draft EIS/EIR and
CDCA Plan Amendment received from
the public and internal BLM review
were considered and incorporated as
appropriate into the Final EIS/EIR and
Proposed Plan Amendment. Public
comments resulted in modification of
Alternative 3, now evaluated in the
Final EIS/EIR as Revised Alternative 3.
However, the public comments did not
significantly change proposed land use
plan decisions. Instructions for filing a
protest with the Director of the BLM
regarding the Proposed Plan
Amendment may be found in the "Dear
Reader" letter of the SSFP Final EIS/EIR
and Proposed Plan Amendment and at
43 CFR 1610.5-2. All protests must be

in writing and mailed to the appropriate
address, as set forth in the **ADDRESSES**
section above. Emailed protests will not
be accepted as valid protests unless the
protesting party also provides the
original letter by either regular mail or
overnight delivery postmarked by the
close of the protest period. Under these
conditions, the BLM will consider the
email as an advance copy and it will
receive full consideration. If you wish to
provide the BLM with such advance
notification, please direct emails to
bhudgets@blm.gov.

Before including your phone number,
email address, or other personal
identifying information in your protest,
you should be aware that your entire
protest—including your personal
identifying information—may be made
publicly available at any time. While
you can ask us in your protest to
withhold your personal identifying
information from public review, we
cannot guarantee that we will be able to
do so.

Authority: 40 CFR 1506.6, 40 CFR 1506.10,
43 CFR 1610.2.

Thomas Pogacnik,

Deputy State Director, Natural Resources.

[FR Doc. 2013-27416 Filed 11-14-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-40-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-900]

**Certain Navigation Products, Including
GPS Devices, Navigation and Display
Systems, Radar Systems, Navigational
Aids, Mapping Systems and Related
Software; Institution of Investigation
Pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1337**

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade
Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a
complaint was filed with the U.S.
International Trade Commission on
September 23, 2013, under section 337
of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended,
19 U.S.C. 1337, on behalf of Furuno
Electric Co., Ltd. of Japan and Furuno
U.S.A., Inc. of Camas, Washington. The
complaint alleges violations of section
337 based upon the importation into the
United States, the sale for importation,
and the sale within the United States
after importation of certain navigation
products, including GPS devices,
navigation and display systems, radar
systems, navigational aids, mapping
systems and related software by reason
of infringement of certain claims of U.S.
Patent No. 6,084,565 ("the '565 patent");

U.S. Patent No. 6,424,292 (“the ‘292 patent”); U.S. Patent No. 7,161,561 (“the ‘561 patent”); and U.S. Patent No. 7,768,447 (“the ‘447 patent”). The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

The complainants request that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a limited exclusion order and cease and desist orders.

ADDRESSES: The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Room 112, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205–2560.

Authority: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2013).

Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on November 8, 2013, *ordered that*—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain navigation products, including GPS devices, navigation and display systems, radar systems, navigational aids, mapping systems and related software by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1–5, and 7–20 of the ‘565 patent; claims 1–6 of the ‘292 patent; claims 1–10, 12, and 14 of the ‘561 patent; and claims 1–

25 of the ‘447 patent, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) Pursuant to Commission Rule 210.50(b)(1), 19 CFR 210.50(b)(1), the presiding administrative law judge shall take evidence or other information and hear arguments from the parties and other interested persons with respect to the public interest in this investigation, as appropriate, and provide the Commission with findings of fact and a recommended determination on this issue, which shall be limited to the statutory public interest factors, 19 U.S.C. 1337(d)(1), (f)(1), (g)(1);

(3) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainants are:
Furuno Electric Co., Ltd., 9–52
Ashihara-cho, Nishinomiya City,
Hyogo, 662–8580 Japan
Furuno U.S.A., Inc., 4400 NW. Pacific
Rim Boulevard, Camas, WA 98607

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:
Garmin Ltd., Mühlentalstrasse 2, 8200
Schaffhausen, Switzerland
Garmin International, Inc., 1200 East
151st Street, Olathe, KS 66062
Garmin North America, Inc., 1200 East
151st Street, Olathe, KS 66062
Garmin USA, Inc., 1200 East 151st
Street, Olathe, KS 66062
Navico Holding AS, Nyåskaiveien 2,
4370 Egersund, Norway
Navico UK Limited, Premier Way,
Abbey Park, Romsey Hampshire, SO51
9DH, United Kingdom
Navico Inc., 4500 S. 129th East Avenue,
Suite 200, Tulsa, OK 74134
Raymarine, Inc., 9 Townsend West,
Nashua, NH 03063
Raymarine UK Ltd., Marine House,
Cartwright Drive, Fareham, PO15 5RJ,
United Kingdom

(c) The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and

(4) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to

19 CFR 201.16(e) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: November 8, 2013.

William R. Bishop,
*Supervisory Hearings and Information
Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2013–27318 Filed 11–14–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337–TA–901]

Certain Handheld Magnifiers and Products Containing Same; Institution of Investigation Pursuant to United States Code

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on September 26, 2013, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, on behalf of Freedom Scientific, Inc. of St. Petersburg, Florida. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain handheld magnifiers and products containing same by reason of infringement of U.S. Design Patent No. D624,107 (“the ‘107 design patent”) and certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 8,264,598 (“the ‘598 patent”). The complaint further alleges that an