

BURDEN TABLE—Continued

Section(s) in 30 CFR 585	Reporting and recordkeeping requirement ¹	Hour burden	Average number of annual responses	Annual burden hours
211; 216(b)	Provide financial assurance as BOEM determines in approving RUE for an existing facility, including additional security if required.	1	1 submission	1
213	Submit request for assignment of an alternative use RUE for an existing facility, including all required information..	1	1 request	1
215	Request relinquishment of RUE for an existing facility	1	1 request	1
Subtotal	10 responses	65
Total burden	485 responses	44,178
			\$2,754,000 Non-hour cost burdens	

¹ The annual burden hour reflects the projects in construction phase complying with the COP terms and conditions annually.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

The authority for this action is the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

Karen Thundiyil,

Director, Office of Regulatory Affairs, Bureau of Ocean Energy Management.

[FR Doc. 2025–07186 Filed 4–24–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4340–98–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1379]

Certain Video Capable Electronic Devices, Including Computers, Streaming Devices, Televisions, Cameras, and Components and Modules Thereof; Notice of a Commission Determination To Ratify the Prior Commission Actions in This Investigation and To Grant a Joint Motion To Terminate the Investigation on the Basis of Settlement; Termination of the Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission (“Commission”) has determined to ratify the prior Commission actions in this investigation and to grant a joint motion to terminate the investigation in its entirety based on settlement and patent agreements.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Joelle Justus, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2593. Copies of non-confidential

documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on December 6, 2023, based on a complaint filed by Nokia Technologies Oy and Nokia Corporation, both of Espoo, Finland (collectively, “Complainants” or “Nokia”). 88 FR 84832–33 (Dec. 6, 2023). The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain video capable electronic devices, including computers, streaming devices, televisions, cameras, and components and modules thereof by reason of infringement of claims 1–3, 6, 7, 9–12, 15–17, 20–25, 28–30, 32–34, 36, 39–41, 43, 44, 47–49, 51–54, 58–60, and 62–65 of U.S. Patent No. 7,532,808 (“the ‘808 patent”) and claims 1–22 of U.S. Patent No. 8,204,134. (“the ‘134 patent”). *Id.* at 84832. The complaint further alleges that a domestic industry exists. *Id.* The Commission’s notice of investigation named as respondents HP, Inc. of Palo Alto, California; and Amazon.com, Inc. and Amazon.com Services LLC, both of Seattle, Washington (collectively, “Respondents”). *Id.* The Office of Unfair Import Investigations (“OUII”) is participating in the investigation. *Id.*

The Commission previously determined not to review initial determinations terminating the

investigation as to claims 2, 3, 6, 9, 11, 12, 15, 17, 20, 23–25, 28, 30, 32–34, 36, 39, 41, 43, 44, 47, 49, 51–54, 58–60, and 62–65 of the ‘808 patent and claims 1–8, 10, 12, and 16–22 of the ‘134 patent. *See* Order No. 20 (Feb. 2, 2024), *unreviewed by Comm’n Notice* (Feb. 15, 2024); Order No. 44 (June 11, 2024), *unreviewed by Comm’n Notice* (July 3, 2024); Order No. 52 (July 10, 2024), *unreviewed by Comm’n Notice* (Aug. 5, 2024).

On January 29, 2025, the ALJ issued the Final ID finding a violation of section 337 by Amazon with respect to the ‘808 patent, and no violation with respect to the ‘134 patent. The ALJ also issued a recommended determination on the public interest, remedy, and bond.

On February 10, 2025, each party filed a petition for review. On February 18, 2025, Nokia and Amazon filed responses opposing each other’s petitions. That same day, OUII filed a combined opposition to Nokia’s and Amazon’s petitions; neither Amazon nor Nokia responded to OUII’s petition.

On April 1, 2025, Nokia and Amazon filed a Joint Unopposed Motion for Temporary Suspension of Pending Deadlines. The motion stated that Nokia and Amazon had signed a settlement agreement resolving all issues between the parties related to this litigation but needed additional time to finalize and file termination papers, including creating a public version of the settlement agreement as required by 19 CFR 210.21(b)(1). The parties requested that the Commission temporarily suspend the pending deadlines in the investigation until and through April 8, 2025. The Commission granted the motion, stayed the investigation, and extended the target date for determining whether to review the Final ID to April 22, 2025.

