

	Period to be reviewed
Transtek Automotive Products Co. Ltd. Tri-star Trading Co. Uasha Group International Shanghai Ltd. Universal Houseware (Dongguan) Wenzhou N. & A. Foreign Trade Corp. Wenzhou Pan Pacific Foreign Trade Co., Ltd. Wesken International (Kunshan) Co., Ltd. World Trading Service Limited. X&Y Papa-Fix Industry Limited. Yiwu Ao-Si Metal Products Co., Ltd. Zhangjiagang Maohua Coating & Adorn Zhejiang Arts and Crafts Import. Zhejiang Huamao International Co., Ltd. Zhejiang Lucky Cloud Hanger Co., Ltd. Zhejiang Wenzhou Packaging Imp. & Exp.	
<p style="text-align: center;">Countervailing Duty Proceedings</p> The People's Republic of China: Kitchen Appliance Shelving and Racks ⁶ C-570-942 Asia Pacific CIS (Wuxi) Co., Ltd. Hengtong Hardware Manufacturing (Huizhou) Co., Ltd.	1/7/09-12/31/09
<p style="text-align: center;">Suspension Agreements</p> None.	

³ If one of the above named companies does not qualify for a separate rate, all other exporters of Kitchen Appliance Shelving and Racks from the PRC who have not qualified for a separate rate are deemed to be covered by this review as part of the single PRC entity of which the named exporters are a part.

4 In the notice of initiation for September anniversary cases, published in the **Federal Register** on October 28, 2008 (75 FR 66349) and corrected on November 10, 2010 (75 FR 69054), the Department postponed initiation of five companies. We have determined to initiate on two of the companies (listed above). Regarding the three additional companies, the Department does not conduct administrative reviews to investigate transshipment allegations. *See Globe Metallurgical Inc. v. United States*, Slip Op. 10–100 (Ct. Int'l Trade Sept. 1, 2010). Petitioners (SSW Holding Company, Inc. and Nashville Wire Products, Inc.) have not provided any reason, other than potential transshipment of subject merchandise, for requesting a review of these three additional companies. Therefore, we are not initiating a review with respect to the following companies: Asia Pacific CIS (Thailand) Co., Ltd.; Taiwan Rail Company; and King Shan Wire Co., Ltd.

⁵ If any of the above-named companies does not qualify for a separate rate, all other exporters of Steel Wire Garment Hangers from the PRC who have not qualified for a separate rate are deemed to be covered by this review as part of the single PRC entity of which the named exporter is a part.

⁶ In the notice of initiation for September anniversary cases, published in the **Federal Register** on October 28, 2008 (75 FR 66349) and corrected on November 10, 2010 (75 FR 69054), the Department postponed initiation of five companies. We have determined to initiate on two of the companies (listed above). Regarding the three additional companies, the Department does not conduct administrative reviews to investigate transshipment allegations. *See Globe Metallurgical Inc. v. United States*, Slip Op. 10–100 (Ct. Int'l Trade Sept. 1, 2010). Petitioners (SSW Holding Company, Inc. and Nashville Wire Products, Inc.) have not provided any reason, other than potential transshipment of subject merchandise, for requesting a review of these three additional companies. Therefore, we are not initiating a review with respect to the following companies: Asia

During any administrative review covering all or part of a period falling between the first and second or third and fourth anniversary of the publication of an antidumping duty order under section 351.211 or a determination under section 351.218(f)(4) to continue an order or suspended investigation (after sunset review), the Secretary, if requested by a domestic interested party within 30 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the review, will determine, consistent with *FAG Italia v. United States*, 291 F.3d 806 (Fed Cir. 2002), as appropriate, whether antidumping duties have been absorbed by an exporter or producer subject to the review if the subject merchandise is sold in the United States through an importer that is affiliated with such exporter or producer. The request must include the name(s) of the exporter or producer for which the inquiry is requested.

For the first administrative review of any order, there will be no assessment of antidumping or countervailing duties on entries of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption during the relevant provisional-measures “gap” period, of the order, if such a gap period is applicable for the POR.

Interested parties must submit applications for disclosure under administrative protective orders in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. On January 22, 2008, the Department published *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings:*

Pacific CIS (Thailand) Co., Ltd.; Taiwan Rail
Company; and King Shan Wire Co., Ltd.

Documents Submission Procedures; APO Procedures, 73 FR 3634 (January 22, 2008). Those procedures apply to administrative reviews included in this notice of initiation. Parties wishing to participate in any of these administrative reviews should ensure that they meet the requirements of these procedures (e.g., the filing of separate letters of appearance as discussed in 19 CFR 351.103(d)).

These initiations and this notice are in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)), and 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i).

Dated: November 22, 2010.

Susan H. Kuhbach,
*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for
Antidumping and Countervailing Duty
Operations.*

[FR Doc. 2010-29970 Filed 11-26-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

**Renewable Energy and Energy
Efficiency Advisory Committee
(RE&EEAC)**

November 22, 2010.