On April 8, 2025, Nokia and Amazon filed a Joint Motion to Terminate the Investigation in Its Entirety Based on

Settlement and Patent Agreements. The parties submitted the executed settlement and patent agreements as exhibits to the motion. Public versions of the executed settlement and patent agreements were also submitted as exhibits to the motion. On April 11, 2025, OUII filed a response to the motion, indicating OUII supported granting the motion provided that the private parties revised the redactions to the public version of the patent agreement attached as Exhibit 2 to the motion. On April 16, 2025, the private parties submitted an Amended Public Exhibit 2 that “complies with [OUII’s] recommendation.”

Before reaching the merits of the private parties’ motion, in an abundance of caution, the Commission, after having fully reviewed the underlying facts and decisions, has determined to ratify all prior Commission actions taken in this investigation, including but not limited to its determination to institute this investigation, the delegation of this investigation to the ALJ for appropriate proceedings, initial determinations, and findings on the public interest, the naming of OUII as a party to this investigation, and the Commission’s prior determinations declining to review the initial determinations of the presiding ALJ regarding termination of claims, extending the target date, and the termination of HP as a respondent. 88 FR 84832–33 (Dec. 6, 2023); Comm’n Notice (Feb. 15, 2024); Comm’n Notice (July 3, 2024); Comm’n Notice (Aug. 5, 2024); Comm’n Notice (Jan. 6, 2025); Comm’n Notice (Jan. 6, 2025); Comm’n Notice (Jan. 27, 2025); (Mar. 28, 2025); (April 3, 2025). *Advanced Disposal Services East, Inc. v. N.L.R.B.*, 820 F.3d 592, 602–06 (3d Cir. 2016). Amazon does not dispute that the Commission currently has quorum under its statute, 19 U.S.C. 1330(c)(6).

The Commission has determined that the motion, including the revised public version of the settlement agreement, complies with the requirements of Commission Rule 210.21(b)(1) (19 CFR 210.21(b)(1)), and that there are no extraordinary circumstances that would prevent the requested termination. The Commission also finds that granting the motion would not be contrary to the public interest pursuant to Commission Rule 210.50(b)(2) (19 CFR 210.50(b)(2)). Accordingly, the Commission hereby grants the motion.

This investigation is terminated.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on April 22, 2025.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as

amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: April 22, 2025.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2025–07169 Filed 4–24–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1398]

Certain Smart Wearable Devices, Systems, and Components Thereof; Notice of Request for Submissions on the Public Interest

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that on April 18, 2025, the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) issued a Final Initial Determination on Violation (“FID”) of Section 337. The FID includes a Recommended Determination on remedy and bonding should a violation be found in the above-captioned investigation. The Commission is soliciting submissions on public interest issues raised by the recommended relief should the Commission find a violation. This notice is soliciting comments from the public and interested government agencies only.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Paul Lall, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2043. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 provides that, if the Commission finds a violation, it shall exclude the articles concerned from the United States unless, after considering the effect of such exclusion upon the public health and welfare, competitive conditions in

the United States economy, the production of like or directly competitive articles in the United States, and United States consumers, it finds that such articles should not be excluded from entry. (19 U.S.C. 1337(d)(1)).

The Commission is soliciting submissions on public interest issues raised by the recommended relief should the Commission find a violation, specifically: a limited exclusion order and cease and desist orders directed to certain smart ring wearable devices, systems, and components thereof imported, sold for importation, and/or sold after importation by respondents Ultrahuman Healthcare Pvt. Ltd., Ultrahuman Healthcare Ltd., Ultrahuman Healthcare SP LLC, RingConn LLC, and Shenzhen Ninenovo Technology Limited. Parties are to file public interest submissions pursuant to 19 CFR 210.50(a)(4).

The Commission is interested in further development of the record on the public interest in this investigation. Accordingly, members of the public and interested government agencies are invited to file submissions of no more than five (5) pages, inclusive of attachments, concerning the public interest in light of the ALJ’s Recommended Determination on Remedy and Bonding issued on April 18, 2025. Comments should address whether issuance of the recommended remedial orders in this investigation, should the Commission find a violation, would affect the public health and welfare in the United States, competitive conditions in the United States economy, the production of like or directly competitive articles in the United States, or United States consumers.

In particular, the Commission is interested in comments that:

- (i) explain how the articles potentially subject to the recommended remedial orders are used in the United States;
- (ii) identify any public health, safety, or welfare concerns in the United States relating to the recommended orders;
- (iii) identify like or directly competitive articles that complainant, its licensees, or third parties make in the United States which could replace the subject articles if they were to be excluded;

(iv) indicate whether complainant, complainant’s licensees, and/or third-party suppliers have the capacity to replace the volume of articles potentially subject to the recommended orders within a commercially reasonable time; and