AGENCY: International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Open Meeting;
Correction.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce published a document in the **Federal Register** of November 17, 2010 concerning a notification of an open

meeting of the Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency Advisory Committee (RE&EEAC) on December 7, 2010. The document contained an incorrect e-mail address and an incorrect mailing address.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Brian O'Hanlon, 202-482-3492.

Correction

In the **Federal Register** of November 17, 2010, in FR Doc. 75-70214 on page 70214 in the second column, correct the e-mail listed under "**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**" header to read:

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Brian O'Hanlon, Office of Energy and Environmental Industries, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce at (202) 482-3492; e-mail: brian.ohanlon@trade.gov. This meeting is physically accessible to people with disabilities. Requests for sign language interpretation or other auxiliary aids should be directed to OEEI at (202) 482-5225.

Correction

In the **Federal Register** of November 17, 2010, in FR Doc. 75-70214 on page 70214 in the third column, correct the address "*Public Participation*" to read:

Public Participation: The meeting is open to the public and the room is disabled-accessible. Public seating is limited and available on a first-come, first-served basis. Members of the public wishing to attend the meeting must notify Brian O'Hanlon at the contact information above by 5 p.m. EST on Thursday, December 2, in order to pre-register for clearance into the building. Please specify any requests for reasonable accommodation at least five business days in advance of the meeting. Last minute requests will be accepted, but may be impossible to fill. A limited amount of time, from 3 p.m.-3:30 p.m., will be available for pertinent brief oral comments from members of the public attending the meeting.

Any member of the public may submit pertinent written comments concerning the RE&EEAC's affairs at any time before or after the meeting. Comments may be submitted to the Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency Advisory Committee, C/O: Brian O'Hanlon, Office of Energy and Environmental Technologies, U.S. Department of Commerce, Mail Stop: 4053, 1401 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230. To be considered during the meeting, written comments must be received no later than 5 p.m. EST on Thursday, December 2, 2010, to ensure transmission to the Committee prior to the meeting.

Comments received after that date will be distributed to the members but may not be considered at the meeting.

Edward A. O'Malley,

Director, Office of Energy and Environmental Industries.

[FR Doc. 2010-29882 Filed 11-26-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DR-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-894]

Certain Tissue Paper Products From the People's Republic of China: Notice of Partial Rescission and Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of 2009-2010 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (the Department) is rescinding in part the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain tissue paper products from the People's Republic of China (PRC) for the period of review (POR) of March 1, 2009, to February 28, 2010, with respect to Max Fortune Industrial Limited (Max Fortune Industrial), Max Fortune (FZ) Paper Products Co., Ltd. (formerly known as Max Fortune (FETDE) Paper Products Co., Ltd.) (Max Fortune Fuzhou), and Fujian Provincial Shaowu City Huaguang Special Craft Co., Ltd. (Huaguang Special Craft). This partial rescission is based on the timely withdrawal of the requests for review by the only interested parties that requested the review of these companies. The Department is also fully extending the time limit for completion of the preliminary results of this administrative review with respect to Max Fortune (Vietnam) Paper Products Company Limited (Max Fortune Vietnam) to no later than March 31, 2011.

DATES: *Effective Date:* November 29, 2010.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Brian Smith or Gemal Brangman, AD/CVD Operations, Office 2, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-1766 or (202) 482-3773, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On March 1, 2010, the Department published a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain tissue paper products from the PRC. *See Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review*, 75 FR 9162 (March 1, 2010). In response, on March 31, 2010, the petitioner¹ timely requested an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain tissue paper products from the PRC for entries of the subject merchandise during the POR, from Max Fortune Industrial, Max Fortune Fuzhou, and Max Fortune Vietnam (*i.e.*, exporters of the subject merchandise). Similarly, in a letter dated March 31, 2010, Huaguang Special Craft (*i.e.*, an exporter of the subject merchandise) submitted a timely request for an administrative review of its entries of the subject merchandise during the POR. Therefore, on April 19, 2010, the Department initiated a review of Max Fortune Industrial, Max Fortune Fuzhou, Max Fortune Vietnam, and Huaguang Special Craft. *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part*, 75 FR 22107 (April 27, 2010).

In a letter dated July 6, 2010, Huaguang Special Craft informed the Department that it had withdrawn from this review and would no longer be participating in this segment of the proceeding. In a letter dated July 26, 2010, the petitioner withdrew its request for review of Max Fortune Industrial and Max Fortune Fuzhou, and requested that the Department rescind the review with respect to these companies. No other parties requested a review of Max Fortune Industrial, Max Fortune Fuzhou, or Huaguang Special Craft. The request for review of Max Fortune Vietnam was not withdrawn, and therefore, this administrative review will continue with respect to that company to examine its claim that it did not use PRC jumbo rolls or sheets of tissue paper in its shipments of tissue paper to the United States during the period of review. Max Fortune Vietnam is also a respondent in an ongoing anticircumvention inquiry and the Department intends to conduct verification of the above-mentioned claim in the context of that segment (*see discussion below*).

On March 29, 2010, the Department also initiated a circumvention inquiry on certain imports of tissue paper from

¹ The petitioner is Seaman Paper Company of Massachusetts, Inc.